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Abstract
A research consortium comprised of representatives from several universities and national laboratories has been established as part of this on-going project to generate internal and external dose conversion coefficients for radionuclides produced in spallation neutron sources. Information obtained from this multi-year study will be used to support the siting and licensing of future accelerator-driven nuclear initiatives within the U.S. Department of Energy complex, including the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) and Accelerator Production of Tritium (APT) Projects. Determination of these coefficients will also fill data gaps for several hundred radionuclides that exist in Federal Guide Report No. 11 and in Publications 68 and 72 of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP).

Work Proposed for Year 2:
Representatives from the Working Group established in Year 1 have developed methodology to generate dose coefficients from radionuclides produced during the spallation process. Dose coefficients have been generated using this methodology for a select few radionuclides. Personnel will build on this progress to generate dose coefficients for approximately 120 radionuclides during Year 2.

Background and Rationale
The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) was authorized by Congress in 2001 to create the Advanced Accelerator Applications (AAA) program to address pressing nuclear-related issues facing the nation such as nuclear energy and waste management concerns, declining U.S. nuclear infrastructure, global nuclear leadership, and national defense. Besides investigating transmutation as a viable alternative of long-term waste management, the AAA program is continuing to develop the technology base of alternative tritium production options, including the completion of the APT design and development activities. Other national and international accelerator programs such as the SNS project located at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) are expected to benefit from the knowledge and data obtained from research activities within the AAA program.

The AAA program will need to assess the health risks associated with the operation of each of their accelerator-driven nuclear facilities for both NEPA and PSAR development. Quantifying the radiological risks to workers will have to be addressed during the design and siting of each of these facilities. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Federal Guidance Report No. 11 “Limiting Values of Intake and Air Concentration and Dose Conversion Factors for Inhalation, Submersion, and Ingestion”, developed two derived guides, Annual Limit on Intake (ALI) and the Derived Air Concentration (DAC), to be used to control radiation exposure in the workplace. The ALI is the annual intake of a radionuclide which would result in a committed effective dose equivalent of 0.05 Sv/yr for stochastic effects, or a committed dose equivalent to an individual organ or tissue of 0.5 Sv/yr for deterministic effects, to Reference Man (ICRP 1975). A DAC is that concentration of a radionuclide in air which, if breathed by Reference Man for a work-year, would result in an intake corresponding to its ALI (EPA 1988). Therefore, ALIs and DACs can be used for assessing radiation doses due to accidental ingestion and
Inhalation of radionuclides and are used for limiting radionuclide intake through breathing of, or submersion in, contaminated air.

In addition to determining ALIs and DACs, in many situations it is useful to know the committed dose equivalent to an organ or tissue per unit intake, the committed effective dose equivalent per unit intake, the dose equivalent rate per unit air concentration of radionuclide, or the effective dose equivalent rate per unit air concentration of radionuclide. These dose coefficients (DCs) allow simple determination of radiation dose associated with various exposure scenarios, and ultimately, assess the health risks to workers in a nuclear facility.

Even though the ALIs, DACs, and DCCs calculated in Federal Guidance Report No. 11 adhere to the derived limits in Publication 30 (ICRP 1979), which incorporate current knowledge of radionuclide dosimetry and biological transport in humans, the report is not exhaustive in reference to anthropogenic radionuclides. Unfortunately, many of the rare radionuclides produced during the spallation process are not addressed in current radiation protection standards either. There may be as many as 660 radionuclides that would be produced in either the target or blanket of the APT for which no data exists in Federal Guide Report No. 11 or in Publications 68 and 72 of the ICRP. The number of radionuclides that need to be studied is expected to increase if those produced in the target and blanket from Accelerator-Driven Test Facility (ADTF) and SNS activities are also considered.

It is the intent of the current research to develop a methodology and generate internal and external dose coefficients for radionuclides produced in spallation neutron sources. Results from this study will expand the ALI and DAC data of Federal Guidance Report No. 11 in order to include radionuclides produced by current technology, such as that used in the AAA and SNS programs.

**Research Objectives**

There are three research objectives for Year 2 of this project. They are to:

- expand the number of participants and the role of the existing AAA DC Working Group
- further refine a reproducible methodology to determine internal and external DC
- generate internal and external DC values for selected radionuclides

There are four goals for Year 2 of this project:

- generate DCs for approximately 120 radionuclides that could be created from spallation neutron sources
- generate results that will be considered for inclusion in future ICRP Reports
- create additional opportunities for students to present project results at national professional conference
graduate students who have worked on the project in May or August of 2003

Technical Impact
Results from the proposed work will be invaluable to individuals and organizations responsible for ensuring the safety of their workers in accelerator facilities, and the national and international radiation safety profession in general. The DCCs generated as part of this study can be used to support the siting and licensing of future accelerator-driven nuclear initiatives within the U.S. DOE complex, including the SNS and APT projects. As mentioned previously, determination of these coefficients will also fill data gaps that exist in Federal Guide Report No. 11 and in ICRP Publications 68 and 72.

From a much larger perspective, the establishment of the multi-university/national laboratory consortium as part of this project will further enhance the technical infrastructure of the AAA program. The proposed composition of the consortium would also appear to make it an excellent resource for radiation safety issues facing the AAA program in the future. Finally, students selected to participate on the project will have the opportunity to work with a number of leaders in the health physics community on this important activity.

Research Approach
Each of the above objectives will be accomplished through the completion of specific tasks. Tasks associated with each objective are identified below:

Objective 1 - Expand the number of participants and the role of the existing AAA DC Consortium

Work performed under this project has continued to draw upon the experience and expertise residing at a number of respected health physics academic programs across the United States and representatives from DOE national laboratories. Faculty, and students from the following academic institutions are currently participants in the consortium: Georgia Institute of Technology, Idaho State University, University of Florida, and the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV). Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) also has representation on the Consortium.

Efforts were initiated in Year 1 to add representatives from other countries to serve as members on the AAA DC Consortium. For example, faculty and students from Tbilisi State University in Tbilisi, Georgia have participated in a number of project activities and have been invited to formally become members of the Consortium. Project personnel will continue to work to expand the number of participants and role of the existing AAA DC Consortium in a reasonable manner.

An AAA DC Working Group was established in Year 1 to direct and oversee consortium activities. The following individuals will serve on the Advisory Group in Year 2:

Phillip Patton, UNLV, Project Coordinator
The Project Coordinator will be responsible for scheduling and hosting all of the meetings of the AAA DC Working Group in Year 2. It should be emphasized that Working Group members and all participating members of the consortium will work collaboratively to complete all tasks associated with the project. UNLV personnel will host future working group meetings periodically to continually encourage collaboration and ensure project activities are completed in a timely manner. The current proposal requests funding for two UNLV graduate students, summer salary for the Project Coordinator. In addition, funding is requested to host AAA DC Working Group meetings in Year 2.

Objective 2 - Further refine a reproducible methodology to determine internal and external DCs

A methodology was developed by the AAA DC Working Group in Year 1 to determine internal and external DCs. The first step involved obtaining radiological data from the ENSDF nuclear physics database developed at Brookhaven National Laboratory for selected radionuclides. Examples of data collected included decay modes, decay energy levels, and radiation energies and intensities. The ENSDF data was downloaded into an EDISTR input file. The EDISTR batch file executed the necessary steps and formatted codes that prepared input files (NDX and RAD) for the Dose Calculation (DCAL) program, which can be used to calculate the DCs. However, the methodology can be somewhat cumbersome and difficult to use. The primary problem has been the difficulty associated with properly formatting the NDX and RAD input files for use in DCAL. Project personnel will continue to work closely with Keith Eckerman of ORNL who wrote DCAL and is a member of the Working Group to correct this problem.

The metabolic models and data from ICRP Publications #30 and #66 will be applied in order to use the best technology available and to maintain consistency with current standards. These ICRP publications define the committed dose equivalent in a target organ, $T$, from activity in a source organ, $S$, for each type of radiation, $i$, of a particular radionuclide, $j$, as:

$$H_{50,T} (T \leftarrow S)_i = 1.6 \times 10^{-10} \ U_s \ SEE(T \leftarrow S)_i \ Sv$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 1}$$

where $U_s$ is the total number of transformations of radionuclide, $j$, in source organ, $S$, over 50 years following intake of the radionuclide and $SEE$ is the specific effective energy per gram for radiation type, $i$, absorbed in target organ, $T$, per transformation in
source organ, $S$, modified by a quality factor. For all types of radiation emitted by radionuclide $j$, Eq. 1 becomes:

$$H_{50,T}(T \leftarrow S)_i = 1.6 \times 10^{-10} \left[ U_s \sum_j \text{SEE}(T \leftarrow S)_j \right] \text{Sv} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

Therefore, the development of the DCs involves determining $U_s$ and $\text{SEE}(T \leftarrow S)$ values. For consistency with Federal Guidance Report No. 11, dose coefficients will be evaluated for an adult male with the target tissues of gonads, breast, lung, red marrow, bone surface (endosteum), thyroid, remainder, and total committed effective dose equivalent.

The DCAL program utilizes the ICRP #30 gastrointestinal tract model and the ICRP #66 lung model to calculate the number of transformations per Bq in each target organ over fifty years. This requires knowledge of the physical and metabolic data (i.e. radioactive half-live, inhalation class, and the fraction of stable element reaching the body fluids following ingesting) for each radionuclide. Since DCAL can also calculate $\text{SEE}(T \leftarrow S)$ values, it is able to determine the committed dose equivalent in a target organ, $T$, using Eq. 2. Furthermore, the committed effective dose equivalent can be determined by:

$$H_E = \sum_T \omega_T H_{50,T} \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

Once the committed dose equivalent to all target organs and the committed effective dose equivalent are determined, values of the ALI and DAC can be calculated for each radionuclide.

**Objective 3 - Generate internal and external DCC values for selected radionuclides**

The AAA DC Working Group has completed a prioritization of radionuclides projected to be released via air emissions or in the inventory of a mercury target after a long irradiation period. If only radionuclides with a half-life greater than 1 minute are considered, the list is narrowed from 540 radionuclides to approximately 120. UNLV students will be tasked to generate internal and external DCs for approximately 30-40 of these radionuclides during Year 2. DCs will be determined for the remaining radionuclides by students and staff at Idaho State University and Tbilisi State University. There will be significant overlap in the radionuclides assigned to each group to provide a quality check on the adopted methodology. The UNLV principal investigator (Patton) will personally develop internal and external DCs for approximately 5-10 of the radionuclides assigned to UNLV to provide an intracomparison of results at UNLV. Note that project personnel have generated DCs for a select number of these radionuclides in Year 1.

**Capabilities at the University and National Laboratories**

Due to the nature of the proposed project, there are no large equipment needs at this time. Much of the work is performed with computers and every effort is made to ensure that personnel are using state-of-the-art computer systems and software to ensure timely
completion of the project. The project will continue to rely on expertise provided by members of the AAA DC consortium and Working Group.

**Project Timeline (August 1, 2002 – July 31, 2003)**

**Year 2 Activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finalize Reproducible Methodology for Generating DCs</td>
<td>November 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Group Generate DCs for Approximately 120 Radionuclides</td>
<td>May 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Group Crosschecks DC Results</td>
<td>July 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generate Annual Report</td>
<td>September 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Year 3 Activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Determine Second Set of Radionuclides to be Considered</td>
<td>November 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Group Generate DCs for Second Set of Radionuclides</td>
<td>June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Group Crosschecks DC Results</td>
<td>August 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generate Annual Report</td>
<td>September 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Deliverables**

The following deliverables will be completed during Year 2 of the project:

- Revise DC Methodology Report (November 30, 2002) - The principal investigator will generate a revised report describing the methodology developed by the Working Group Advisory Group to calculate internal and external DCs.

- Quarterly Progress Reports (Quarterly) – The principal investigator will submit reports on a quarterly basis that identify administrative, technical, and budget issues associated with the project.

- Annual Report (July 31, 2003) - The principal investigator will generate an annual report that outlines project activities performed during FY 03.

- Professional Meeting Presentations/Publications (July 31, 2003) - It is expected that the results of this study will be presented and/or published at selected professional meetings and in the scientific literature. Abstracts or manuscripts generated, as part of this study will be sent to the AAA/UNLV program for review.

- Annual Reports and any professional meeting presentations, technical publications, or student theses will also be developed and delivered to the AAA/UNLV program at the end of the FY 03 and FY 04.
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Statement of Current and Pending Support – Phillip Patton

Academic Year (Fall 02 – Spring 03): Will be responsible for teaching a minimum of 9 credit hours of coursework per semester within the Department of Health Physics and performing service at the college, university, and professional level during the academic year. Dr. Patton was the principal investigator for the AAA DC project in FY 02. Dr. Patton is also a co-author on the following National Institutes of Health (NIH) proposal:

Advances in Skeletal Dosimetry Through Microimaging, submitted to NIH – Patton portion - $54,096

Statement of Current and Pending Support – Mark Rudin

Academic Year (Fall 02 – Spring 03): Will be responsible for teaching a minimum of 6 credit hours of coursework per semester within the Department of Health Physics and performing service at the college, university, and professional level during the academic year. Note that Dr. Rudin receives a release of 3 credits of teaching per semester because he is chair of the department. Dr. Rudin was a co-principal investigator of the AAA DC project in FY 02. Dr. Rudin is also currently supported under the following projects:

Geophysical Mapping and Sediment Collection in Lake Mead, USA funded by the U.S. Geological Survey - $476,100

Monitor and Assess Water Quality; Characterize Existing Conditions and Identify Numerical Criteria to Protect Existing Higher Water Quality in Lake Mead National Recreation Area funded by the National Park Service - $26,450.