

Feb 2nd, 3:10 PM - 3:25 PM

Local Land Use Scenario Formulation using the IPCC SRES Climate Change Scenarios within Nevada

Scott Bassett
University of Nevada Reno

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/epscor>



Part of the [Sustainability Commons](#), [Urban Studies Commons](#), and the [Urban Studies and Planning Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Bassett, Scott, "Local Land Use Scenario Formulation using the IPCC SRES Climate Change Scenarios within Nevada" (2010). *2010 Annual Nevada NSF EPSCoR Climate Change Conference*. 47.
<https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/epscor/2010/feb02/47>

This Event is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Scholarship@UNLV with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Event in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/or on the work itself.

This Event has been accepted for inclusion in 2010 Annual Nevada NSF EPSCoR Climate Change Conference by an authorized administrator of Digital Scholarship@UNLV. For more information, please contact digitalscholarship@unlv.edu.

Local Land Use Scenario Formulation using the IPCC SRES Climate Change Scenarios within Nevada

Scott D. Bassett and Michael R. Dolloff


N




2 February, 2010—Las Vegas

Nakicenovic et al. 2000 IPCC SRES storylines


The main characteristics of the four SRES storylines and scenario families



Nakicenovic et al. 2000 IPCC SRES scenarios



Derived Characteristics of the Four Main Scenario Families




Research Objectives

- Develop a methodology for downscaling SRES for use in land use drivers at a regional scale (metropolitan city scale)
- Integrate land use drivers into urban growth models (UGMs) and land use change models
- Link land use based demand models with river operations to assess potential future water allocations

Modeled Difference in Urban Area

Percentage of urban
land use



A1FI-2080



A2-2080



Baseline




B1-2080




B2-2080

Modeled Difference in Land Use for Scotland



M. D. A. Rounsevell, I. Reginster, M. B. Araujo, T. R. Carter, N. Dendoncker, F. Ewert, J. I. House, S. Kankaanpaa, R. Leemans, M. J. Metzger, C. Schmit, P. Smith and G. Tuck. 2006. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment.

The ACCELERATES Project




Alternative Futures for the Southwest U.S. Greater Las Vegas

David Mouat, Scott Bassett, Allan Shearer, Scott Thomas, Dakota Casserly,
Quinn Korbolic, Judith Lancaster, Pablo Marin, and E. Jamie Trammell








1975



1991




2008



Las Vegas Growth from 1975-2008

Urban Area



Draft



Desert Research Institute
University of Nevada, Reno
Rutgers University

Select Decisions Facing Southern Nevada

- Should the region... support smart growth, transit oriented development, and sustainable growth? (*Metro*)
- Should the region move toward higher residential density? (*Rural*)
- Should Congress continue to direct BLM to release land...? (*Combined*)
- Should growth be managed for compatibility with the mission of military installations...? (*Rural*)
- Should the region continue to secure distant water resources...? (*Metro*)
- Should the region accelerate development of alternative energy sources...? (*Metro*)


Select Critical Uncertainties for Southern Nevada

- Will sufficient water resources be available...?
(*Combined*)
- Will the BLM land disposal boundary be amended...?
(*Combined*)
- Will wilderness or other currently conserved land be made available for urban development or renewable energy projects? (*Rural*)
- Will the region [develop] ...multi-modal transportation system...? (*Metro*)
- Will solar energy projects be developed that demand already scarce water...? (*Rural*)
- Will growth and development...cause an impact to military operations? (*Combined*)

Alternative Futures


Population


- 2008—2,054,100
- 2010—2,138,300
- 2020—2,614,100
- 2030—3,195,800
- 2040—3,906,900
- 2.03%, growth rate
- 2.64 people/house




Alternative Futures

- Buildable Land
 - Amount varies by scenario due to the policies and preferences implemented in each scenario







Unrestricted Growth
Buildable Land




Renewable Energy
Buildable Land




Long Drought
Buildable Land



Southwest Corridor
Buildable Land



Constrained Southwest Corridor
Buildable Land





Environmental Regulation
Buildable Land

Alternative Futures


Urban per Household

- Unrestricted Growth
 - 0.28 acres
- Long Drought
 - 0.14 acres
- Environmental Regulation
 - 0.14 acres
- Renewable Energy Boom
 - 0.21 acres
- Southwest Corridor
 - 0.24 acres
- Constrained SW Corridor







Unrestricted Growth
Urban Growth 2008–2040




Renewable Energy
Urban Growth 2008–2040




Long Drought
Urban Growth 2008–2040



Southwest Corridor
Urban Growth 2008–2040




Constrained Southwest Corridor
Urban Growth 2008–2040



Environmental Regulation
Urban Growth 2008–2040


Water Requirements




Alternative Futures	Total GPCD	GPCD Δ	% GPCD Δ	Total GPD	Total Acre-Feet Required
Unrestricted	254	0	0.00%	992,361,998	1,111,587
Long Drought	199	-55	-21.65%	777,480,463	870,889
Environmental Regulation	129	-125	-49.07%	505,362,301	566,078
Renewable Energy Boom	191	-64	-25.00%	744,271,499	833,690
Southwest Corridor	218	-36	-14.29%	850,595,998	952,789
Constrained Southwest Corridor	218	-36	-14.29%	850,595,998	952,789

GPCD = Gallons per capita daily

Truckee and Walker River Basins





Reno–Sparks trend in Driving Forces as defined by SRES

Driving Factors	Region: Reno/Sparks Metropolitan Area			
	IPCC SRES Scenarios			
	A1FI	A2	B1	B2
<i>Demographic (D):</i>				
Population growth (1)	Low	High	Medium	Medium
<i>Environmental (En):</i>				
Environmental regulations (1)	Low	Low	High	Medium
<i>Political (P):</i>				
Federal influence (1)	Medium	Low	High	Medium
State influence (2)	Low	Medium	Low	Medium
Local influence (3)	Medium	High	Low	High

Summary

- Scenarios translated and run using urban growth model for Las Vegas (based on stakeholder input)
- Water demands for Las Vegas estimated given the different scenarios
- Reno–Sparks—deriving trends in driving forces based on SRES begun
- Overall we believe one key emphasis should be on river operations, not solely supply and/or demand

One Year Outlook

- Convert driving forces into model parameters and variables (Reno and Walker) –see how the framework for downscaling SRES works
- Implement land use change model in Reno– Sparks and Walker Basin
- Quantify stream flow and lake levels for the Walker River Basin driven by climate change considerations
- Expand Lower Colorado analysis to Yuma, AZ and Imperial Valley