

1-6-2020

## Preoperative Maximization to Reduce Complications in Spinal Surgery

Sukanta Maitra

University of Nevada, Las Vegas, [sukanta.maitra@unlv.edu](mailto:sukanta.maitra@unlv.edu)

Christopher Mikhail

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Samuel K. Cho

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Michael D. Daubs

University of Nevada, Las Vegas, [michael.daubs@unlv.edu](mailto:michael.daubs@unlv.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/som\\_fac\\_articles](https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/som_fac_articles)



Part of the [Orthopedics Commons](#)

---

### Repository Citation

Maitra, S., Mikhail, C., Cho, S. K., Daubs, M. D. (2020). Preoperative Maximization to Reduce Complications in Spinal Surgery. *Global Spine Journal*, 10 45-52. SAGE Publications.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2192568219882349>

This Article is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Scholarship@UNLV with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Article in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/or on the work itself.

This Article has been accepted for inclusion in School of Medicine Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Scholarship@UNLV. For more information, please contact [digitalscholarship@unlv.edu](mailto:digitalscholarship@unlv.edu).

# Preoperative Maximization to Reduce Complications in Spinal Surgery

Sukanta Maitra, MD<sup>1</sup> , Christopher Mikhail, MD<sup>2</sup>, Samuel K. Cho, MD<sup>2</sup> , and Michael D. Daubs, MD<sup>1</sup>

Global Spine Journal  
2020, Vol. 10(1S) 45S-52S  
© The Author(s) 2020  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-permissions  
DOI: 10.1177/2192568219882349  
journals.sagepub.com/home/gsj



## Abstract

**Study design:** Invited narrative review.

**Objectives:** The aim of this review was to summarize current literature regarding risk factors that surgeons can optimize in the preoperative setting in the spinal surgery patient, in order to reduce complications and improve patient-reported outcomes.

**Methods:** Review of the relevant literature by the authors.

**Results:** Modifiable risk factors identified relative to the patient include obesity, malnutrition/nutrient deficiency, diabetes/hyperglycemia, preoperative anemia, vitamin D/DEXA (dual-energy radiograph absorptiometry), nicotine use/smoking, and opioid use/psychosocial factors.

**Conclusion:** By maximizing a patient's physiological and psychological status prior to elective spine surgery, we may move closer to achieving the goals of value-based care: improving patient-reported outcomes while decreasing the cost of care.

## Keywords

spinal surgery, complications, patient-reported outcomes, obesity, malnutrition, deficiency, diabetes, anemia, smoking

## Introduction

In recent years, the cost and utilization of spine care both non-operatively as well as operatively has increased significantly without documented evidence of improvement in outcomes.<sup>1,2</sup> There has been a push recently to shift to a model of value-based care, with the goal of achieving the highest quality of care for the least cost rather than simply cutting cost or improving quality alone. This trend has led to a multidisciplinary approach in the management of spine patients undergoing elective surgery. Additionally, with the change in going from the fee-for-service compensation model to one of bundled payments for surgical intervention, there is greater pressure on physicians to lower overall treatment costs. Optimizing patients preoperatively may lead to improved outcomes and treatment cost reductions.<sup>3,4</sup>

This section will examine the recent literature to provide insight into ways that spine surgeons can optimize surgical patients in the preoperative setting in an attempt to reduce complications and ideally improve patient-reported outcomes.

There has been a significant amount of research examining the use of local and systemic measures to reduce surgical site infections in spine surgery, including examining procedure-

specific and patient-specific factors.<sup>5-8</sup> The use of preoperative methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* screening, bathing protocols, wound lavage, and intraoperative vancomycin powder will be discussed in a subsequent section. Modifiable risk factors relative to the patient, including obesity, malnutrition/nutrient deficiency, diabetes/hyperglycemia, preoperative anemia, vitamin D/DEXA (dual-energy radiograph absorptiometry), nicotine use/smoking, and opioid use/psychosocial factors will be discussed below.

## Obesity

The obese represent a challenging patient population to spine surgeons. In addition to the myriad medical comorbidities

<sup>1</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, UNLV School of Medicine, Las Vegas, NV, USA

<sup>2</sup> Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

### Corresponding Author:

Sukanta Maitra, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, UNLV School of Medicine, Las Vegas, NV 89102, USA.  
Email: sukantamaitra@gmail.com



associated with obesity, such as diabetes, coronary heart disease, and pulmonary disease, obesity in of itself has been shown to be an independent risk factor for complications associated with spine surgery.<sup>9-12</sup> For example, in the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT),<sup>9</sup> the 4-year follow-up data demonstrated a high complication profile for obese patients (infection and revision rates, as compared to nonobese patients) though similar improvements in patient-reported outcomes in the surgical group were reported. McGuire et al<sup>12</sup> in a subgroup analysis of the 4-year SPORT data for obese patients, particularly those with BMI >35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (Class II and Class III obesity), reported worse outcomes in complications, operative time, and baseline patient-reported outcomes particularly in the degenerative spondylolisthesis group.<sup>12</sup> However, Rihn et al<sup>13</sup> demonstrated in a subgroup analysis of obese (BMI >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) versus nonobese (BMI < 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) undergoing treatment of lumbar disc herniation that there was no significant differences in the surgical complication profile between the 2 groups.

When looking solely at patient-reported outcomes based on the McGuire et al<sup>12</sup> and Rihn et al<sup>13</sup> studies, there is evidence to suggest that obese patients do find benefit with surgical interventions despite overall increase in surgical complication profile between nonfusion and fusion operations. These studies as well as others highlight a complication profile that warrants appropriate screening and counseling.<sup>14,15</sup>

Because obesity is a modifiable risk factor, enrolling patients in a nonsurgical weight loss plan could address this complex complication profile. Roffey et al<sup>16</sup> in a prospective cohort study with 46 obese individuals employed a 52-week medically supervised, multidisciplinary, nonsurgical weight loss program that demonstrated improvements and trends toward significance in pain scale scores as well as Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) scores for low back pain and disability. Although there was no control group, this study highlights that a nonsurgical weight loss program may have a role in improving functional outcomes for spine patients. There are a few studies that have examined the role of surgical weight loss and its effect on minimizing complications in patients undergoing spine surgery.<sup>10,14,17</sup> However, a recent retrospective cohort study by Jensen et al,<sup>10</sup> which looked at reported outcomes of cervical and lumbar surgery, demonstrated that patients who had undergone bariatric surgery had worse reported outcomes and satisfaction following lumbar surgery than their counterparts. We have a paucity of data to guide us regarding nonsurgical or surgical weight loss, and further studies are necessary to suggest one intervention over the other. The focus must be placed on minimizing the associated medical risk factors associated with obesity and focus on potential nonsurgical weight loss to improve outcomes in the perioperative setting.

### Malnutrition/Nutrient Deficiency

In the orthopedic and neurosurgery literature, we have interchangeably used serological markers such as albumin, pre-albumin, transferrin, and total lymphocyte count to define malnutrition. Albumin levels <3.5 g/dL, serum total

lymphocyte count <1500 cells per cubic millimeter, low pre-albumin levels, and/or transferrin levels <200 mg/dL have been shown to be signs of malnutrition and have been harbingers of increased complications such as wound healing and surgical site infections.<sup>11,18-22</sup> Kudo et al<sup>23</sup> in a retrospective analysis of over 100 patients examined the effect of the rapid turnover proteins (pre-albumin and transferrin) and the ability to predict surgical site infections. In this study, pre-albumin, transferrin, and albumin levels alone did not demonstrate statistical significance in predicting surgical site infection using multivariate analysis. However, in their univariate analysis there was statistical significance reached for those variables suggesting a possible role in predicting surgical site infections.

The most widely used markers in the literature appear to be serum albumin and total lymphocyte count as markers of malnutrition, and subsequently, these markers have been utilized to screen patients who are at risk for perioperative complications.<sup>24-27</sup>

If patients undergoing elective spine surgery have laboratory or serum markers that fall below normal values or below the thresholds outlined above, then a nutritional consult is warranted. In the setting of elective spine surgery, nutritional deficiencies may be addressed preoperatively to reduce the overall complication profile and potentially minimize risk of surgical site infections. In trauma or oncologic cases, these markers can be utilized to predict which individuals may be at higher risk of surgical site infections.

### Diabetes/Hyperglycemia

Similar to obesity, diabetes and hyperglycemia have been shown to be independent risk factors for increased surgical complications.<sup>28-30</sup> It is believed that diabetes and/or hyperglycemia causes impaired oxygen delivery via the glycosylation of hemoglobin, which leads to the downstream effect of tissue ischemia. The disruption of glucose utilization has been shown to increase the risk of surgical site infections in orthopedic surgery.<sup>31,32</sup> As in obesity, individuals with diabetes are more likely to have additional medical comorbidities that affect surgical outcomes.<sup>28,33-35</sup> In addition to increased complication profile for diabetic patients, studies have suggested increased cost associated with the perioperative management of diabetic patients. Underwood et al,<sup>36</sup> using National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) database as well as patient registry data determined that preoperative A1C above 8% demonstrated increased length of stays and complications. Walid et al<sup>37-39</sup> have demonstrated in several papers the increased cost associated with diabetes and other comorbidities across patients undergoing spine surgery and has advocated for the use of glycated hemoglobin (A1C) as screening tool.

Perioperative hyperglycemia has been noted to have an additive effect on patients undergoing the stress of surgery. Modulation of the stress response, specifically the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis as well as sympathetic nervous system, are often disrupted in the setting of diabetes or hyperglycemia as shown in critically ill patients.<sup>40-42</sup> In the

orthopedic literature it has been established that diabetes increases the complication profile for those undergoing elective total joint arthroplasty.<sup>43,44</sup>

Careful coordination and appropriate cardiovascular risk stratification in patients with diabetes is also warranted. The Clinical Guidelines Committee from the American College of Physicians has recommended an insulin infusion to maintain glucose levels <200 mg/dL with a maintenance goal in the range of 140 to 180 mg/dL for critically ill patients.<sup>45</sup> However, in the perioperative period, patients with relatively well-controlled blood sugars can be transitioned or managed with subcutaneous or supplemental insulin therapy (ie, insulin sliding scale) to maintain blood sugars in the 140 to 200 mg/dL range particularly if they are being transitioned to enteral nutrition and receiving appropriate intravenous fluids (often dextrose solution, 5%, with either normal or half normal saline). The utilization of an insulin sliding scale is a corrective method of treating hyperglycemia and can lead to continued variability in point of care blood sugars. The initiation of proactive measures such as placement on basal or bolus insulin may be more effective in the management of inpatient hyperglycemia.<sup>46</sup>

Appropriate preoperative screening with A1C and maintaining tight hyperglycemic control in the perioperative setting can effectively minimize complications and ultimately costs for elective spine procedures.

## Anemia

It has been well established that preoperative anemia, defined as hematocrit <38%, or hemoglobin less than 12 g/dL (<13 g/dL for men), has been associated with increased rates of transfusion and perioperative morbidity and mortality<sup>47-53</sup> during various non-cardiac procedures. Seicean et al,<sup>47</sup> using the American College of Surgeons NSQIP database, demonstrated that those individuals with anemia, regardless of the severity, had increased lengths of stay during their hospitalization and were more likely to experience complications and 30-day mortality compared to propensity-matched patients without anemia.

Guinn et al<sup>54</sup> implemented an anemia screening and treatment pathway for patients undergoing elective joint arthroplasty. In their study, patients who were indicated for surgery and found to be anemic using a point-of-care hemoglobin analyzer were placed in a pathway to manage their anemia. This pathway included obtaining labs to identify sources of anemia and included a complete blood cell count, basic metabolic panel, iron studies (ferritin, iron, iron saturation, total iron binding capacity, and reticulocyte count), B<sub>12</sub> level, and folate. Depending on the results of the labs, patients had planned surgeries delayed in order to obtain appropriate medical referrals and to initiate treatment of anemia prior to scheduled surgery. Interventions included either IV iron infusions or PO iron supplementation or use of erythropoietin.<sup>54</sup> The results of this study identified 25 at-risk patients for anemia of which only one patient required perioperative transfusion. Blood management goals for patients undergoing surgery should include minimizing blood loss

intraoperatively, as well as screening for and treating anemia to increase red blood cell mass. The effective screening of and treatment of perioperative anemia can lead to decreased lengths of stay and potentially transfusion avoidance, which can lower morbidity and mortality in the long run.

## Vitamin D/DEXA:

With the increasing prevalence of osteoporosis in our aging population, there remains concern for bone-implant failures in patients undergoing elective spinal fusion procedures. Vitamin D is a fat-soluble steroid that facilitates the absorption of dietary calcium and phosphate. Typically, vitamin D insufficiency or deficiency stems from lack of exposure to sunlight or abnormal intestinal absorption. Screening for and treating vitamin D deficiency entails obtaining serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD) and supplementing as necessary. Typically, this involves 50 000 IU of vitamin D orally weekly for upwards of 8 weeks.<sup>55</sup> In a meta-analysis, Bischoff-Ferrari et al<sup>56</sup> demonstrated a reduction in pooled fracture risk with 800 IU of vitamin D daily. The addition of 1200 mg of calcium to the 800 IU of vitamin D was also shown to reduce fracture risk, particularly those over 50 years of age.<sup>57</sup>

In a review of over 300 patients undergoing spinal fusion that were screened for vitamin D levels, 27% were found to be deficient. The vitamin D-deficient patients were more likely to be obese and report greater disability on their outcomes.<sup>58</sup> In another study, patients with vitamin D deficiency (serum 25 OH levels < 20 ng/mL) undergoing elective spine surgery were found on multivariate analysis to have a higher risk of non-union (odds ratio 3.449).<sup>59</sup>

When deciding on screening for osteoporosis, the DEXA scan is the standard used by the World Health Organization. Osteoporosis is defined by a *T* score of <-2.5, or greater than 2.5 standard deviations below, optimal bone mineral density. A DEXA scan, in addition to other patient-specific factors, can be used to estimate an individual's 10-year probability risk of major fracture by using a computer-based algorithm (ie, FRAX score).<sup>60</sup> Osteoporosis of the spine can pose a challenge for the spine surgeon when considering instrumentation. Although animal studies have demonstrated that treatment of osteoporosis with anti-resorptive drugs (bisphosphonates) or with anabolic agents such as teriparatide have improved radiographic signs of fusion, clinical trials have not shown clinically significant reduction in complications or patient-reported outcomes.<sup>61</sup> Regardless, it remains important for the practicing spine surgeon to ensure that elective fusion/instrumentation patients have been screened appropriately to prevent possible failures due to poor bone-implant characteristics.<sup>62</sup> Bjerke et al<sup>63</sup> demonstrated, in a retrospective cohort of 140 patients undergoing thoracolumbar fusion, increased osteoporosis-related complications (ie, failure of instrumentation, proximal junctional kyphosis/failure, and pseudarthrosis) in individuals with osteopenia and osteoporosis compared to those with normal bone density. The authors inferred increased risk of failure or poor outcomes *T* scores <-1.0.

Patients with a *T* score of  $<-2.5$  should be approached cautiously when instrumentation is being considered, especially in multilevel fusion constructs. We recommend supplementing with vitamin D and calcium as well as using an anabolic agent such as teriparatide for 3 months preoperatively and continuing for 1 to 2 years postoperatively to limit the potential for complications in this subgroup of patients.

## Nicotine

The deleterious effects of smoking are well established by not only the World Health Organization but the American Cancer Society as well. Smoking negatively affects soft tissue perfusion, wound healing, spinal fusion, and the ability of our immune system to fight pathogens.<sup>64-69</sup>

Screening for smoking history is the first step in helping improve patient outcomes. Once a patient has been identified as an active smoker, implementing a successful cessation pathway can be challenging. Nicotine replacement therapy (either with transdermal patches or chewing gums), self-help, and counseling have been utilized to improve the success rate of individuals wishing to quit.<sup>70</sup> Frequent counseling by health care providers as part of a smoking cessation program has also been shown to be clinically effective and can provide an opportunity for the surgeon to intervene.<sup>71</sup> Unfortunately, these resources may be scarce in the underinsured or Medicaid populations. Nonetheless, it is imperative to have a frank discussion with patients regarding the risks of continued cigarette smoking and its associated complications pertaining to spine surgery.<sup>72-74</sup> Studies have not only demonstrated the increased risk of perioperative complications of smoking and/or nicotine use, but even more significant is how smoking can effect functional outcomes and patient-reported outcomes.<sup>68,75,76</sup> For example, Pearson et al<sup>76</sup> utilizing a subgroup analysis of the SPORT data demonstrated that smokers undergoing decompressive intervention without fusion had no statistical clinical difference in outcomes compared to the nonoperative treatment cohorts. This implies that smoking cessation may lead to improved patient-reported outcomes.

As far as determining duration of cessation and effects on patient-reported outcomes, there are no prospective studies demonstrating the ideal time for cessation or duration of cessation during the perioperative period. Glassman et al,<sup>68</sup> in a retrospective study of 357 patients, demonstrated that there were no differences between postoperative satisfaction scores and the length of preoperative smoking cessation; however, in patients who were able to remain compliant with smoking cessation in the postoperative period there was a trend toward improved patient satisfaction despite no statistical significance.

Every attempt should be made by the spine surgeon to counsel their patients on the perioperative complications and provide the resources/referrals necessary to begin smoking cessation at least a month prior to elective surgery, knowing full well that continuing to provide support for smoking cessation postoperatively may need to extend well past 3 months

postoperatively not only for surgery-related outcomes, perhaps just as important regarding patient-reported outcomes.

## Opioids and Psychosocial Factors

Spine surgery is physically and psychologically stressful for patients. The ability of patients to cope with these demands varies.<sup>77,78</sup> Often, our patients have a history of depression and/or anxiety and are taking psychoactive medications. Moreover, these individuals often have a long history of opioid use. Such patients require a diligent review for factors affecting their perioperative risks. Hu et al<sup>79</sup> describes utilizing a clinical pharmacist for adult spinal deformity patients in the preoperative setting to ensure that the appropriate medications are held preoperatively, and that the appropriate IV morphine equivalents are utilized postoperatively, to minimize the risks of additive side effects from poly-pharmacy.

Patients undergoing elective spine surgery have been shown to be at increased risk of opioid dependence.<sup>80</sup> Despite the rise in consumption of opioids in the management of pain, their use has not been substantiated in improving patient-reported outcomes particularly after spine surgery.<sup>81</sup> Hills et al<sup>81</sup> reported 1-year outcome data on over 2100 patients undergoing spine surgery, of which 21% of their cohort were on chronic opioid therapy. This subset of patients had higher adjusted odds ratios of continued pain, 90-day complications, and continued postoperative opioid use. More troubling is that opioid abuse and dependence places our patients at increased risk for all-cause morbidity as well as inpatient mortality.<sup>80</sup>

Understanding risk factors and the complication profile of opioid use in our patients is paramount to implementing a pathway to improve not only perioperative surgical outcomes but patient-reported outcomes as well. Studies have demonstrated that opioid use within 6 months of surgery persists in the postoperative period, and the utilization of resources adds continued cost to our medical system.<sup>82,83</sup> Higher opioid use, as measured using morphine equivalents, is associated with increased hospital length of stay as well as significantly worse patient-reported outcomes following spine surgery.<sup>84,85</sup> Patients taking larger doses of opioids, greater than 10 mg of morphine equivalents per day, should be cautiously approached when recommending surgery. Involving Pain Management practitioners to assist with patient-specific regimens and reducing morphine equivalents prior to surgery may have benefit.

Mental health plays a significant role in the perception of pain and functional outcomes; moreover, it has been shown that depression and/or anxiety are closely associated with preoperative opioid or chronic opioid use.<sup>84-89</sup> It is imperative as a surgeon to ensure that patients understand the expectation of minimizing chronic opioid use in the perioperative period, which may be accomplished with the assistance of a pain management physician who can set expectations for postoperative opioid consumption. A significant number of patients presenting to spinal surgeons have psychological disorders that may affect their outcomes. Surgeons' ability to detect mental health issues alone is variable.<sup>90-92</sup> A routine psychological screening

**Table 1.** Patient-Specific Factors for Minimizing Complications in Spine Surgery.

	Goals	Interventions if Goals Not Met
Body mass index	<35 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Nutrition referral/diet and exercise program; consider bariatric consult if class III obesity
Serum albumin	>3.5 g/dL	Nutrition referral
Hemoglobin A1c	<7%	Endocrinology referral
Hemoglobin	>12 g/dL for women and >13 g/dL for men	Hematology referral, anemia workup
Vitamin D (25OHD)	>30 ng/mL	Endocrinology referral; initiate supplementation: 50 000 IU vitamin D weekly
DEXA	T score > -2.5 (ideally >-1.0)	Endocrinology referral; consider vitamin D/calcium supplementation versus anti-resorptive versus anabolic bone modulators
Morphine equivalents	<10 mg/day	Pain management referral, possible behavioral therapy
Nicotine	Nonsmoking	Smoking cessation, cognitive-behavioral therapy, pharmacological intervention

Abbreviations: 25OHD, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; DEXA, dual-energy radiograph absorptiometry.

questionnaire may be helpful in detecting patients that may need counseling prior to surgical intervention.<sup>93,94</sup> Miller et al<sup>95</sup> utilized the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) in over 900 patients undergoing various lumbar procedures and determined that the higher the PHQ-9 score (increased likelihood of depressive disorder), the more likely the minimally clinically important difference for EuroQoL-5D (EQ-5D) would not be met (odds ratio of 0.93). Merrill et al<sup>96</sup> was able to utilize patient-reported outcome measures (PROMIS physical function, pain, physical function, and ODI) in a retrospective cohort for 55 patients undergoing decompression alone and noted the depressed cohort (PROMIS depression score >50) had worse postoperative PROMIS physical function, pain, and ODI scores. In other words, patients who were depressed did have significant improvement following surgical intervention, and although these individuals had a greater magnitude of improvement compared to the nondepressed cohort, they overall had worse physical function, pain, and ODI scores at 6 months than those who were not depressed.

Appropriate recognition and screening of our patients for opioids and depression can ultimately improve patient-reported outcomes. Working closely with our mental health and pain management colleagues can also help facilitate improved functional outcomes in this challenging patient population.

## Conclusion

Optimizing patient outcomes in patients undergoing spinal surgery requires an awareness of the multitude of preoperative factors that have been shown to affect our patients' health and their ability to heal and recover from spinal surgery (Table 1). Reducing predictable complications through appropriate patient screening preoperatively can improve surgical as well as patient-reported outcomes. Providing our patients with the best surgical and functional outcomes involves an integrated multidisciplinary practice. By maximizing a patient's physiological and psychological status prior to elective spine surgery, we may move closer to achieving the goals of value-based care:

improving patient-reported outcomes while decreasing the cost of care through perioperative risk reduction.



## Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This supplement was supported by funding from AO Spine North America.

## ORCID iD

Sukanta Maitra  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4548-5155>  
 Samuel K. Cho  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7511-2486>

## References

1. Martin BI, Turner JA, Mirza SK, Lee MJ, Comstock BA, Deyo RA. Trends in health care expenditures, utilization, and health status among US adults with spine problems, 1997-2006. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34:2077-2084.
2. Martin BI, Deyo RA, Mirza SK, et al. Expenditures and health status among adults with back and neck problems. *JAMA*. 2008; 299:656-664.
3. Martin BI, Lurie JD, Farrokhi FR, McGuire KJ, Mirza SK. Early effects of Medicare's bundled payment for care improvement program for lumbar fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2018;43: 705-711.
4. Ugiliwenzwa B, Kong M, Nosova K, et al. Spinal surgery: variations in health care costs and implications for episode-based bundled payments. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:1235-1242.
5. Adogwa O, Vuong VD, Elsamadicy AA, et al. Does nasal carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus* increase the risk of postoperative infections after elective spine surgery: do most infections occur in carriers? *World Neurosurg*. 2018;116:e519-e524.
6. Tomov M, Wanderman N, Berbari E, et al. An empiric analysis of 5 counter measures against surgical site infections following spine surgery—a pragmatic approach and review of the literature. *Spine J*. 2019;19:267-275.

7. Blumberg TJ, Woelber E, Bellabarba C, Bransford R, Spina N. Predictors of increased cost and length of stay in the treatment of postoperative spine surgical site infection. *Spine J.* 2018;18:300-306.
8. Liu JM, Deng HL, Chen XY, et al. Risk factors for surgical site infection after posterior lumbar spinal surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2018;43:732-737.
9. Rihn JA, Radcliff K, Hilibrand AS, et al. Does obesity affect outcomes of treatment for lumbar stenosis and degenerative spondylolisthesis? Analysis of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2012;37:1933-1946.
10. Jensen BA, Garvey GA, Dawson JM, Garvey TA. Outcomes after spine surgery among patients who have had prior bariatric surgery. *Global Spine J.* 2018;8:579-585.
11. Beiner JM, Grauer J, Kwon BK, Vaccaro AR. Postoperative wound infections of the spine. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2003;15:E14.
12. McGuire KJ, Khaleel MA, Rihn JA, Lurie JD, Zhao W, Weinstein JN. The effect of high obesity on outcomes of treatment for lumbar spinal conditions: subgroup analysis of the spine patient outcomes research trial. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2014;39:1975-1980.
13. Rihn JA, Kurd M, Hilibrand AS, et al. The influence of obesity on the outcome of treatment of lumbar disc herniation: analysis of the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2013;95:1-8.
14. Epstein NE. Bariatric bypasses contribute to loss of bone mineral density but reduce axial back pain in morbidly obese patients considering spine surgery. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2017;8:13.
15. Epstein NE. More risks and complications for elective spine surgery in morbidly obese patients. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2017;8:66.
16. Roffey DM, Ashdown LC, Dornan HD, et al. Pilot evaluation of a multidisciplinary, medically supervised, nonsurgical weight loss program on the severity of low back pain in obese adults. *Spine J.* 2011;11:197-204.
17. Passias PG, Horn SR, Vasquez-Montes D, et al. Prior bariatric surgery lowers complication rates following spine surgery in obese patients. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* 2018;160:2459-2465.
18. Pratt WB, Veitch JM, McRoberts RL. Nutritional status of orthopedic patients with surgical complications. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1981;(155):81-84.
19. McPhee IB, Williams RP, Swanson CE. Factors influencing wound healing after surgery for metastatic disease of the spine. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1998;23:726-733.
20. Adogwa O, Elsamadicy AA, Mehta AI, Cheng J, Bagley CA, Karikari IO. Preoperative nutritional status is an independent predictor of 30-day hospital readmission after elective spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2016;41:1400-1404.
21. Adogwa O, Martin JR, Huang K, et al. Preoperative serum albumin level as a predictor of postoperative complication after spine fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2014;39:1513-1519.
22. Fu MC, Buerba RA, Grauer JN. Preoperative nutritional status as an adjunct predictor of major postoperative complications following anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Clin Spine Surg.* 2016;29:167-172.
23. Kudo D, Miyakoshi N, Hongo M, et al. Relationship between preoperative serum rapid turnover proteins and early-stage surgical wound infection after spine surgery. *Eur Spine J.* 2017;26:3156-3161.
24. Qureshi R, Rasool M, Puvanesarajah V, Hassanzadeh H. Perioperative nutritional optimization in spine surgery. *Clin Spine Surg.* 2018;31:103-107.
25. Epstein NE. Preoperative measures to prevent/minimize risk of surgical site infection in spinal surgery. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2018;9:251.
26. Cross MB, Yi PH, Thomas CF, Garcia J, Valle CJD. Evaluation of malnutrition in orthopaedic surgery. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2014;22:193-199.
27. Salvetti DJ, Tempel ZJ, Goldschmidt E, et al. Low preoperative serum prealbumin levels and the postoperative surgical site infection risk in elective spine surgery: a consecutive series. *J Neurosurg Spine.* 2018;29:549-552.
28. Olsen MA, Nepple JJ, Riew KD, et al. Risk factors for surgical site infection following orthopaedic spinal operations. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008;90:62-69.
29. Pull ter Gunne AF, Cohen DB. Incidence, prevalence, and analysis of risk factors for surgical site infection following adult spinal surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2009;34:1422-1428.
30. Pull ter Gunne AF, Hosman AJ, Cohen DB, et al. A methodological systematic review on surgical site infections following spinal surgery: part 1: risk factors. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2012;37:2017-2033.
31. Seibert DJ. Pathophysiology of surgical site infection in total hip arthroplasty. *Am J Infect Control.* 1999;27:536-542.
32. Anderson PA, Savage JW, Vaccaro AR, et al. Prevention of surgical site infection in spine surgery. *Neurosurgery.* 2017;80(3 suppl):S114-S123.
33. Browne JA, Cook C, Pietrobon R, Bethel MA, Richardson WJ. Diabetes and early postoperative outcomes following lumbar fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2007;32:2214-2219.
34. Glassman SD, Alegre G, Carreon L, Dimar JR, Johnson JR. Perioperative complications of lumbar instrumentation and fusion in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Spine J.* 2003;3:496-501.
35. Epstein NE. Predominantly negative impact of diabetes on spinal surgery: a review and recommendation for better preoperative screening. *Surg Neurol Int.* 2017;8:107.
36. Underwood P, Askari R, Hurwitz S, Chamarthi B, Garg R. Preoperative A1C and clinical outcomes in patients with diabetes undergoing major noncardiac surgical procedures. *Diabetes Care.* 2014;37:611-616.
37. Walid MS, Zaytseva N. How does chronic endocrine disease affect cost in spine surgery? *World Neurosurg.* 2010;73:578-581.
38. Walid MS, Newman BF, Yelverton JC, Nutter JP, Ajjan M, Robinson JS Jr. Prevalence of previously unknown elevation of glycosylated hemoglobin in spine surgery patients and impact on length of stay and total cost. *J Hosp Med.* 2010;5:E10-E14.
39. Walid MS. Research is needed into the role of preoperative HbA1c screening in cost control. *J Hosp Med.* 2010;5:E8.
40. Krinsley JS. Association between hyperglycemia and increased hospital mortality in a heterogeneous population of critically ill patients. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2003;78:1471-1478.
41. Krinsley J. Glucose control reduces ICU stay and mortality. *Perform Improv Advis.* 2005;9:4-6.

42. Krinsley J. Perioperative glucose control. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2006;19:111-116.
43. Yang K, Yeo SJ, Lee BP, Lo NN. Total knee arthroplasty in diabetic patients: a study of 109 consecutive cases. *J Arthroplasty*. 2001;16:102-106.
44. Jain NB, Guller U, Pietrobon R, Bond TK, Higgins LD. Comorbidities increase complication rates in patients having arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2005;(435):232-238.
45. Qaseem A, Chou R, Humphrey LL, Shekelle P; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Inpatient glycemic control: best practice advice from the Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. *Am J Med Qual*. 2014;29:95-98.
46. Gearhart JG, Duncan JL 3rd, Replogle WH, Forbes RC, Walley EJ. Efficacy of sliding-scale insulin therapy: a comparison with prospective regimens. *Fam Pract Res J*. 1994;14:313-322.
47. Seicean A, Seicean S, Alan N, et al. Preoperative anemia and perioperative outcomes in patients who undergo elective spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:1331-1341.
48. Akins PT, Harris J, Alvarez JL, et al. Risk factors associated with 30-day readmissions after instrumented spine surgery in 14939 patients: 30-day readmissions after instrumented spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2015;40:1022-1032.
49. Khanna R, Harris DA, McDevitt JL, et al. Impact of anemia and transfusion on readmission and length of stay after spinal surgery: a single-center study of 1187 operations. *Clin Spine Surg*. 2017;30:E1338-E1342.
50. Hu SS. Blood loss in adult spinal surgery. *Eur Spine J*. 2004;13(suppl 1):S3-S5.
51. Carson JL, Duff A, Poses RM, et al. Effect of anaemia and cardiovascular disease on surgical mortality and morbidity. *Lancet*. 1996;348:1055-1060.
52. Dunne JR, Malone D, Tracy JK, Gannon C, Napolitano LM. Perioperative anemia: an independent risk factor for infection, mortality, and resource utilization in surgery. *J Surg Res*. 2002;102:237-244.
53. Phan K, Wang N, Kim JS, et al. Effect of preoperative anemia on the outcomes of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Global Spine J*. 2017;7:441-447.
54. Guinn NR, Guercio JR, Hopkins TJ, et al; Duke Perioperative Enhancement Team. How do we develop and implement a preoperative anemia clinic designed to improve perioperative outcomes and reduce cost? *Transfusion*. 2016;56:297-303.
55. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:266-281.
56. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Willett WC, Wong JB, Giovannucci E, Dietrich T, Dawson-Hughes B. Fracture prevention with vitamin D supplementation: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *JAMA*. 2005;293:2257-2264.
57. Tang BM, Eslick GD, Nowson C, Smith C, Bensoussan A. Use of calcium or calcium in combination with vitamin D supplementation to prevent fractures and bone loss in people aged 50 years and older: a meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2007;370:657-666.
58. Stoker GE, Buchowski JM, Bridwell KH, Lenke LG, Riew KD, Zebala LP. Preoperative vitamin D status of adults undergoing surgical spinal fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:507-515.
59. Ravindra VM, Godzik J, Dailey AT, et al. Vitamin D levels and 1-year fusion outcomes in elective spine surgery: a prospective observational study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2015;40:1536-1541.
60. Kanis JA, Johansson H, Oden A, Dawson-Hughes B, Melton LJ 3rd, McCloskey EV. The effects of a FRAX revision for the USA. *Osteoporos Int*. 2010;21:35-40.
61. Ohtori S, Inoue G, Orita S, et al. Comparison of teriparatide and bisphosphonate treatment to reduce pedicle screw loosening after lumbar spinal fusion surgery in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis from a bone quality perspective. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:E487-E492.
62. DeWald CJ, Stanley T. Instrumentation-related complications of multilevel fusions for adult spinal deformity patients over age 65: surgical considerations and treatment options in patients with poor bone quality. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2006;31(19 suppl):S144-S151.
63. Bjerke BT, Zarrabian M, Aleem IS, et al. Incidence of osteoporosis-related complications following posterior lumbar fusion. *Global Spine J*. 2018;8:563-569.
64. Argintar E, Triantafyllou K, Delahay J, Wiesel B. The musculoskeletal effects of perioperative smoking. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2012;20:359-363.
65. Sørensen LT, Jørgensen S, Petersen LJ, et al. Acute effects of nicotine and smoking on blood flow, tissue oxygen, and aerobic metabolism of the skin and subcutis. *J Surg Res*. 2009;152:224-230.
66. Akhter MP, Iwaniec UT, Haynatzki GR, Fung YK, Cullen DM, Recker RR. Effects of nicotine on bone mass and strength in aged female rats. *J Orthop Res*. 2003;21:14-19.
67. Daftari TK, Whitesides TE Jr, Heller JG, Goodrich AC, McCarey BE, Hutton WC. Nicotine on the revascularization of bone graft. An experimental study in rabbits. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1994;19:904-911.
68. Glassman SD, Anagnost SC, Parker A, Burke D, Johnson JR, Dimar JR. The effect of cigarette smoking and smoking cessation on spinal fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25:2608-2615.
69. Andersen T, Christensen FB, Laursen M, Høy K, Hansen ES, Bünger C. Smoking as a predictor of negative outcome in lumbar spinal fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2001;26:2623-2628.
70. Stead LF, Perera R, Bullen C, et al. Nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;(11):CD000146.
71. Stead LF, Buitrago D, Preciado N, Sanchez G, Hartmann-Boyce J, Lancaster T. Physician advice for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;(5):CD000165.
72. Cerier E, Jain N, Lenobel S, Niedermeier SR, Stammen K, Yu E. Smoking is associated with 1-year suboptimal patient-reported outcomes after 2-level anterior cervical fusion. *Clin Spine Surg*. 2019;32:175-178.
73. Echt M, De la Garza Ramos R, Nakhla J, et al. The effect of cigarette smoking on wound complications after single-level posterolateral and interbody fusion for spondylolisthesis. *World Neurosurg*. 2018;116:e824-e829.
74. Purvis TE, Rodriguez HJ, Ahmed AK, et al. Impact of smoking on postoperative complications after anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2017;38:106-110.



75. Sandén B, Försth P, Michaëlsson K. Smokers show less improvement than nonsmokers two years after surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis: a study of 4555 patients from the Swedish spine register. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2011;36:1059-1064.
76. Pearson A, Lurie J, Tosteson T, Zhao W, Abdu W, Weinstein JN. Who should have surgery for spinal stenosis? Treatment effect predictors in SPORT. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37:1791-1802.
77. Hart R, Perry E, Hiratzka S, Kane M, Deisseroth K. Post-traumatic stress symptoms after elective lumbar arthrodesis are associated with reduced clinical benefit. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:1508-1515.
78. Deisseroth K, Hart RA. Symptoms of post-traumatic stress following elective lumbar spinal arthrodesis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2012;37:1628-1633.
79. Hu SS, Berven SH. Preparing the adult deformity patient for spinal surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2006;31(19 suppl): S126-S131.
80. Kuehn BM. Opioid prescriptions soar: increase in legitimate use as well as abuse. *JAMA*. 2007;297:249-251.
81. Hills JM, Pennings JS, Archer KR, et al. Preoperative opioids and 1-year patient reported outcomes after spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2019;44:887-895.
82. Jain N, Phillips FM, Weaver T, Khan SN. Preoperative chronic opioid therapy: a risk factor for complications, readmission, continued opioid use and increased costs after one- and two-level posterior lumbar fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2018;43:1331-1338.
83. Rosenthal BD, Suleiman LI, Kannan A, Edelstein AI, Hsu WK, Patel AA. Risk factors for prolonged postoperative opioid use after spine surgery: a review of dispensation trends from a state-run prescription monitoring program. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2019;27:32-38.
84. Armaghani SJ, Lee DS, Bible JE, et al. Preoperative opioid use and its association with perioperative opioid demand and postoperative opioid independence in patients undergoing spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:E1524-E1530.
85. Armaghani SJ, Lee DS, Bible JE, et al. Increased preoperative narcotic use and its association with postoperative complications and length of hospital stay in patients undergoing spine surgery. *Clin Spine Surg*. 2016;29:E93-E98.
86. Armaghani SJ, Lee DS, Bible JE, et al. Preoperative narcotic use and its relation to depression and anxiety in patients undergoing spine surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013;38:2196-2200.
87. Lee D, Armaghani S, Archer KR, et al. Preoperative opioid use as a predictor of adverse postoperative self-reported outcomes in patients undergoing spine surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2014;96:e89.
88. Chapin L, Ward K, Ryken T. Preoperative depression, smoking, and employment status are significant factors in patient satisfaction after lumbar spine surgery. *Clin Spine Surg*. 2017;30:E725-E732.
89. Dunn LK, Durieux ME, Fernández LG, et al. Influence of catastrophizing, anxiety, and depression on in-hospital opioid consumption, pain, and quality of recovery after adult spine surgery. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2018;28:119-126.
90. Daubs MD, Hung M, Adams JR, et al. Clinical predictors of psychological distress in patients presenting for evaluation of a spinal disorder. *Spine J*. 2014;14:1978-1983.
91. Daubs MD, Norvell DC, McGuire R, et al. Fusion versus nonoperative care for chronic low back pain: do psychological factors affect outcomes? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2011;36(21 suppl): S96-S109.
92. Daubs MD, Patel AA, Willick SE, et al. Clinical impression versus standardized questionnaire: the spinal surgeon's ability to assess psychological distress. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2010;92:2878-2883.
93. Jankowski R, Misterska E, Latuszewska J, Bogajewska B, Glowacki J. Differences in beliefs about pain control after surgery due to lumbar or cervical discopathy and degenerative spine disease [published online January 25, 2019]. *J Back Musculoskeletal Rehabil*. doi:10.3233/BMR-181178
94. Scheffel K, Amidei C, Fitzgerald KA. Motivational interviewing: improving confidence with self-care management in postoperative thoracolumbar spine patients. *J Neurosci Nurs*. 2019;51:113-118.
95. Miller JA, Derakhshan A, Lubelski D, et al. The impact of preoperative depression on quality of life outcomes after lumbar surgery. *Spine J*. 2015;15:58-64.
96. Merrill RK, Zebala LP, Peters C, Qureshi SA, McAnany SJ. Impact of depression on patient-reported outcome measures after lumbar spine decompression. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2018;43:434-439.