

11-2011

## Moving Forward with Electronic Content Procurement

J. Cory Tucker

*University of Nevada, Las Vegas, cory.tucker@unlv.edu*

Emilie Delquie

*Publishers Communication Group*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/lib\\_articles](https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/lib_articles)



Part of the [Collection Development and Management Commons](#), and the [Education Commons](#)

---

### Repository Citation

Tucker, J. C., Delquie, E. (2011). Moving Forward with Electronic Content Procurement. *Against The Grain*, 23(5), 22-28.

[https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/lib\\_articles/409](https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/lib_articles/409)

This Article is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Scholarship@UNLV with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Article in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/or on the work itself.

This Article has been accepted for inclusion in Library Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Scholarship@UNLV. For more information, please contact [digitalscholarship@unlv.edu](mailto:digitalscholarship@unlv.edu).

# Moving Forward with Electronic Content Procurement

by Emilie Delquie (Publishers Communication Group) <edelquie@pcgplus.com>

by Cory Tucker (University of Nevada, Las Vegas) <cory.tucker@unlv.edu>

## Introduction

Over the last several years, libraries have experienced a myriad of changes that have affected the marketplace and changed the way they purchase electronic resources. With the move from print to electronic, the variety of options available to purchase library materials has increased. Acquisitions in libraries have further been complicated by decreasing budgets, fewer staff, new technology, and user behavior. The issues related to electronic content purchasing will be discussed in this article, including an overview of how academic libraries currently purchase materials, a review of issues facing libraries, and a summary of new business models being introduced in the marketplace. For the purpose of this article, we will be referring to electronic resources including journals, books, reference works, abstracting and index databases, and all electronic content in general.

## Overview of Methods of Acquiring Electronic Resources

### *Purchasing Electronic Resources via a Subscription Agent*

The most currently used method at this time is to consolidate all the desired journals or books into one order placed with a subscription agency or a jobber that then liaises with each publisher individually. In this scenario, the library has one single point of contact with their agent for all issues related to invoicing, pricing inquiry, renewals and cancellations, claiming missing content, restoring online access, etc.

This set up has numerous advantages for libraries of all sizes, because it saves crucial staff time, but it can also at times lengthen the process by adding a layer in the communication between the libraries and the content providers. This model has proven to be particularly effective when dealing with journal subscriptions (print then later electronic) but the same transition in the book world has not yet fully happened as effectively, as some book jobbers struggle to revise their workflows in order to insert themselves in the eBook acquisition process.

### *Purchasing Electronic Resources Directly from Publishers*

One method for purchasing electronic content is directly from the publisher that produces the material. Publishers have been selling their own materials for decades, and because of this experience they have the acquisitions side of the business down to a science. This includes invoicing, fulfillment, etc. Customer service, which is an essential issue in electronic resource procurement, can be both good and bad. The quality of the customer service may depend upon the size of the publisher.

Due to the fact that publishers create the content, they determine the access and rights to the material. Publishers also set the price, which may or may not be negotiable. When purchasing electronic materials, having a direct line of contact with the publisher improves communication and may lead to quicker negotiations. As with price, the publisher creates the license agreements. When licenses are reviewed by a library, having a direct line of communication with the publisher should result in quicker agreement on the terms and conditions of the license. Publishers, in most cases, have very stable interfaces for delivering their material, so there may be improved access and discoverability of material.

Depending on the size of the library, dealing directly with publishers may or may not be advantageous. With regards to pricing, individual libraries and smaller libraries purchasing on their own may have little leverage for negotiations on pricing, compared with consortia. In regards to staff time and efficiency, dealing directly with publishers may not be the best method. Libraries purchase materials from hundreds of vendors, and libraries may not have enough staff or time to deal with too many vendors.

### *Purchasing Electronic Resources Via An Aggregator*

A third option for a library is to purchase electronic content from an aggregator. Aggregators are not the producers of the content however, but they do choose the content which to sell. Due to the fact that aggregators do not produce the content, they have little, if any, impact

on the price. Because aggregators are providing a service to libraries, there are usually handling charges or service fees tied to transactions. The additional costs are not typically large, but a library will need to decide if staff time is better spent on other duties, thus using an aggregator, or if there are cost savings dealing directly with a publisher. Another impact of not producing content is the fact that aggregators may offer resources that have embargos imposed by publishers. Since aggregators sell content from publishers, they do provide value to smaller publishers who otherwise would have a difficult time selling content to libraries. It is a good strategy for publishers to work with aggregators to increase awareness of their materials to audiences they could not otherwise reach.

Aggregators have spent funds and time on building their platforms to deliver the content. Compared with smaller publishers, aggregators may have better usability and innovation in their platforms. The interfaces offer technological functionalities that are preferred by users and are easy starting points for students, thus offering improved discovery and access.

Perhaps the most important aspect of deciding to use an aggregator is customer service. The quality of customer service may be good or bad, depending on the aggregator. If service fees are being charged, libraries should expect that the customer service and handling of the acquisitions process is conducted efficiently and effectively.

### *Purchasing Electronic Resources Individually or Via Consortia*

Typically, a library has two options when purchasing electronic resources: individually or through library consortia. When purchasing materials alone, libraries have more flexibility with the purchase and can acquire items that directly match up with curricular and research needs of patrons. However, in most cases, libraries experience larger discounts when purchasing electronic resources together and have more buying power in larger groups. Consortial purchasing of electronic resources has experienced a high level of success with journals, although the "Big Deal" is being re-evaluated in some instances.

In the next few years, the biggest challenge for consortial purchasing of electronic resources is the eBook. The first challenge for consortial purchasing of eBooks is the publishing industry. Publishers are reticent to allow shared access to eBooks because financially, it is not in their best interest to allow shared access to one electronic book. To combat this problem, publishers have instituted a large price differential, where electronic books are more expensive than their print counterparts (especially with a multiple-user license) and in deals with consortia, publishers require purchases of multiple copies of books.

A second challenge is that consortia purchasing in and of itself has some complications. The group of libraries may not agree on the electronic book platform, and the individual libraries may have different needs or focus on different disciplines. Consortia might have difficulty in agreeing upon which books or subject collections to purchase and which type of access (multiple-user or single-user) to purchase. In speaking with several colleagues about eBook purchases through consortia, the common theme is that consortia have not seen the "robust" deals and discounts they would like, and eBooks don't have the same discounts compared with journal deals. As budgets decrease, libraries will increase their cooperative collection efforts and consortia may want to set-up an eBook approval plan for the group of libraries.

## Challenges Faced by Libraries — The Driving Factors for Electronic Content Procurement

Currently, there are a variety of factors that influence the purchasing of electronic content:

### *Library Budgets*

In the last few years, changes to the world, national, and state economies have had a domino effect on the budgets of libraries in the

*continued on page 24*

United States and around the world. The majority of libraries have faced decreased budgets, which have resulted in cuts to collections. Cuts in the collection have usually focused on subscriptions, which make up the majority of academic library collections budgets and decreases in purchasing monographs. According to Bosch, the 2009 CIBER survey reported that 34% of libraries have seen their budgets reduced by at least 5%. In addition, 72% of the libraries surveyed expected that in the next two years their budgets would at best remain flat, if not decreased.

The cuts in library budgets have also had an impact on library staff. Due to budget cuts, libraries have had to cut staff, either through layoffs or losing open positions. Either way, libraries are having to do more work with less staff, and this impacts how they acquire materials and make materials available to library users. The loss of staff has also resulted in libraries evaluating the job duties of librarians and support staff.

#### **Business Models**

Due to the evolution from print to electronic, library vendors have introduced a variety of business models to sell materials and resources to libraries, as we will explore later in this article. These business models have been adapted over the last few years and more options are being presented to libraries. Whatever the models proposed by vendors, libraries and the way they purchase electronic content will be significantly impacted. The loss of library staff will also have an impact on the business model ultimately chosen by a library.

As an increasing variety of business models is offered, libraries are also faced with decisions to make about which content to own in perpetuity and which can be rented for the very short-term, leased until a subscription is cancelled or a title is removed from a platform or simply accessed for now.

#### **Philosophy of Collecting Materials**

The cuts to collections have caused an evolution in the philosophy of collecting information. In past years, libraries have concentrated on collecting and owning materials. However, the financial environment has caused libraries to "rethink" how they collect. Libraries cannot afford to collect resources "just-in-case." Financial constraints have resulted in a shift to consideration of a "just-in-time" collection philosophy, where libraries want short-term access to information, may increase funding for document delivery services, or consider adding pay-per-view services for library users.

#### **Network Level Discovery and Access**

With the move to electronic format, libraries have had to adapt services and increased the implementation of technology to offer improved tools to help users find information and have the ability to access the information they need. The introduction of Google, iTunes, and other services has had a significant impact on how library users search and locate information and has influenced how users expect to access and use information.

#### **Increasing focus on ROI**

As budgets decrease, libraries increasingly have to prove the value of their acquisition decisions to their administrations. Libraries will find it necessary to carefully collect usage statistics for all of their resources and make calculations for cost-per-use, cost-per-full-text view, etc. Usage data analysis may be requested by University Administrators, and libraries will need to be prepared to provide this data. For example, at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, the University President requests usage statistics biannually. As a result, greater scrutiny is placed on every resource currently owned as well as any resource being considered for potential purchase.

#### **New Business Models for Electronic Content**

In today's marketplace, vendors and publishers are using a wide-range of business models to sell their products. The business models will no doubt continue to evolve as the market adapts to issues with library budgets, the financial crisis, user demands, and new technologies.

#### **Pay-Per-View**

The pay-per-view model is not new to the library marketplace but is

still being considered following some of the major budget cuts certain institutions had to face. In the past, journal articles have been accessed using this model. In some cases, the pay-per-view model is cheaper than maintaining an annual subscription to a publication. However, the final expense depends on each individual library and on usage and ILL cost. The pay-per-view model is also just-in-time and end-user-driven.

It works particularly well for smaller institutions or corporations that may not be as driven by building a collection of knowledge in the long term but that may simply need access to content straight away without being in a position to wait for an ILL request to be processed. The price of the articles has varied quite a bit over the years by publisher or platform, but PPV spending can also act as a good criterion to determine whether a subscription for a specific title would be necessary.

#### **Patron-Driven Acquisitions (PDA)**

PDA is a more recent model where electronic book (and print books too!!!) purchases are initiated by library patrons. In most cases, libraries set up a profile of subjects, publishers, price, and other criteria with a publisher or vendor and load MARC records into the online catalog. Patrons locate records during searches and have access to the eBook. After a specified number of uses, which may relate to a certain amount of views, pages printed, number of uses, etc, the book is purchased and the library owns it (DRM will vary). The PDA model has been very well-adopted by individual libraries and attempted by consortia, Orbis-Cascade Alliance, for example.

This is a "just-in-time" method that is end-user-driven. This is typically conducted through book and third party vendors. Although the PDA model has been useful with eBooks, many question whether this model can be useful with journal articles as well. Depending on the cost of a journal subscription, PDA may be extremely cost-effective for libraries. Many questions still remain about the basic logistics of such practice. For example, is it possible for a library to load in articles to an online catalog or discovery service and have the user initiate the purchase? Would it be more plausible to offer PDA access directly from a publisher's Website?

In the past, libraries have used pay-per-view model where they have been charged for access to an article. However, will publishers allow libraries to "buy" an article out of a journal issue and own the rights to it? Obviously, with an electronic book, a library is purchasing a complete work. For article level purchasing, libraries are purchasing a portion of the journal issue. If a business model can be designed to accommodate this, another practical question is whether a publisher can provide usage statistics at an article level or how would a library assess the effectiveness of the PDA for journal model? Finally and following the above logic, is this model possible for purchasing

book chapters, rather than the entire book itself?

It is important to point out that a PDA model for journals or chapters may not be cost-effective for every library. Basically, it boils down to cost. A library may not be able to afford a subscription or want to subscribe to a journal that is not used or rarely used. Is it then more cost-effective to use ILL or a PDA model than subscribe to a journal? For example, if a library has a "X" amount of ILL requests for a journal, it might be more cost-effective to subscribe to the journal. The journal PDA model will be challenging and may be difficult for publishers to price right. The technology should be available for a journal PDA model to be implemented, but the model puts in question the whole journal content structure (article = chapter = video = content?).

#### **Leasing**

Leasing is a non-ownership model that allows libraries to provide short-term access to materials. The model is based on libraries paying a small fee for users to access specific content. An example is a library patron can access an article or electronic book for "X" amount of time (twenty-four hours) and the library pays "\$X" amount for this temporary access. The leasing model allows libraries to offer patrons access to an increased amount of content, without having to pay full price. This model, however, also implies no long-term ownership of content and is not necessarily an attractive one for larger institutions where content might end up being paid for more than once.

*continued on page 26*



### Collection vs. Pick & Choose

Or is the end of the Big Deal near? With so many pressures facing libraries and their close monitoring of usage statistics, does it still make sense to subscribe to large collections? Keeping in mind that usage statistics themselves can be biased and not a fair representation in certain more advanced research fields, libraries still have to look closely at the pre-set packages offered by publishers and wonder whose interest they serve the most. Moving forward, there is a clear economical advantage for letting libraries tailor their own collection and simply offer a discount based on the number of titles a library really needs.

### Open Access

While not a new model, PlosOne recent article numbers and Impact Factor are certainly helping keep the conversations very active around the Open Access model. Most large publishers are currently experimenting with a few titles of their own, either fully OA or hybrid. The model is obviously very advantageous to libraries since everything is free to them and their patrons — until the cost of sponsoring authors comes back to the institution or library itself.

Another burning question with this model revolves around the quality of the research being published. At such rate of growth, how can this much research be properly peer-reviewed while still maintaining the same standards of quality originally enforced by traditional publishing models? Can librarians then safely add all those journals to their catalog and recommend them to their patrons? Given its growth over the last decade, this model is clearly here to stay, but is likely to continue to challenge traditional publishing standards.

### Usage-based Pricing

With all the usage data now available, publishers are starting to experiment with a usage-based model. For example, a publisher may grant a library access to a set number of journal titles or books for a year; then at the end of the year, librarians and publishers review how often each journal or book is used within the collection, and librarians have the opportunity to swap titles if they wish to do so.

For example, Wiley-Blackwell has introduced a “Flexi-Subscription Option,” for their online books collection, which allows libraries to pay an annual flat fee (based on the size of their institution) for three consecutive years, after which libraries can add, delete, or swap any of the subscribed titles at renewal.

Another example is Elsevier’s “Evidence-based Ebooks Selection.” This option gives libraries access to a wide range of books on ScienceDirect for 12 months “for a minimal, up-front fee determined by a percentage of the total value of content” librarians choose (<http://www.info.sciverse.com/sciencedirect/subscriptions/evidence-based-selection>). At the end of the 12-month period, librarians review the usage statistics and “decide which titles to purchase and keep in perpetuity, priced up to the value of the initial content investment and based upon evidence-based usage of the full range of content.”

Another possible model, which has yet to be explored, would be an extension of the existing tier pricing models, which would basically give publishers and libraries the opportunity to negotiate their subscription rate based on the expected usage of a resources — which would in turn be based on past usage data.

### Outright Purchase vs. Subscription

These business models are particularly relevant with the recent take up of eBooks. When does it make sense for a library to subscribe to a large collection of content, and when is it more advantageous to guarantee perpetual ownership of a set group of books? When e-journals became popular, librarians placed a strong emphasis on post-cancellation terms in order to retain ownership of the years for which they paid. However, fifteen years later, the perceptions (or the players or the economical context) have shifted somewhat, allowing more room for short-term ownership models. It will be interesting to see how these perceptions continue to shift. In general, smaller institutions facing stronger budgetary pressure can be expected to shift more and more towards the “just-in-time” philosophy.

At the same time, many publishers are now introducing the options to buy their journals or proceedings archives for a set discounted price. These one-time purchase deals have become quite advantageous and have provided libraries with the opportunity to free up valuable shelf space, all the while guaranteeing long-term access to larger collections they wouldn’t otherwise have.

### Scholarly iTunes

The concept of iTunes was recently applied to scholarly publishing by a company named DeepDyve in order to offer researchers quick and inexpensive access to research they need just for easy reference. DeepDyve has partnered with many STM and scholarly research publishers in order to make their content discoverable to non-institutional users. DeepDyve’s online research rental service allows users to “read-only” full-text articles from \$0.99 from thousands of journals. Many publishers, including Springer, Nature Publishing Group, and Wiley-Blackwell have joined this initiative. While this model is not intended to serve the needs of an academic library, it certainly offers new interesting opportunities for publishers and researchers alike.

### “The Little Deal”

This new experimentation comes from the California State University system, which was in dire need of finding new ways to save money. After identifying that their ILL costs were unnecessarily high, they decided to partner with Copyright Clearance Center in order to pilot a “Get it Now” model. According to Marvin Pollard, Manager of System-wide Digital Library Services, Chancellor’s Office, California State University, the idea is to provide “a quick, cost-effective article delivery service which has been garnering praise from both patrons and ILL librarians alike.”

Patrons within the CSU system can basically receive an electronic copy of any article included in this deal with CCC, for a pre-negotiated rate, within 24 hours of submitting a request, assuming that their library does not indeed already own a copy. The libraries are responsible for ensuring that enough authentication checks are in place and that no abuse takes place, and the CSU system takes care of the negotiation with CCC on their behalf. Each transaction is then attributed back to each library that chose to participate. The CCC is in turn responsible for negotiating their terms with the publishers.

The CSU pilot was very successful with both patrons and staff. Publishers are coming onboard as they realize that it does offer an opportunity for a new revenue stream. Finally, this “Get it Now” option also provides both libraries and publishers additional usage data on what patrons read and helps identify potential titles to add to their collection, instead of repeatedly paying an article fee.

### Future of Electronic Content

Libraries and the methods they use to purchase electronic resources will be impacted by the models provided by vendors and publishers. However, purchasing electronic content in the future will also be influenced by other factors:

- **Library Budgets** — Budgets will probably continue to be flat or decreased. This changes the way we think about what we buy and how we buy it. Ownership? Subscription-based? Lease? Why would we pay millions of dollars for items we are not using?
- **Collection Philosophy** — The libraries will have to decide if they continue the tradition of collecting information or move to “hooking” users up with information. Will the collection philosophy be just-in-time or just-in-case? At this point, libraries can no longer afford to collect materials just in case. The just-in-time model is the only way to work within financial constraints.
- **User behavior** — Where library patrons go to search information and how they obtain and use the information will continue to change and impact libraries.
- **Scholarly Record** — if libraries gradually move to a non-ownership model for collecting electronic resources, one significant impact will be related to archiving and preserving information. Who will have the responsibility to maintain the scholarly record? Libraries? Consortia? With decreasing budgets, libraries will have to share the cost for this.

*continued on page 28*

**Moving Forward ...**  
*from page 26*

**Works Cited**

**Bosch, Stephen.** Is Selection Dead? The Rise of Collection Management and the Twilight of Selection. ALCTS-CMDS Presentation. American Library Association MidWinter Meeting, San Diego California. January 9, 2011

**Pollard, Marvin.** Five Minutes vs. Five Days: How Important is This to Your Patrons? Sixth annual SCEL C Colloquium Presentation, Loyola Marymount University. March 2, 2011. 