

5-21-2017

## Streamflow Pattern Variations Resulting from Future Climate Change in Middle Tianshan Mountains Region in China

Feiyun Zhang

*Xinjiang Agricultural University*

Lanhai Li

*Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences*

Sajjad Ahmad

*University of Nevada, Las Vegas, sajjad.ahmad@unlv.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/fac\\_articles](https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/fac_articles)



Part of the [Civil and Environmental Engineering Commons](#), and the [Water Resource Management Commons](#)

### Repository Citation

Zhang, F., Li, L., Ahmad, S. (2017). Streamflow Pattern Variations Resulting from Future Climate Change in Middle Tianshan Mountains Region in China. 437-446. Sacramento, California: World Environmental and Water Resources Congress 2017.

[https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/fac\\_articles/452](https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/fac_articles/452)

This Conference Proceeding is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by Digital Scholarship@UNLV with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Conference Proceeding in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you need to obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/or on the work itself.

This Conference Proceeding has been accepted for inclusion in Civil & Environmental Engineering and Construction Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Scholarship@UNLV. For more information, please contact [digitalscholarship@unlv.edu](mailto:digitalscholarship@unlv.edu).

Streamflow pattern variations resulting from future climate change in Middle  
Tianshan Mountains Region in China

Zhang Feiyun<sup>1</sup>, Li Lanhai<sup>2</sup>, Sajjad Ahmad<sup>3</sup> M.ASCE

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Management, Xinjiang Agricultural University, Urumqi 830052, China

<sup>2</sup>Tianshan Station for Snowcover and Avalanche Research, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, 4505 S. Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154-4015, USA; Phone: (702)895-5456, email: sajjad.ahmad@unlv.edu

**ABSTRACT**


In arid and semi-arid regions of North West china, glacier-melt, seasonal snowmelt and rainfall are the primary sources of flow in the rivers that originate from alpine areas. Spring and summer peak flow resulting from snowmelt and rainfall, respectively, are the main characteristics of streamflow pattern. Because of the damages caused by the spring and summer peak flow, a better understanding of the streamflow pattern variation under future climate warming is crucial. To analyze the variation of streamflow pattern under climate warming, the Kaidu River and the Manasi River watersheds in northern and southern slopes of Middle Tianshan Mountains, located in Xinjiang were selected as study areas. These watersheds receive water from water sources in different proportions. A modified hydrological model was forced with metrological data from CIMP5 data set and the streamflow in Kaidu and Manasi River watersheds were simulated. The results indicate that one-peak-flow pattern is projected to turn to the two-peak-flow pattern in the Manasi River watershed in the future. The two-peak flow pattern will continue in the Kaidu River watershed, but the dominant peak flow will shift from summer to spring in future. This study provides useful information for water resources managers to take different actions to reduce damages caused by spring and summer peak flow under future climate warming.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Alpine area is the source of rivers in arid and semi-arid regions of China. Glacier melt water, seasonal snow melt water, rainfall, and groundwater are the main water sources for streamflow in alpine areas (Ji and Luo 2013; Xu et al. 2008; Yang and Cui 2005). The contributions of these water sources shape the seasonal distribution of annual streamflow (Zhang et al. 2016a) and influence the streamflow patterns. The changes in streamflow pattern such as spring peak flow and summer peak flow have implications for flood and water management (Forsee and Ahmad, 2011). Temperature and precipitation are two sensitive factors in influencing the contribution of different water sources to streamflow in alpine areas (Zhang et al. 2016a). Precipitation taking place as rainfall contributes to the streamflow directly; while that taking place as snowfall accumulates as snowpack (Zhang et al. 2014). Temperature influences the contributing time of glacier/snowpack-melt-water to streamflow (Kuusisto 1984; Kalra et al. 2013a). Under climate warming, a variation of temperature and precipitation may alter the pattern of streamflow (Dawadi and Ahmad 2012). In order to prevent the flood damages (Ahmad and Simonovic, 2001, 2006; Mosquera-Machado and Ahmad 2007) and better manage the water resources (Ahmad and Prashar 2010; Wu et al., 2013; Qaiser et al., 2011,2013; Dawadi and Ahmad 2013), understanding of the variation of streamflow pattern in the future is necessary. Several researchers have evaluated the changes in stream flow in response to climate variability (Pathak et al. 2016a and b; Tamaddun et al. 2016a and b; Sagarika et al. 2014, 2015a and b; Kalra et al. 2013 b and c).

Middle Tianshan Mountains ( $42^{\circ}$  N to  $44^{\circ} 30'$  N and  $82^{\circ}$  E to  $86^{\circ} 30'$  E) is the origination location of some large rivers in Xinjiang. Two rivers originate from Middle Tianshan Mountains: the Kaidu River in southern slope and the Manasi River in northern slope (Figure1). Obstructed by the mountain, the flow of air moisture that forms precipitation in northern and southern slopes is different. The annual precipitation is about 450 mm in northern slope as the windward slope, while less than 200 mm in southern slope as the leeward slope (Zhao et al. 2011). Meanwhile, the annual glacier melt-water accounts for 14.1% in southern slope (Shi 2014) while that accounts for 34.6% in northern slope (Zhang et al. 2009). The contributions of different water sources to streamflow lead to different streamflow pattern in northern and southern slopes of Middle Tianshan Mountains. The Kaidu River have spring peak flow caused by seasonal snowmelt water and summer peak flow caused by heavy rainfall (Zhang et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2016a), while the Manasi River only has summer peak flow caused by heavy rainfall and glacier-melt-water (Zhang et al. 2016a).



**Figure 1.** Location of the Kaidu River (lower) watershed and the Manasi River (upper) watershed.

In Middle Tianshan Mountains, the meteorologic and hydrologic stations are scarce with a short historical observation record in alpine regions. There is only one meteorological station – Bayinbuluk station (2458 m above the sea level) and one hydrological station – Dashankou station (1400 m above the sea level) with long historical data in the Kaidu River watershed; while one meteorological and hydrological station at Kensiwater (885 m above the sea level) with long historical data above the mountain pass in the Manasi River watershed. The shortage of data brings difficulties in studying hydrological process and streamflow pattern variation. Various hydrological models have been used to analyze the runoff process in Middle Tianshan Mountains. Recently, SRM and its different modified versions (Dou et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2013; Li et al. 2014), SWAT (Yu et al. 2011; Ji and Luo 2013), HVB-ETH (Yang et al. 2012), Digital filtering method (Li et al. 2012; Fan et al. 2013) and System dynamics model (Zhang et al. 2016a,b) have been used to simulate the streamflow in Middle Tianshan Mountains. However, these studies mostly focused on streamflow simulation, rarely considering the variation of streamflow pattern in the future in response to climate change. In order to analyze the variation of streamflow patterns in northern and southern slopes of Middle Tianshan Mountains in the future, a modified hydrological model was used to simulate the streamflow and to evaluate the streamflow pattern in the Kaidu River and Manasi River watersheds.

---

## METHODOLOGY AND DATA

A modified SDHydro model (Zhang et al. 2016b) was used to simulate the runoff in both southern and northern slopes of Middle Tianshan Mountains. The model was developed using five tanks: snow storage, canopy interception storage, surface soil water storage, subsurface soil water storage and groundwater storage (Li and Simonovic 2002). The modifications include snowmelt rate estimation and water infiltration and percolation rate simulation (Zhang et al. 2016b). Using the modified SDHydro model, Zhang et al. (2016a & b) simulated the streamflow in the Kaidu and Manasi River watersheds and concluded that modified SDHydro model can simulate the streamflow reasonably well. In order to analyze the variation of streamflow pattern in the future, the same model with the same parameter set was used to project the streamflow in both watersheds.


Climatic data from Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) models were used to simulate the runoff in both watersheds. Correlation coefficients and slope coefficients between observed and different CMIP5 models' historical climatic data were used as criteria to assess CMIP5 models' applicability in the study area. CanESM and BNU-ESM were selected for this study according to the criteria combined with the data availability for downloading. Three scenarios (Representative Concentration Pathway 2.6 (RCP 2.6); 4.5 (RCP 4.5) and 8.5 (RCP 8.5) ) for CanESM and BNU-ESM were used in this study to generate climatic data and estimate the changes in annual and seasonal runoffs in the Kaidu River and Manasi River watersheds in the future i.e., from 2006 to 2100. The spatial resolution of both models is  $2.8125^{\circ} \times 2.8125^{\circ}$ . The future temperature and precipitation datasets in the Kaidu River and Manasi River watersheds were interpolated using the nearest-neighbor interpolation method. The future runoff in both watersheds was projected by using temperature and precipitation from CanESM and BNU-ESM as the inputs to the modified SDHydro model.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


Using the modified SDHydro model, the streamflow in the future has been simulated from 2006 to 2100. The projected annual runoff, spring runoff, and summer runoff are shown in Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively to reflect the patterns of the streamflow.

The volume of the annual runoff in the Kaidu River was approximately three times greater than that in the Manasi River (Figure 2). The variation trend of the future annual runoff in both watersheds was analyzed using the Mann-Kendall method. The runoff have a significant increasing trend ( $p < 0.05$ ) under scenario RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 of CanESM in the Kaidu River watershed. The annual runoff in the Manasi River watershed have a significant increasing trend ( $p < 0.05$ ) only under scenario RCP 2.6, but no significant trends were detected under scenario RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 of CanESM. For BNU-ESM model, the runoff under all scenarios in the Kaidu River watershed have no significant trend. The annual runoff in the Manasi River watershed have a significant decreasing trend ( $p < 0.05$ ) under scenario RCP 8.5, but no significant trends were detected under scenario RCP 2.6 and

## RCP 4.5.




**Figure 2.** Variation of annual runoff in the Kaidu River under CanESM (a) and BNU-ESM (c) and in the Manasi River under CanESM (b) and BNU-ESM (d) in the future.



**Figure 3.** Variation of spring runoff (from March to May) in the Kaidu River under CanESM (a) and BNU-ESM (c) and in the Manasi River under CanESM (b) and BNU-ESM (d).

The volume of the spring runoff in the Kaidu River was about 5 times greater than that in the Manasi River (Figure. 3). The spring runoff accounted for about 42% of annual runoff in the Kaidu River watershed, but only about 27% in the Manasi River watershed. For CanESM model, spring runoff experienced a significant increasing trend ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the Kaidu River watershed in the future for RCP 2.6,

RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios. In the Manasi River watershed, a significant increasing trend was noted for spring runoff ( $p < 0.05$ ) under scenario RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5, but no significant trend was noted under scenario RCP 2.6. For BNU-ESM model, no significant trends were detected in the Kaidu River under scenario RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5. However, a significant increasing trend ( $p < 0.05$ ) was noted in the Manasi River under scenario RCP 2.6 and RCP 8.5, but there was no significant trend under scenario RCP 4.5.



**Figure 4.** Variation of summer runoff (from June to August) in the Kaidu River under CanESM (a) and BNU-ESM (c) and in the Manasi River under CanESM (b) and BNU-ESM (d).

The volume of summer runoff in the Kaidu River was about 1.45 times greater than that in the Manasi River, as illustrated in Figure. 4. The summer runoff accounts for approximately 30% of annual runoff in the Kaidu River, while about 64% in the Manasi River. For CanESM model, summer runoff experienced a significant increasing trend ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the Kaidu River in the future for RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios. In the Manasi River, a significant increasing trend ( $p < 0.05$ ) was noted for summer runoff under RCP 2.6, but a significant decreasing trend was noted under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios. For BNU-ESM model, summer runoff in the Kaidu River experienced a decreasing trend under RCP 2.6 and increasing trend under scenarios RCP 8.5. However, no significant trend was detected under scenario RCP 4.5. In the Manasi River, summer runoff had a significant decreasing trends ( $p < 0.05$ ) under scenarios of RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5.

Variations of projected annual runoff, spring runoff and summer runoff under CanESM and BNU-ESM models in the future were different in the Kaidu River and the Manasi River. For Kaidu river, annual stream flow was increasing under all scenarios for CanESM model. However there were no trends for BNU-ESM model. Overall, no significant trends were detected in future annual runoff in Manasi River for both models. Spring runoff showed a significant increasing trend in the Kaidu

River for all scenarios of CanESM model and no significant trends for BNU-ESM model. Spring runoff showed a significant increasing trend in the Manasi River for both models. The future summer runoff showed a significant increasing trend for Kaidu River for CanESM model but no significant trends for BNU-ESM model. The trend in spring runoff is similar to that of the summer runoff in the Kaidu river for CanESM model. The future summer runoff showed a significant decreasing trend in the Manasi River for both models.

The changes of spring and summer runoff can better reflect the changes in spring and summer peak flow. In the Kaidu River watershed, increased spring runoff and summer runoff may cause serious damages if a flood happens in the future. The magnitude of spring runoff and summer runoff in the future indicated that the two-peak-flow pattern will continue in the Kaidu River watershed. However, the dominance of the summer peak flow will be replaced by the spring peak flow in the future. In the Manasi River watershed, annual runoff has no significant change, but spring runoff has increasing trend while summer runoff has decreasing trend. The change in the runoff distribution within a year indicates the one-peak-flow pattern of the runoff in the Manasi River watershed will shift to two-peak flow pattern in the future. Under climate warming, glacier shrinks the predominant position of glacier-melt-water and rainfall contributing to a runoff in the Manasi River will be replaced by seasonal snowmelt water and rainfall as that in the Kaidu River watershed.

## CONCLUSION

Rivers in an alpine area receive water from different sources, i.e., glacier melt, seasonal snowmelt, rainfall, and groundwater. The different contribution rate of water sources influences the pattern of streamflow. Spring peak flow influenced by snowmelt water combined with summer peak flow determined by heavy rainfall is the main characters of streamflow pattern. Damages caused by the spring and summer peak flow made the research of the streamflow pattern variation crucial under climate warming. In order to analyze the variation of streamflow pattern, especially the variation of spring and summer peak flow in northern and southern slopes of Middle Tianshan Mountains in the future, a modified SDHydro model was selected to simulate the streamflow. The Kaidu River in southern slopes and the Manasi River in northern slope were chosen as the study area. Climatic datasets from CanESM and BNU-ESM of CMIP5 were used as the inputs of the modified SDHydro model.

Under climate warming in the future, the one-peak-flow pattern in northern slope watershed will turn into the two-peak-flow pattern while the two-peak-flow pattern will remain in southern slopes watershed. The dominant position of the summer peak flow will be replaced by the spring peak flow in the southern slope of Middle Tianshan Mountains. The changing intra-annual runoff pattern in northern and southern slopes of Middle Tianshan Mountains provides useful information to water resource managers for water allocation and management in the future.

Precipitation is the main contributor to streamflow. The intra-annual variation of precipitation greatly influences the streamflow pattern. However, interannual and



---

intra-annual variations of precipitation are diverse under different CMIP5 models, which may primarily influence the variation of simulated streamflow. More CMIP5 models should be used in the analysis to better understand the influence of different CMIP5 models on streamflow.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC Grant No. 41401030).

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad, S., & Simonovic, S. P. (2001). "Integration of heuristic knowledge with analytical tools for the selection of flood damage reduction measures". *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 28(2), 208-221.
- Ahmad, S., & Prashar, D., (2010). "Evaluating Municipal Water Conservation Policies Using a Dynamic Simulation Model." *Water Resources Management*, 24(13), 3371-3395.
- Ahmad, S., Simonovic, S.P., (2006). "An intelligent decision support system for management of floods." *Water Resources Management*, 20 (3), 391-410.
- Carrier, C., Kalra, A., & Ahmad, S. (2013). "Using Paleo Reconstructions to Improve Streamflow Forecast Lead Time in the Western United States." *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 49(6), 1351–1366. doi:10.1111/jawr.12088.
- Dawadi, S., & Ahmad, S. (2012). "Changing climatic conditions in the Colorado River Basin: Implications for water resources management." *Journal of Hydrology*, 430-431, 127-141. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.02.010.
- Dawadi, S., & Ahmad, S. (2013). "Evaluating the impact of demand-side management on water resources under changing climatic conditions and increasing population." *Journal of Environmental Management*, 114, 261–75. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.10.015.
- Forsee, W., and Ahmad, S. (2011). "Evaluating urban stormwater infrastructure design in response to projected climate change." *ASCE J. Hydrologic Eng.* 16, 865–873. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000383.
- Dou, Y., Chen, X., Bao, A., & Li, L. (2011). "The simulation of snowmelt runoff in the ungauged Kaidu River Basin of Tianshan Mountains, China." *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 62(5):1039–1045. doi:10.1007/s12665-010-0592-5.
- Fan, Y., Chen, Y., & Liu, Y. (2013). "Variation of baseflows in the headstreams of the Tarim River Basin during 1960–2007." *Journal of Hydrology*, 487: 98-108.
- Ji, X., Luo, Y. (2013). "The influence of precipitation and temperature input schemes on hydrological simulations of a snow and glacier melt dominated basin in Northwest China." *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 10(1): 807-853. doi:10.5194/hessd-10-807-2013.
- Kalra, A., Ahmad, S., & Nayak, A. (2013a). "Increasing streamflow forecast lead time for snowmelt-driven catchment based on large-scale climate patterns." *Advances in Water Resources*, 53: 150-162. doi: 10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.11.003.
- Kalra, A., Miller, W. P., Lamb, K. W., Ahmad, S., & Piechota, T. (2013b). "Using large-scale climatic patterns for improving long lead time streamflow forecasts

- for Gunnison and San Juan River Basins.” *Hydrological Processes*, 27(11), 1543–1559. doi:10.1002/hyp.9236.
- Kalra, A., Ahmad, S., & Nayak, A. (2013c). “Increasing streamflow forecast lead time for snowmelt-driven catchment based on large-scale climate patterns.” *Advances in Water Resources*, 53, 150–162. doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.11.003
- Kuusisto, E. (1984). “Snow accumulation and snowmelt in Finland.” Water Research Institute, Kokkola, p55.
- Li, L., Simonovic, S. P. (2002). “System dynamics model for predicting floods from snowmelt in North American prairie watersheds.” *Hydrological Processes*, 13: 2645-2666.
- Li, L., Shang, M., & Zhang, M. (2014). “Snowmelt runoff simulation driven by APHRODITE precipitation dataset.” *Advances in water science*, 25(1): 53-59.(in Chinese)
- Li, Q., Li, L., & Bao, A. (2012). “Snow Cover Change and Impact on Runoff in the Kaidu River Basin.” *Resources Science*, 34(1), 91-97. (in Chinese)
- Mosquera-Machado, S., & Ahmad, S. (2007). Flood hazard assessment of Atrato River in Colombia. *Water Resources Management*, 21(3), 591-609.
- Pathak, P., Kalra, A., Ahmad, S., & Bernardez, M. (2016a). “Wavelet-Aided Analysis to Estimate Seasonal Variability and Dominant Periodicities in Temperature, Precipitation, and Streamflow in the Midwestern United States.” *Water Resources Management*, 30(13), 4649–4665. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-016-1445-0>.
- Pathak, P., Kalra, A., & Ahmad, S. (2016b). “Temperature and precipitation changes in the Midwestern United States: implications for water management.” *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 1–17. <http://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2016.1238343>.
- Qaiser, K., Ahmad, S., Johnson, W., & Batista, J. (2011). “Evaluating the impact of water conservation on fate of outdoor water use: a study in an arid region.” *Journal of Environmental Management*, 92(8), 2061–8. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.03.031
- Qaiser, K., Ahmad, S., Johnson, W., & Batista, J. (2013). “Evaluating Water Conservation and Reuse Policies using a Dynamic Water Balance Model.” *Environmental Management*, 51(2), 449-458.
- Sagarika, S., Kalra, A., & Ahmad, S. (2014). “Evaluating the effect of persistence on long-term trends and analyzing step changes in streamflows of the continental United States.” *Journal of Hydrology* 517,36–53. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.05.002.
- Sagarika, S., Kalra, A., & Ahmad, S. (2015a). “Interconnection between oceanic-atmospheric indices and variability in the US streamflow.” *Journal of Hydrology* 525, 724–736. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.04.020.
- Sagarika, S., Kalra, A., & Ahmad, S. (2015b). “Pacific Ocean and SST and Z500 climate variability and western U.S. seasonal streamflow.” *International Journal of Climatology* 36, 1515– 1533. doi:10.1002/joc.4442.
- Shi, Y. (2014). “Spatial-temporal distribution and change of precipitation and vapor in Xinjiang.” *China Meteorological press*, Beijing. (in Chinese)
- Tamaddun, K. A., Kalra, A., & Ahmad, S. (2016a). “Wavelet analysis of western U.S. streamflow with ENSO and PDO.” *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 1–15. <http://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2016.162>.

- 
- Tamaddun, K., Kalra, A., & Ahmad, S. (2016b). "Identification of Streamflow Changes across the Continental United States Using Variable Record Lengths." *Hydrology*, 3(2), 24. <http://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology3020024>
- Wu G, Li L, Ahmad S, Chen X, Pan X (2013). "A Dynamic Model for Vulnerability Assessment of Regional Water Resources in Arid Areas: A Case Study of Bayingolin, China", *Water Resources Management*, 27(8): 3085-3101.
- Xu, J., Chen, Y., & Ji, M. (2008). "Climate change and its effects on runoff of Kaidu River, Xinjiang, China: a multiple time-scale analysis." *Chinese Geographical Science*, 18(4): 331–339. doi:10.1007/s11769-008-0331-y.
- Yang, M., Ye, B., & Peng, P. (2012). "A Simulation of Glacial Runoff at Headwaters of the Ürümqi River." *Journal of Glaciology and Geocryology*, 34(1): 130-138. (in Chinese)
- Yang, Q., Cui, C. (2005). "Impact of climate change on the surface water of Kaidu River Basin." *Journal of Geographical Sciences*, 15(1): 20–28. doi:10.1007/BF02873103.
- Yu, M., Chen, X., & Li, L. (2011). "Streamflow simulation by SWAT using different precipitation sources in large arid basins with scarce rain gauges." *Water Resources Management*, 25(11): 2669–2681. doi:10.1007/s11269-011-9832-z.
- Yu, M., Chen, X., & Li, L. (2013). "Incorporating accumulated temperature and algorithm of snow cover calculation into the snowmelt runoff model." *Hydrological Processes*, 27(25): 3589–3595. doi:10.1002/hyp.9372.
- Zhang, F., Ahmad, S., & Zhang, H. (2016b). "Simulating low and high streamflow driven by snowmelt in an insufficiently gauged alpine basin." *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, doi: 10.1007/s00477-015-1028-2.
- Zhang, F., Li, L., & Ahmad, S. (2014). Using path analysis to identify the influence of climatic factors on spring peak flow dominated by snowmelt in an alpine watershed. *Journal of Mountain Science*, 11(4): 990–1000. doi:10.1007/s11629-013-2789-z.
- Zhang, F., Lei, B., Lanhai, L., & Quan, W. (2016a). "Sensitivity of Runoff to Climatic Variability in the Northern and Southern Slopes of the Middle Tianshan Mountains." *Journal of Arid Land*. 8(5): 681-693. DOI: 10.1007/s40333-016-0015-x
- Zhang, P., Wang, J., & Liu, Y. (2009). "Application of SRM to flood forecast and forewarning of Manasi River Basin in Spring." *Remote Sensing Technology and Application*, 24(4): 456-461. (in Chinese)
- Zhao, C. C., Ding, Y. J., & Ye, B. S. (2011). "Spatial distribution of precipitation in Tianshan Mountains and its estimation." *Advances in water science*, 22(3): 315-322. (in Chinese)