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Gamblers’ Thoughts

- Key factor in the onset and maintenance of gambling disorder (Blaszczynski & Silove, 1995; Gaboury & Ladouceur, 1989; Ladouceur, 2004)

- Several terminologies (Barrault & Varescon, 2012)
  - E.g., erroneous thoughts, dysfunctional thoughts, cognitive distortions...

- Erroneous thoughts
  - Underly that the thought is false
  - Do not consider the notion of randomness
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Gamblers’ Thoughts

- Important contribution to the development and maintenance of gambling disorder (Blaszczynski & Silove, 1995; Gaboury & Ladouceur, 1989; Ladouceur, 2004)

- Several terminology (Barrault & Varescon, 2012)
  - E.g., erroneous thoughts, dysfunctional thoughts, cognitive distortions...

- Erroneous thoughts
  - Underly that the thought is false
  - Do not consider the notion of randomness

- Some thoughts are not false, yet still harmful for the gambler’s functioning (Bouchard et al., 2013; Kovacs & Beck, 1978)
  - E.g. « Gambling makes me forget my problems »
Treatment

- Cognitive restructuring (CR) = central component of CBT (Ladouceur et al. 2001)
  - CBT = gold standard for treating gambling disorder (Cowlishaw et al., 2012)

- Directly targets
  1. Identification
  2. Modification

- According to Lafond et Brisson (2007), only half of the therapists surveyed use CR on a regular basis:
  - Most therapists did not feel comfortable with the diversity and intensity of gamblers’ thoughts
  - Perceived a lack of proper tools to identify and correct the thoughts
Many Questions Regarding CR

- According to Blaszczynski (2005), the objective of the treatment is often not cited
  - Target of the CR
    - To reduce gambling-related thoughts? Or the number of DSM-5 gambling disorder criteria?

- What are the guidelines with respect to the different treatment modalities?
  - Individual vs Group
  - By Internet vs Face-to-face

- Skill game-related thoughts
  - Are there any specific findings or recommendations from the literature in regard to the correction of these thoughts?
Objectives

- The aim of this study is to review research on cognitive restructuring with gamblers

  a) Identify the terms used to refer to gamblers’ thoughts
  b) Identify the objectives and outcome measures of CR
  c) Describe CR modalities
      ▪ Availability (face-to-face, self-help treatment, etc.)
      ▪ Format (individual, group)
      ▪ Duration (e.g., number of session, hours)
  d) Describe tools and techniques used to identify and correct gambling-related thoughts
  e) Describe how skill game-related thoughts are identified and corrected
Method – Search Strategy

- Identify cognitive treatment studies or studies that include CR sessions
  - Published between 1980 and 2014 in PsycINFO, PubMed and FRANCIS

- Two different search strategies
  1. Keywords in the abstracts combining two themes
     a) Gambling
     b) Treatment

    (gambling OR gambler*) AND (treatment* OR intervention* OR therapy OR therapies)
Method – Search Strategy

2. Thesaurus from PsycINFO and PubMed
   - PsycINFO
     
     {Gambling} AND {Psychotherapy} OR {Cognitive Behavior Therapy} OR {Cognitive Restructuring} OR {Cognitive Therapy} OR {Cognitive techniques}

   - PubMed
     
     ("Gambling"[Mesh]) AND ("Cognitive Therapy"[Mesh])

   - Gray literature
     - Google and Google Scholar
     - Unpublished studies from addiction treatment or research centers in Canada
Method – Inclusion Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Primary gambling problem</td>
<td>Primary disorder other than problem gambling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Psychological cognitive treatment or psychological treatment that includes one or more CR sessions</td>
<td>Other types of treatment (e.g., pharmacological treatment) or not including CR (behavioral treatment only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of publication</td>
<td>Treatment studies (randomized trial or not)</td>
<td>Others : review and meta-analysis, survey, prevention studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>French or English</td>
<td>Other languages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4646 References identified from search in PubMed, PsycNET and Francis

21 Other references identified (gray literature)

2607 Screened references

2060 References excluded after first screening (1747 duplicates and 313 other types of references, e.g., audiovisual, tests)

2382 Abstracts excluded after review

184 Full-text articles excluded after detailed evaluation

41 References that met inclusion criteria

Figure 1. Flow diagram (The PRISMA Group, 2009)
Results - Terminology

- 61% of the studies used several terminologies to refer to gamblers’ thoughts
  - 14.6% used 4 different terminologies or more
  - 24.5% used 3
  - 14.6% used 2
  - 19.5% used 1
  - 14.6% do not refer to gamblers’ thoughts

- 56.1% referred to Erroneous thoughts
- 29.3% referred to Cognitive distortions
- 19.5% referred to Irrational thoughts
- 9.8% referred to Unadapted thoughts
- 9.8% referred to Dysfunctional thoughts
Results – Study Characteristics

41 included articles

33 comprise 1 treatment
33 treatment

8 comprise 2 treatment groups both including CR components
8 cognitive restructuring only

16 treatment

49 treatments was evaluated

41 cognitive-behavioral treatment
Results – Treatment Objectives and Outcome Measures

- Treatment objective reported in 95.1 % of studies
  - 17 (41.5 %) have specific objectives with respect to gamblers’ thoughts
- Treatment objectives are mainly behavioral indicators
  - Gambling disorder is manifested by behaviors such as money and time spent gambling
  - No diagnostic criteria related to gamblers’ thoughts
- Only 5 studies (12.1 %) report a cognitive variable as a measure of treatment effectiveness
  - Thoughts – Behaviors – Emotions are interdependent (Beck, 1963)
- Gambling-related thoughts = risk factor (Blaszczynski & Silove, 1995; Gaboury & Ladouceur, 1989; Ladouceur, 2004)
Results – Treatment Modalities

- **Availability**
  - Face-to-face: 83.7%
    - All CR-only treatment are performed in face-to-face ($n = 8$)

- **Format**
  - Individual: 65.9%
  - Group: 31.7%
  - Both: 2.4%
    - Among the 8 CR-only treatment, 6 are performed in individual

- **Duration**
  - CR in CBT makes up for 10 to 50% of sessions
  - CR-only treatment: short to medium term (6 sessions to 20 hours of intervention)
Results – Techniques and Tools

- 74.2% of studies identify techniques and tools to perform CR
  - Psychoeducation
    - Explain the true odds of winning and the concept of randomness
  - Exposure to access gamblers’ thoughts
    - Imaginal
    - In vivo
  - Different types of worksheets (visual support) to confront gamblers’ thoughts
    - Teach links between thoughts, feelings and behavior (Node-Link-Mapping)
    - ABCD exercice
    - Cue-cards (thought checklist)
Results – Skill game-related Thoughts

- Of the 41 studies included, 59.4% recruited skill game gamblers
- None provides explanation about how to identify or correct their thoughts
- None provides information about a possible adaptation of CR
  - Did therapists perform CR with these gamblers the same way they did with chance game gamblers?
  - If so... is this because their thoughts do not differ from those of chance game gamblers?
- According to Delfabbro (2004), these gamblers have different thoughts
- Lack of knowledge concerning skill game-related thoughts:
  - Contribute to the therapists’ difficulties applying CR with skill game gamblers (Papineau et al., 2005)?
Conclusion & Recommendations

- Terms used to designate gambling-related thoughts should be properly defined across studies

- Difficult for therapist to learn CR from the reading of studies
  - No extensive treatment description and few examples on how to use the tools
    - Several constraints by journals (number of words and tables, appendices, etc.)

- Therapists who wish to use evidence-based interventions should have access to the treatment manuals
Strengths & Limitations

- Limitations
  - No effect size was calculated to evaluate effectiveness of CR-only
    - Need for a meta-analysis
  - No descriptive evaluation of treatment manuals

- Strengths
  - First review on that subject
  - Systematic review with a rigorous methodology
    - Interrater agreements for the study selection and for the data extraction

- Future studies should compare the effectiveness of CR applied to chance game vs. skill game gamblers
  - Is CR as effective with skill game gamblers, in comparison with chance game gamblers?
  - If not, how should CR be adapted?
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