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ABSTRACT

Capacitive sensing is a popular technology employed in billions of products and millions of applications. This Thesis details the design, layout and characterization of an Integrated Circuit (IC) that employs Delta-Sigma Modulation (DSM) for sensing capacitance. The chip, measuring 1.5 mm x 1.5 mm, was designed in On Semiconductor’s C5 process and was manufactured by MOSIS.

The sensing circuit links linearly the ratio of a “test” to a “reference” capacitance to the output of a Delta-Sigma Modulator. The equations that describe the operation of the sensing circuit are derived. Besides the sensing circuit, there is a non-overlapping clock generator that provides the necessary clock signals for the circuit’s operation. There is also some peripheral circuitry that translates the digital output of the sensing circuit to an 8-bit binary number that can be used to calculate “test” capacitance and is immune to power supply voltage variations. The chip can sense any capacitance with an error as little as 0.07 % for some values in the pico-Farad and nano-Farad range by adjusting the reference capacitor and the input clock signal (30 kHz and 30 Hz respectively). The circuit operates on a nominal power supply voltage of 5 V and the maximum power dissipation of the entire circuit is 750 μW.

The chip is also used for two applications, water level sensing and soil moisture content measurement. The capacitive sensor used for these applications is made on PCB and its capacitance is linearly related to water level and moisture content.
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CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Capacitive sensing is a popular technology employed in billions of products and millions of applications such as cell phone and laptop displays, biomedicine, robotics, fingerprinting, automotive, etc. This versatile sensor category offers higher precision and robustness, simpler construction and lower power than resistive-based alternatives [1]. In the center of this development is the sensing method itself, the process by which the capacitance is being measured and converted into digital words that can be processed, manipulated and interpreted. A few of the most commonly used sensing methods are Charge Transfer, Successive Approximation, Mutual Capacitance Measurement and Delta-Sigma Modulation [2]. Great effort is being put to maximize sensitivity, accuracy, and responsiveness of the sensors while minimizing power dissipation.

Consider a parallel-plate capacitor that consists of two conductors with overlapping area $A$ and distance $d$ between them, separated by a non-conductive region called dielectric with permittivity $\varepsilon$. When $d$ is much smaller than the plate dimensions, its capacitance is given by the following equation:

$$C = \varepsilon \times \frac{A}{d} \quad (1.1)$$

When you alter one of the three parameters in this equation, you get a capacitive sensor. Consequently, depending on the parameter that is modulated, we have three different categories of capacitive sensors with different applications. Distance modulation finds application in displacement sensors, pressure sensors, proximity sensors, touchscreens, etc. Area modulation finds application in angular detectors and finally, modulation of the dielectric finds application in humidity sensors, gas sensors, DNA sensors, etc.
The development of the read-out or sensing circuitry for this kind of sensors is however a great challenge as generally a very small signal has to be detected in an extremely noisy environment [3]. A powerful and practical circuit technique called Delta-Sigma (ΔΣ) modulation (also known as Sigma-Delta (ΣΔ) modulation) is ideal for sensing applications where the desired signal we are sensing is a constant but may be corrupted with noise [4,5].

Until recently designers have been forced to convert variance in capacitance to a variance in voltage, current, frequency or pulse width, which is then converted to digital using an Analog-to-Digital Converter (ADC) employing Delta-Sigma modulation [6,7]. The design proposed in this thesis permits direct interfacing between the capacitive sensor and the Delta-Sigma ADC, which brings inherent features such as high resolution, accuracy, and linearity. The output data represent the ratio between the capacitive sensor (C_{TEST}) and a reference capacitor (C_{REF}), both off-chip.

This thesis presents the design, layout, simulation and testing of a capacitive sensing circuit using Delta-Sigma Modulation (DSM). Chapter 2 demonstrates the circuit’s design and layout that were designed using Cadence Virtuoso software and explains the operation of the circuit. Chapter 3 offers details about the chip that was manufactured by MOSIS in ON Semiconductor’s C5 process [8]. Chapter 4 shows the circuit’s simulation results, whereas Chapter 5 details the chip’s testing results. Chapter 6 presents some design considerations that resulted from simulating and then testing the operation of the circuit. Chapter 7 showcases two possible applications of the chip. Finally, Chapter 8 is the conclusion of this thesis.
CHAPTER 2: CIRCUIT DESIGN AND LAYOUT

2.1 THE SENSING CIRCUIT

The sensing circuit consists of an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) employing Delta-Sigma Modulation (DSM). In a conventional ADC, the analog input signal is sampled with a sampling frequency and then quantized into a digital signal. This process adds noise to the input signal, also known as quantization noise. In a Delta-Sigma Modulator, a type of Noise-Shaping modulator, feedback is used to improve the overall data conversion performance. The digital output of the ADC passes through a digital-to-analog converter (DAC), then it is subtracted (Delta) from the input signal and finally the integrator sums (Sigma) this difference and feeds it forward to the ADC. This forces the output of the modulator to follow the average of the input signal [9]. In Figure 1, we see a block diagram of the operation of a 1st order Delta-Sigma Modulator.

![Figure 1 – Block diagram of a 1st order Delta-Sigma Modulator](image)
Figure 2 shows the sensing circuit of the chip. The capacitor $C_{BUCKET}$ acts as an integrator (Sigma), the clocked comparator is the 1-bit ADC and the 1-bit DAC is the feedback from the output back into the positive terminal of the comparator through a PMOS (P2).

$C_{BUCKET}$ is constantly being discharged through the bottom switched-capacitor (SC) resistor while the feedback is trying to keep the voltage across the capacitor to the reference voltage. When the output of the comparator is low, in other words when the voltage across $C_{BUCKET}$ is less that the reference voltage $V_{REF}$ ($V_{REF} = VDD/2 = 2.5$ V from the voltage divider), P2 turns on and $C_{BUCKET}$ charges through the upper SC resistor. When the output of the comparator goes high (5 V for C5 process), P2 turns off and $C_{BUCKET}$ discharges through the bottom switched-capacitor (see Figure 3). All three capacitors are off-chip.
The comparator, or sense amplifier, is a 1-bit analog-to-digital converter. It takes 2 analog inputs, calculates their difference and if the difference is positive then the output of the comparator is a logic high, otherwise the output is a logic low. In our case, the comparator compares the voltage across $C_{BUCKET}$ (positive terminal) and the reference voltage (negative terminal) which is at $V_{DD}/2$. It is a clocked comparator and it is designed so that the output changes only on the rising edge of the clock.

In Figure 4, we see the schematic of the clocked comparator with the SR latch and the inverters. Although there are numerous options, this comparator design is simple, low power and it works very well for small signal differences. The design is based on cross-coupled inverters with added circuitry so that no static current flows into the circuit, all nodes are driven to known
voltages as long as the inputs are above the threshold voltage and to avoid clock feedthrough and kickback noise.

![Comparator diagram](image)

Figure 4 – The clocked comparator

Clock feedthrough noise is present when a clock signal has a direct path to the inputs of the sensing circuit. We minimize this noise by isolating the clock from inputs with 3 devices. The kickback noise is present and injected into the inputs of the comparator when the latch switches states [4]. We also minimize this noise by isolating the inputs from the high-swing nodes. In Figure 5 we see the simulated kickback noise of the circuit. We drive the inputs to the rails with inverters and the kickback noise is around 22 µV for the positive terminal and 2.4 mV for the negative terminal of the comparator.

For minimum power dissipation and to avoid metastability issues, it is crucial to ensure that there are no direct DC paths from VDD to ground except during switching times. In our
design, we use long L NMOS input devices so they never pull significant current [4]. In Figure 6 we see the simulated current that flows in the sense amplifier.

Figure 5 – Kickback noise for Inp (blue) and Inm (red)

Figure 6 – The current that flows in the sense amplifier
Also, note that we use a wider device at the negative input of the comparator to add an offset to the reference voltage. This offset gives slightly better simulation results. In Figure 7, we see that the output of the comparator switches when the positive input is 130 mV above the negative input.

![Graph showing the offset of the comparator](image)

Figure 7 – The offset of the comparator

The second stage of the comparator is the SR latch, a much-needed component to ensure that the output of the comparator only changes on the rising edge of the clock. It consists of two NAND gates connected the way the schematic shows (Figure 4). When the clock is low and both outputs of the comparator are high, the outputs of the SR latch do not change from the previous state they went to on the rising edge of the clock. Finally, we have inverters sharpening the outputs of the comparator and making it more decisive. In Figures 8 and 9 we see the schematic and layout of the sense amplifier with the SR latch and the inverters.
Figure 8 – The design of the comparator in Cadence

Figure 9 – Layout of the comparator
2.1.2 SWITCHED-CAPACITOR CIRCUITS

In this design, switched-capacitor (SC) resistors are used. Switched-capacitor circuits are known to minimize power as long as they operate with non-overlapping clock signals. In Figure 10, there is a SC resistor with PMOS devices acting as switches. The two clock signals, $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$, are non-overlapping which means that they can never be low at the same time for PMOS switches.

![Switched-capacitor resistor diagram](image)

Figure 10 – Switched-capacitor resistor

When $\varphi_1$ is low, the capacitor charges to $V_1$ which is equal to $Q_1/C$ and when $\varphi_2$ is low, the capacitor charges to $V_2 = Q_2/C$ [4]. The difference between $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ is transferred between $V_1$ and $V_2$ during one clock cycle with period $T = 1/f$ and it is given by

$$Q_1 - Q_2 = C \times (V_1 - V_2)$$ (2.1)

The average current is given by

$$I = \frac{C \times (V_1 - V_2)}{T}$$ (2.2)

And if we consider the resistance of the SC circuit as

$$R_{SC} = \frac{T}{C} = \frac{1}{C \times f}$$ (2.3)
Then the average current will be

$$I = \frac{V_1 - V_2}{R_{SC}}$$

(2.4)

2.1.3 THE EQUATIONS FOR DELTA-SIGMA SENSING

In our circuit, we consider $C_{REF}$ as a known capacitor and we are trying to sense the value of $C_{TEST}$, with $C_{REF} \geq C_{TEST}$ and $C_{BUCKET} >> C_{REF}$ for correct operation. If $Q_{TEST}$ is the average amount of charge that leaves $C_{BUCKET}$ in one clock cycle with a period of $T$, then $Q_{TEST}$ should be equal to the average amount of charge $Q_{REF}$ that enters $C_{BUCKET}$ in that clock cycle, so:

$$Q_{REF} = Q_{TEST}$$

(2.5)

In Figure 11, the switched-capacitors have been replaced with resistors in the sensing circuit.
Assuming a large open-loop gain, both inputs of the comparator are equal to \(V_{\text{REF}}\), so \(V_{\text{BUCKET}} = V_{\text{REF}}\). The average voltage across the top SC with a resistance of \(R_{\text{REF}}\), is \(V_{\text{DD}} - V_{\text{BUCKET}}\) and the average current would be \(I_{\text{REF}}\):

\[
I_{\text{REF}} = \frac{V_{\text{DD}} - V_{\text{BUCKET}}}{R_{\text{REF}}} = \frac{V_{\text{DD}} - V_{\text{REF}}}{R_{\text{REF}}}
\]  

(2.6)

The average voltage across the bottom SC with a resistance of \(R_{\text{TEST}}\), is \(V_{\text{REF}}\) and the average current would be \(I_{\text{TEST}}\):

\[
I_{\text{TEST}} = \frac{V_{\text{BUCKET}}}{R_{\text{TEST}}} = \frac{V_{\text{REF}}}{R_{\text{TEST}}}
\]  

(2.7)

Let us assume that \(M\) is the number of clock cycles the comparator’s output goes low (or the complimentary output \(\overline{\text{Out}}\) goes high) and \(N\) is the total number of clock cycles [5]. Then the average current that charges \(C_{\text{BUCKET}}\) in one cycle would be \(I_{\text{REF}} \times (M/N)\) and by charge conservation we get:

\[
I_{\text{TEST}} \times T = I_{\text{REF}} \times \frac{M}{N} \times T
\]  

(2.8)

\[
I_{\text{TEST}} = I_{\text{REF}} \times \frac{M}{N}
\]  

(2.9)

\[
\frac{V_{\text{REF}}}{R_{\text{TEST}}} = \frac{V_{\text{DD}} - V_{\text{REF}}}{R_{\text{REF}}} \times \frac{M}{N}
\]  

(2.10)

\[
\frac{V_{\text{DD}}}{2 \times R_{\text{TEST}}} = \frac{V_{\text{DD}}}{2 \times R_{\text{REF}}} \times \frac{M}{N}
\]  

(2.11)

\[
\frac{R_{\text{REF}}}{R_{\text{TEST}}} = \frac{M}{N}
\]  

(2.12)

From switched-capacitor theory, we know that:
So now we get:

\[
R_{SC} = \frac{1}{C \times f}
\]  

(2.13)

\[
\frac{1}{C_{REF} \times f} = \frac{M}{N}
\]  

(2.14)

\[
C_{TEST} = C_{REF} \times \frac{M}{N}
\]  

(2.15)

Equation (2.15) shows the linear relationship between \(C_{TEST}\) and \(C_{REF}\). Therefore, if we want to sense the value of a capacitor, all we have to do is count how many times the positive output of the comparator goes low (or the negative output goes high) and multiply this to the value of the reference capacitor \(C_{REF}\).

2.1.4 INCOMPLETE SETTLING

For the circuit’s proper operation, it is crucial that the voltage at the reference capacitor \(C_{REF}\) settles between the clock changes, in other words we must ensure that the \(C_{REF}\) fully charges and discharges within a clock cycle. Figure 12 shows the voltage at \(C_{REF}\) (red dotted line) for an input clock signal of 33 kHz (blue solid line). This voltage moves between 5 V and 2.5 V and it is clear that it settles completely before switching. The sensing circuit in this case provides a correct result (\(C_{TEST} = 250\) pF and the average output voltage is 2.5 V which means 250 pF). Figure 13, on the other hand, shows the incomplete settling of \(C_{REF}\) when we raise the clock frequency to 250 kHz. It is clear that the capacitor never fully charges or discharges leading to a wrong sense result (\(C_{TEST} = 250\) pF and the average output voltage is 2.8 V which means 280 pF).
Figure 12 – The voltage at $C_{\text{REF}}$ for clock frequency $f = 33 \text{ kHz}$

Figure 13 – The voltage at $C_{\text{REF}}$ for clock frequency $f = 250\text{kHz}$
To eliminate the incomplete settling behavior, we can either lower the clock frequency or use wider devices for the switched-capacitor. Figure 14 shows how the problem the higher frequency \( f = 250 \text{ kHz} \) created, is solved when we use wider devices for the SC circuit. In our circuit, we choose to lower the clock frequency for lower power dissipation and smaller layout area.

![Figure 14](image)

*Figure 14 – The voltage at \( C_{\text{REF}} \) for clock frequency \( f = 250\text{kHz} \) and wider devices*

### 2.2 THE CLOCK GENERATOR

In a design that employs switched-capacitors, it is crucial to have non-overlapping clock signals for correct operation. The rise and fall times of the clock signals should not occur at the same time and there should be a period of dead time between transitions.

The schematic of the clock generator is shown in Figure 16. This circuit takes a clock input and generates two non-overlapping clock signals. The delay through the NAND gate and
the two inverters after the NAND determine the amount of separation of the two signals [4]. The additional inverters are used to buffer the outputs. Note that the 33 kHz phi1 and phi2 are used for the NMOS devices (never high at the same times) and their compliments phi1b and phi2b are used for the PMOS devices (never low at the same times) (see Figure 15).

![Figure 15 - Non-overlapping clock signals](image)

In Figure 17 and Figure 18 we see the schematic and layout of the clock generator in Cadence.

![Figure 16 - Schematic of the clock generator](image)
2.3 PERIPHERAL CIRCUITRY

Besides the sensing circuit and the clock generator, there is some additional circuitry that is responsible for the presentation of the sensing circuit’s output. The output of the sensing circuit is digital, a series of ones and zeros. An analog output would be more convenient and easier to read. With the use of counters, logic gates, D flip-flops (D-FFs) and a DAC, we get an analog output, a voltage that is proportional to the value of C_{TEST}. 
From Eq. (2.15), we know that in order to find the value of the capacitor we are sensing, we need to count how many times the output of the sensing circuit goes low or the complimentary output goes high. That is why we feed the complimentary output Outi to a counter (counter A) and then to a DAC to get the analog output. The DAC has a resolution of 8 bits ($V_{DD}/2^8 = 5/256 = 0.0195V = 19.5 \text{ mV}$). Every 256 clock cycles, the CLR (clear) signal generated by counter B, a NAND and an inverter resets counter A. In Figure 19, there is a diagram of the peripheral circuitry. The components enclosed by the dotted line generate the CLR signal and the components enclosed by the red line generate the store signal.

Figure 19 – Peripheral circuitry
Since there is no need to see the change in the output of the DAC, we use D-FFs right before the DAC to hold the final state of counter A (255 clock cycles) during the 256 clock cycles. The D-FFs are clocked using the store signal generated by counter B, another NAND and a NOR gate. The store signal goes high and changes the states of the D-FFs when counter B has counted 255 clock cycles. Finally, the DAC outputs the analog signal. If you multiply this voltage with the value of the reference capacitor $C_{REF}$ and divide it with the supply voltage $V_{DD}$, then you get the value of the capacitor we are trying to sense.

2.3.1 THE D-FF

In order to hold the final state of the counter, we use eight D-FFs before the DAC. These are rising edge-triggered D-FFs which means that their output only changes on the rising edge of the clock. We can make the D-FF change state on the falling edge of the clock by simply switching the clock signals on the transmission gates (TGs). Figure 20 shows an implementation of an edge-triggered D-FF. It consists of two latches, the master and the slave. When the clock is low, the first stage tracks the D input and the second stage holds the previous state. When the clock goes high, the first stage captures and transfers the input to the second stage [4]. In Figure 21 and 22, we see the schematic and layout of the edge-triggered D flip-flop.

![Figure 20 – Edge-triggered D flip-flop](image-url)
If we want to add the option of clearing the D-FF, we change two of the inverters with NAND gates as shown in Figure 23. When $Clr$ goes high ($Clr_i$ goes low), the outputs of the NAND gates go high and the outputs of the D-FF are forced to $Q = 0$ and $Qi = 1$. The schematic and layout of the edge-triggered D-FF with clear option are shown in Figures 24 and 25.

![Figure 21 – Schematic of the D-FF in Cadence](image1)

![Figure 22 – Layout of the D-FF in Cadence](image2)
Figure 23 – Schematic of the edge-triggered D-FF with clear

Figure 24 – Schematic of the edge-triggered D-FF with clear in Cadence

Figure 25 – Layout of the edge-triggered D-FF with clear in Cadence
2.3.2 THE COUNTER

The counter used in this design is an 8-bit asynchronous counter with D-FFs. For the implementation of the counter, we use the edge-triggered D-FFs with clear so that we can reset the counter. The range of the count is 0 (binary 00000000) to $2^8 - 1 = 255$ (binary 11111111). The external clock signal (which is the complimentary output $\overline{Out}$ of the sensing circuit for counter A and the clock signal phi1b for counter B) is connected to the clock input of the first D-FF only and the remaining D-FF’s clock inputs are driven by the output of the previous stage. All the clear inputs are tied together, so that a single pulse can clear all the D-FFs simultaneously (Figure 26).

![Figure 26 – Schematic of the 8-bit asynchronous counter](image)

Figures 27 and 28 show the schematic and the layout of the counter drawn in Cadence. As already mentioned, in this design there are two counters. The first counter (counter A in Figure 19) counts how many times the complimentary output of the sensing circuit goes high, whereas the second counter (counter B in Figure 19) counts 256 clock cycles after which both counters reset. The output bits of the counter can either be “high” or “low”, resulting in an 8-bit binary number which is immune to power supply (VDD) variations.
2.3.3 THE DAC

The final part of the design is the DAC. We use the DAC to convert the content of the counter (counter A in Figure 19), which is the number of times out of 256 the inverted output of the sensing circuit goes high, to a voltage that can be easily measured. This voltage is directly proportional to the M/N ratio that is needed to calculate the value of $C_{\text{TEST}}$. 
The DAC is implemented by an R-2R resistor ladder circuit, one of the simplest DAC architectures, shown in Figure 29. The R–2R ladder operates as a string of current dividers, whose output accuracy is solely dependent on how well each resistor is matched to the others. The R-2R resistor ladder architecture is easy to design since only two resistor values are required. It is fast and has fixed output impedance of R.

![Figure 29 – Schematic of the 8-bit DAC](image)

The digital inputs B0:B7 are switched between a logic high (VDD = 5 V) and a logic low (GND = 0 V). Each input has a different contribution to the output voltage $V_{OUT,DAC}$, which is given by

$$V_{OUT,DAC} = VDD \times \frac{V_{DIGITAL}}{2^n} = VDD \times \frac{M}{N}$$

(2.16)

where $n$ is the number of bits and

$$V_{DIGITAL} = (B0 \times 2^0) + (B1 \times 2^1) + (B2 \times 2^2) + (B3 \times 2^3) + (B4 \times 2^4) + (B5 \times 2^5) + (B6 \times 2^6) + (B7 \times 2^7)$$

If, for example, all inputs are high then $V_{OUT}$ will be
\[ V_{OUT}^{MAX} = 5 \times \frac{(1 \times 2^0) + (1 \times 2^1) + (1 \times 2^2) + (1 \times 2^3) + (1 \times 2^4) + (1 \times 2^5) + (1 \times 2^6) + (1 \times 2^7)}{2^8} \]
\[ = 5 \times \frac{255}{256} \approx 4.9805 \text{ V} \] (2.17)

The minimum output voltage is \( V_{OUT}^{MIN} = 0 \text{ V} \) and the step with which the DAC’s output can change (resolution) is

\[ \Delta V_{OUT} = 5 \times \frac{1}{2^{256}} \approx 0.0195 \text{ V or 19.5 mV} \] (2.18)

If we try to translate this into capacitor values and keeping in mind Eq. (2.15)

\[ C_{TEST} = C_{REF} \times \frac{M}{N} \]

where \( M \) is the number of clock cycles the comparator’s complimentary output \( \overline{Out} \) goes high and \( N \) is the total number of clock cycles, the resolution of the 8-bit DAC is

\[ C_{TEST} = C_{REF} \times \frac{1}{2^8} = 0.0039 \times C_{REF} \] (2.19)

The largest error is for \( \frac{1}{2} \) LSB

\[ C_{TEST} = C_{REF} \times \frac{0.5}{2^8} = 0.00195 \times C_{REF} \] (2.20)

Consequently, if we want to keep the error under 2%, then

\[ C_{TEST} = \frac{0.00195 \times C_{REF}}{0.02} = 0.0976 \times C_{REF} \approx 0.1 \times C_{REF} \] (2.21)

In other words, if we want a good accuracy for the circuit, we must adjust \( C_{REF} \) per the capacitor we are sensing,

\[ 0.1 \times C_{REF} \leq C_{TEST} \leq C_{REF} \] (2.22)
For example, if the reference capacitor $C_{\text{REF}} = 500 \text{ pF}$, then we start getting more accurate results (error less than 2%) for $C_{\text{TEST}} > 50 \text{ pF}$.

The schematic and the layout of the 8-bit DAC are shown in Figures 30 and 31. The resistors, 100 kΩ and 200 kΩ, are poly2 (elec) with high-res mask. Schematics and layouts for the 2-input NAND, the 8-input NAND, the 2-input NOR, the inverter and the 100 kΩ and 200 kΩ resistors, can be found in the Appendix.
CHAPTER 3: THE CHIP

In Chapter 2, we reviewed every part of the circuit separately. The entire chip along with the bonding pads is shown in Figure 32. The bonding pads have Electrostatic Discharge (ESD) protection and there is a 1 pF decoupling capacitor between VDD and GND.

Figure 32 – Schematic of the chip with the bonding pads
The chip was manufactured by MOSIS in ON Semiconductor’s C5 process [8]. Figure 33 shows the bonding diagram of the chip. Thirty out of forty pins are used. Pin 40 is VDD and pin 20 is GND. The digital outputs B0:B7 and C0:C7 are the outputs of the two counters. There are also two digital outputs that are the clear and store signals (see Figure 19).

Figure 33 – The bonding diagram of the chip
The external clock signal goes into the digital input CLK (pin 3) and pins 1, 2, 4 and 7 are the clock signals generated by the clock generator. The last digital output is Outi (pin 33) which is the output of the sensing circuit. Finally, there are three analog inputs for the three off-chip capacitors (C_{REF}, C_{TEST} and C_{BUCKET}) and one analog output which is the output of the DAC. Figure 34 shows the layout of the chip designed using the C5 process (1.5 mm×1.5 mm).
All the MOSFETS on the chip have the same sizes and these are (where $\lambda = 0.3 \, \mu m$)

$$PMOS: \quad \frac{w}{L} = \frac{40\lambda}{2\lambda} \text{ or } \frac{12\mu}{0.6\mu}$$

$$NMOS: \quad \frac{w}{L} = \frac{20\lambda}{2\lambda} \text{ or } \frac{6\mu}{0.6\mu}$$

except the two NMOS devices at the comparator’s inputs, where we used longer $L$ for the reasons described in paragraph 2.1.1. Figure 35 shows a photograph of the complete chip.

Figure 35 – Photograph of the chip
CHAPTER 4: SIMULATIONS

In this chapter, we simulate the operation of the circuit using Cadence Spectre Circuit Simulator. The three capacitors of the circuit, \( C_{\text{REF}}, C_{\text{TEST}} \) and \( C_{\text{BUCKET}} \), are off-chip and for normal operation \( C_{\text{REF}} \) has to be greater than or equal to \( C_{\text{TEST}} \) (\( C_{\text{REF}} \geq C_{\text{TEST}} \)) and \( C_{\text{BUCKET}} \) has to be much bigger than \( C_{\text{REF}} \) (\( C_{\text{BUCKET}} \gg C_{\text{REF}} \)).

4.1 SIMULATING THE ENTIRE CIRCUIT

For the first set of simulations, the sense capacitors range between 10 pF to 500 pF (parametric analysis with \( C_{\text{TEST}} \) as the varying parameter). We choose the reference capacitor to be \( C_{\text{REF}} = 500 \text{ pF} \) and the Sigma capacitor to be twenty times larger, \( C_{\text{BUCKET}} = 10 \text{ nF} \). We can see the results of the simulation in Table 1 and a graphic representation in Figure 37. The first column of the table is the actual value of the capacitor \( C_{\text{TEST}} \) we are sensing, whereas the second column is the simulated value. The simulated value is given by the ratio of the output of the

Figure 36 – The schematic of the circuit used for the simulations drawn in Cadence
DAC to the power supply voltage (VDD) times the value of the reference capacitance $C_{REF}$.

Finally, the third column is the error in percentage of the sensing circuit and the fourth is the power dissipation of the circuit. Figure 36 shows the schematic used to run the simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Value (in pF)</th>
<th>Simulated Value (in pF)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
<th>Power (in µW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>152.5</td>
<td>1.67%</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>203.2</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>249.7</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>300.7</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>343.6</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>398.3</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>449.1</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>496.1</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Parametric analysis results for $C_{TEST}$ varying from 10 pF to 500 pF

Figure 37 – Simulation results for $C_{TEST}$ varying from 10 pF to 500 pF
For the second set of simulations, we are trying to sense capacitors that range between 500 pF to 1 nF. We choose the reference capacitor to be $C_{\text{REF}} = 1$ nF and the Sigma capacitor to be $C_{\text{BUCKET}} = 20$ nF. We can see the results of the simulation in Table 2 and Figure 38.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual value (in pF)</th>
<th>Simulated Value (in pF)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
<th>Power (in μW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>499.5</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>550.4</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>605.2</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>640.3</td>
<td>1.49%</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>749.5</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>796.5</td>
<td>0.44%</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>843.5</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>898.2</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>949.3</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>992.2</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Parametric analysis results for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 500 pF to 1 nF

Figure 38 – Simulation results for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 500 pF to 1 nF
4.2 SIMULATING THE SENSING CIRCUIT (WITHOUT PERIPHERAL CIRCUITRY)

Next, we simulate the operation of the sensing circuit exclusively without the peripheral circuitry (counters, DFFs, logic gates and DAC). We run the simulation for 256 clock cycles and then take the average of the comparator’s complement output Outi. Finally, we multiply that voltage with $C_{REF}/VDD$ and get the simulated value for $C_{TEST}$. Figure 39 shows the schematic we used to simulate the operation of the sensing circuit.

![Figure 39](image)

Figure 39 – The schematic used to simulate the sensing circuit without the peripheral circuitry

Figures 40 and 41 show the results of the simulations for different values of $C_{TEST}$ ranging from 50 pF to 1 nF. It is clear that the output of the circuit changes linearly with different capacitors $C_{TEST}$.
Figure 40 – Simulating the sensing circuit for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 50 pF to 500 pF

Figure 41 – Simulating the sensing circuit for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 500 pF to 1 nF
4.3 SENSING LARGER CAPACITORS

Up to this point, we only simulated the circuit with $C_{\text{TEST}}$ in the range of interest for our applications (10 pF-1 nF). Now, let us try to simulate the circuit with bigger capacitors, from 50 nF to 1 μF. Although this chip will not be tested for big capacitors, simulating its operation might be interesting and helpful.

For the first set of simulations, $C_{\text{TEST}}$ is varying between 10 nF and 500 nF, $C_{\text{REF}}$ is 500 nF and $C_{\text{BUCKET}}$ is now 10 μF. With these large capacitors, in order for the switched-capacitors to work properly, we must adjust the clock frequency to a lower level. From Eq. (2.3), the switched-capacitor resistor is

$$R_{\text{SC}} = \frac{1}{C \times f}$$

Now that the capacitor value increases, we have to lower the clock frequency so that $R_{\text{SC}}$ remains large. The clock frequency was at 33 kHz for all the previous simulations and now we drop it to 33 Hz. Table 3 and Figure 42 show the results of these simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Value (in nF)</th>
<th>Simulated Value (in nF)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
<th>Power (in μW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>156.3</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>201.3</td>
<td>0.65%</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>249.7</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>302.6</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>343.6</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>398.3</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>496.1</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – Parametric analysis results for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 10 nF to 500 nF
Figure 42 – Simulation results for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 10 nF to 500 nF

By simulating the sensing circuit without the peripherals, we get a similar graph (see Figure 43).

Figure 43 – Simulating the sensing circuit for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 50 nF to 500 nF
For the second set of simulations (see Table 4 and Figure 44), $C_{\text{TEST}}$ is varying between 500 nF and 1 μF, $C_{\text{REF}}$ is 1 μF and $C_{\text{BUCKET}}$ is now 20 μF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual Value (in nF)</th>
<th>Simulated Value (in nF)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
<th>Power (in μW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>499.4</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>546.6</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>604.4</td>
<td>0.73%</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>644.2</td>
<td>0.89%</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>687.2</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>804.4</td>
<td>0.55%</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>851.4</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
<td>649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>948.7</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>992.2</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – Parametric analysis results for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 500 nF to 1 μF

---

Figure 44 – Simulation results for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 500 nF to 1 μF
Figure 44 shows the simulation results for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 500 nF to 1 $\mu$F, whereas Figure 45 shows the results of simulating just the sensing circuit for the same range of $C_{\text{TEST}}$ without the peripheral circuitry.

![Graph showing power dissipation vs. capacitor value](image)

**Figure 45 – Simulating the sensing circuit for $C_{\text{TEST}}$ varying from 500 nF to 1 $\mu$F**

### 4.4 POWER DISSIPATION

A very important information about the circuit is the average power dissipation, in other words the power supply voltage $V_{\text{DD}}$ (which is 5 V for the C5 process) multiplied by the power supply current $I_{\text{DD}}$ over time. Figures 46 and 47 show graphs of the power dissipation for different capacitor values. The blue line indicates the power for the entire circuit, whereas the orange line shows the power for the sensing circuit and the non-overlapping clock generator without the peripheral circuitry. Clearly, the larger the $C_{\text{TEST}}$, the more power the circuit needs.
In order to get the reference voltage $V_{\text{REF}}$, which is $V_{DD}/2 = 2.5$ V, used in the negative input of the comparator, we use a voltage divider with two 100k resistors. This circuitry dissipates a lot of power ($I = VDD/200k\Omega = 25\mu A$, so $P = VDD \times I = 125\mu W$) and we could have avoided it by using an external input signal of 2.5 V. The green line represents the power of the sensing circuit and the clock generator (no peripheral circuitry) minus the power dissipated by the voltage divider (125 $\mu W$).

![Power dissipation graph](image)

**Figure 46 –** Power dissipation (in $\mu W$) for different capacitor values (10 pF-500 pF)

The average power dissipation ranges between 300-700 $\mu W$ approximately for frequency $f = 33$ kHz and $C_{\text{TEST}}$ in the pico-Farad region. When we change frequency to 33Hz in order to sense bigger capacitors in the nano-Farad region, the average power dissipation remains in the same range, 300-700 $\mu W$ (see Tables 3 and 4).
4.5 POWER SUPPLY VOLTAGE (VDD) VARIATIONS

Next we simulate the circuit for power supply voltage (VDD) variations. We run a parametric analysis for different values of VDD and C_{TEST}. The results are shown in Table 5. The minimum operating voltage of the sense amplifier is the threshold voltage of the PMOS device, $V_{THP}$. In our case, the threshold voltage $V_{THP}$ for the PMOS device ($W = 12 \, \mu m$ and $L = 0.6 \, \mu m$ or 40/2 for C5 process where $\lambda = 0.3 \, \mu m$) is 1.1 V and $V_{THN} = 1 \, V$ for the NMOS device ($W = 6 \, \mu m$ and $L = 0.6 \, \mu m$ or 20/2 for the C5 process). For $VDD < V_{THP}$, the top PMOS devices of the comparator do not turn on and the circuit does not work. But even for low VDDs, the circuit does not work properly because there is not enough current and the sense is very slow. If we need to operate with low VDDs, a solution might be to lower the clock frequency. The circuit works
nearly ideally for VD from 4 V to 6 V (see Figure 48). Likewise, the inputs of the comparator should be over $V_{THN}$ of the NMOS for the circuit to work.

The simulated value is given by the ratio of the output of the DAC to the power supply voltage (VDD) times the value of the reference capacitance $C_{REF}$. As already mentioned, we used the DAC to convert the output of the counter (M), which is an 8-bit binary number, to a voltage that can help in our calculations. From Equations (2.15) and (2.16), we get:

$$C_{TEST} = \frac{V_{OUT, DAC}}{VDD} \times C_{REF}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

Note that the output of the counter, an 8-bit binary number, is not affected by power supply (VDD) variations, therefore, the ratio M/N can be replaced by $V_{OUT, DAC}/VDD$, where VDD will be assumed known.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_{TEST}$ (pF)</th>
<th>VDD = 2 V</th>
<th>VDD = 3 V</th>
<th>VDD = 4 V</th>
<th>VDD = 5 V</th>
<th>VDD = 6 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>17.84%</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>21.65%</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>13.68%</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>121.4</td>
<td>21.45%</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>13.52%</td>
<td>101.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>181.8</td>
<td>21.21%</td>
<td>168.2</td>
<td>12.11%</td>
<td>154.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>240.5</td>
<td>20.26%</td>
<td>226.8</td>
<td>13.42%</td>
<td>201.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>298.5</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>279.1</td>
<td>11.63%</td>
<td>253.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>359.1</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>333.8</td>
<td>11.28%</td>
<td>300.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>417.6</td>
<td>19.32%</td>
<td>386.4</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>347.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>474.4</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>439.2</td>
<td>9.79%</td>
<td>402.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>496.0</td>
<td>10.23%</td>
<td>496.1</td>
<td>10.23%</td>
<td>451.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>496.0</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>496.1</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>496.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 – Simulation results for various VDDs
Figure 48 – Simulating the circuit for different values of VDD
CHAPTER 5: TEST RESULTS

In this chapter, the results of testing the fabricated chip in the lab are presented. The test set up can be seen in Figure 49. The equipment used for the measurements are:

- DC Power supply for VDD: Tektronix CPS250
- Function generator for clock input: Agilent 33220A
- Digital oscilloscope: Tektronix TDS2014C
- Multimeter: Agilent 34405A
- LCR meter for measuring capacitors: B&K Precision 889A

Figure 49 – Test setup for the fabricated chip
5.1 CAPACITORS IN THE pF RANGE

We start the testing by using a 522 pF capacitor as reference capacitor $C_{REF}$, a 10.3 nF capacitor as “bucket” capacitor $C_{BUCKET}$ and an input clock signal of 30 kHz. We use an oscilloscope probe to observe the output of the DAC (Output_DAC) and another probe to observe the inverted output of the sensing circuit (Outi) through an RC averaging filter ($R = 1$ MΩ and $C = 1$ μF). Finally, a 100 nF decoupling capacitor is used between VDD and ground to avoid noise interference.

For the circuit’s proper operation, we must ensure that $C_{REF}$ settles completely between the clock changes and that the voltage $V_{BUCKET}$ at $C_{BUCKET}$ moves around the reference voltage $V_{REF}$ which is VDD/2 ($V_{REF} = 2.5$ V for VDD = 5V). From the first measurements, it is clear that $V_{BUCKET}$ is not at 2.5 V but at 3 V, probably due to a faulty voltage divider. Due to this variation, the equations that describe the operation of the sensing circuit must be adjusted.

Equation (2.10), using Equations (2.6) and (2.7), becomes:

\[
\frac{V_{BUCKET}}{R_{TEST}} = \frac{VDD - V_{BUCKET}}{R_{REF}} \times \frac{M}{N} \quad (5.1)
\]

\[
\frac{R_{REF}}{R_{TEST}} = \frac{VDD - V_{BUCKET}}{V_{BUCKET}} \times \frac{M}{N} \quad (5.2)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{C_{REF} \times f} = \frac{VDD - V_{BUCKET}}{V_{BUCKET}} \times \frac{M}{N} \quad (5.3)
\]

\[
C_{TEST} = C_{REF} \times \frac{M}{N} \times \frac{VDD - V_{BUCKET}}{V_{BUCKET}} \quad (5.4)
\]
Comparing Equation (5.4) to (2.15), we notice the extra term \((VDD - V_{BUCKET})/V_{BUCKET}\). In this case, \(V_{BUCKET} = 3\) V and \(VDD = 5\) V, so our measurements should be multiplied by \(2/3\) to reflect the correct value. The formulas used to translate the output voltages into capacitor values are

\[
\text{Sensing circuit:} \quad C_{TEST} = \frac{2}{3} \times V_{Outi} \times \frac{C_{REF}}{5} \quad (5.5)
\]

\[
\text{DAC:} \quad C_{TEST} = \frac{2}{3} \times V_{out_{DAC}} \times \frac{C_{REF}}{VDD} \quad (5.6)
\]

Table 6 summarizes the test results for various capacitor values in the pico-Farad region. For those capacitors that were greater than 500 pF, a 1016 pF capacitor was used as \(C_{REF}\) and two 10 nF capacitors in parallel were used as \(C_{BUCKET}\). In Figure 50, we have a graphical representation of the test results for capacitors in the pF range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual value (in pF)</th>
<th>Sensing Circuit (Outi)</th>
<th>DAC (Output_DAC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value (in pF)</td>
<td>Error (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>90.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>26.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>205.7</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>323.3</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>491.8</td>
<td>6.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>672</td>
<td>638.9</td>
<td>4.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>767</td>
<td>772.1</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1016</td>
<td>1015.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 – Test results for capacitors in the pF range
Next we tested larger value capacitors using a 561.6 nF capacitor as reference capacitor $C_{\text{REF}}$, a 9.4 $\mu$F capacitor as “bucket” capacitor $C_{\text{BUCKET}}$ and an input clock signal of 30 Hz. Due to the low frequency, an RC filter averaging the inverted output of the sensing circuit (Outi) was impractically slow, so we only measured the output of the DAC (Output_DAC). For those capacitors that were greater than 500 nF, a 1005 nF capacitor was used as $C_{\text{REF}}$ and two 10 $\mu$F capacitors in parallel were used as $C_{\text{BUCKET}}$. Table 7 summarizes the test results for various capacitor values in the nano-Farad region and Figure 51 shows a graphical representation of those test results.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual value (in nF)</th>
<th>DAC (Output_DAC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value (in nF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.6</td>
<td>111.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215.6</td>
<td>225.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.4</td>
<td>315.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383.6</td>
<td>389.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561.6</td>
<td>583.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>676.7</td>
<td>690.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>813.9</td>
<td>837.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005.0</td>
<td>1027.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 – Test results for capacitors in the nF range

Figure 51 – Graphical representation of the test results for capacitors in the nF range
5.3 POWER DISSIPATION

Next, we tested the power dissipation of the fabricated chip by measuring the current draw by the circuit and multiplying it with VDD = 5 V. Note that the circuit needs more power to sense larger capacitors. Also note that the circuit needs the same power to sense pF capacitors and nF capacitors, since the clock frequency drops 1000 times when the capacitor value increases 1000 times (see Table 8 and Figure 52).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pF</th>
<th>C_{\text{TEST}} (in pF)</th>
<th>Power (in μW)</th>
<th>nF</th>
<th>C_{\text{TEST}} (in nF)</th>
<th>Power (in μW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>343</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>101</td>
<td>387</td>
<td></td>
<td>106.6</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>203</td>
<td>423</td>
<td></td>
<td>308.4</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>394</td>
<td>503</td>
<td></td>
<td>383.6</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>672</td>
<td>607</td>
<td></td>
<td>561.6</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>667</td>
<td></td>
<td>1005.0</td>
<td>641</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 – Test results for power dissipation

Figure 52 – Test results for power dissipation
5.4 POWER SUPPLY VOLTAGE (VDD) VARIATIONS

Finally, we test the chip for different power supply voltages (VDD). Again, we use an oscilloscope probe to observe the output of the DAC (Output_DAC) and another probe to observe the inverted output of the sensing circuit (Outi) through an RC averaging filter (R = 1 MΩ and C = 1 µF). For the output of the DAC, VDD is assumed known every time since we use the DAC just to have access to the M/N ratio, which according to Equation (2.16) is

\[
\frac{V_{OUT,DAC}}{VDD} = \frac{M}{N}
\] (5.7)

Table 9 summarizes the test results for three different power supply voltage values. It is clear from Figures 53 and 54 that the measurements we get from the output of the sensing circuit are affected from VDD variations. For VDD = 4 V, the sensing circuit gives larger capacitances than the actual values and for VDD = 6 V, it gives lower measurements. On the other hand, the measurements from the DAC are immune to VDD variations, since the ratio M/N is not affected by the VDD changes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual value (pF)</th>
<th>VDD = 4 V</th>
<th>VDD = 5 V</th>
<th>VDD = 6 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensing Circuit</td>
<td>DAC</td>
<td>Sensing Circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>71.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>94.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>165.1</td>
<td>223.1</td>
<td>205.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>260.9</td>
<td>360.1</td>
<td>323.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>304.1</td>
<td>399.4</td>
<td>398.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>397.6</td>
<td>549.2</td>
<td>491.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>672</td>
<td>518.9</td>
<td>684.3</td>
<td>638.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>767</td>
<td>585.3</td>
<td>774.0</td>
<td>772.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1016</td>
<td>764.3</td>
<td>1018.0</td>
<td>1015.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 – Test results for power supply voltage (VDD) variations
Figure 53 – Power supply voltage (VDD) variations for the output of the sensing circuit (Outi)

Figure 54 – Power supply voltage (VDD) variations for the output of the DAC (Output_DAC)
CHAPTER 6: DESIGN DISCUSSION

6.1 VOLTAGE AT THE “BUCKET” CAPACITOR ($V_{BUCKET}$)

In Chapter 5, we saw that the voltage at the bucket capacitor $C_{BUCKET}$ is more than what it should be for proper operation. This is due to two reasons:

- We used a 130mV offset at the comparator, which may have not created a problem in the simulations (it has actually made the simulation results a little better than when using a comparator without an offset), but in reality, it can only create problems, because it moves the voltage at the bucket capacitor $C_{BUCKET}$ away from the desired VDD/2 value. Moreover, the designed offset value may be different than its actual value due to process variations.

- The unsilicided polysilicon resistors used for the voltage divider, that gives the reference voltage ($V_{REF}$) used as input to the comparator, were designed to be equal (100k), so that they can give an output of VDD/2. However, they typically provide an actual resistance value that can vary +/- 30% or more from the value that they are designed to be [10].

The first design problem can be solved by using a comparator without an offset. The second one, is more complicated to be addressed, because it is a process failure. One way to address this problem would be to use wider resistors. This would minimize the linewidth uncertainties that are result of lithographic and etching variation. In addition to that, the resistance variation could be compensated by providing additional polysilicon resistors which can be switched in or out, in series or parallel with the target resistor. For the purposes of testing the operation of the sensing circuit, an output pin giving access to the non-inverting input of the comparator would allow us to accurately measure its potential and adjust it to the desired value.
6.2 COMPARATOR

The comparator has to be designed without an offset, so that the voltage at the bucket capacitor $C_{BUCKET}$ will be around VDD/2 as desired for proper sensing operation. Moreover, the impedance at the input nodes of the comparator should be the same. Non-matching input impedances could lead to wrong decisions, since one input will be moving faster than the other. A capacitor with value equal to $C_{BUCKET}$ should be used at the non-inverting input of the comparator. This would ensure input impedance matching for the comparator and would reduce variations of the reference voltage $V_{REF}$.

6.3 SWITCHED CAPACITORS

The value of a switched capacitor can be “translated” according to Equation (2.3) to an equivalent resistance of

$$R_{SC} = \frac{1}{f \times C}$$

We have already shown that the capacitance to be measured is related to $C_{REF}$ by Equation (2.15)

$$C_{TEST} = C_{REF} \times \frac{M}{N}$$

It is clear that the way our circuit works depends on the accuracy of the $C_{REF}$ value. If we name the percentage error in capacitance of the reference capacitor $C_{REF,ERROR}$ and $C_{TEST,ERROR}$ is the resulting percentage error in the capacitance to be measured then

$$C_{TEST} \times (1 + C_{TEST,ERROR}) = \frac{M}{N} \times C_{REF} \times (1 + C_{REF,ERROR})$$

$$=> C_{TEST,ERROR} = C_{REF,ERROR} \quad (6.1)$$
Therefore, the capacitor to be tested inherits the accuracy errors made when measuring the reference capacitance $C_{REF}$.

Another thing that has to be carefully addressed when designing the circuit is that the clocks to be used for the switched capacitors must be non-overlapping, in a way that it is explained in Chapter 2. To ensure that our circuit works as expected we have to use an input clock with fast edges and a frequency that allows our capacitors to fully charge and discharge.

Finally, it is important that the MOSFET devices tied to the switched-capacitors are wide enough so that they allow them to fully charge and discharge. The faster the clocks, the wider the devices must be.

6.4 INPUT CLOCK SIGNAL

The input clock signal is important for the performance of the sensing circuit, since the equivalent switched-capacitor resistances of the $C_{REF}$ and $C_{TEST}$ depend on its frequency. As seen in the previous section, using higher frequency “translates” to faster sensing and lower equivalent resistances for the switched-capacitors. It was also discussed that the clocks to be designed using the input clock have to be non-overlapping with fast edges and allow the capacitors to fully charge and discharge.

In this work the input clock is external, so we can change its frequency based on the needs of the application to be used, meaning that for larger capacitors we have to use slower clocks. We could design our circuit to operate without the need of an external clock. This design should include an oscillator whose oscillation frequency can be externally controlled. This could be achieved using a Voltage-Controlled Oscillator, a circuit whose oscillation frequency is controlled by a voltage input. However, we should ensure that the output of the designed
oscillator will have a frequency that can range between 30 Hz, which is the frequency we used to test the nano-range capacitors, and 30 kHz, which is the frequency we used to test pico-range capacitors.

Another approach to designing the clock oscillator would be to design two distinct oscillators, one working at the Hz range and one working in the kHz range. The user, could choose the one to be used based on the application.

6.5 COUNTER

In this design, an 8-bit asynchronous counter with D-FFs has been used. The counter allows the circuit to estimate the tested capacitance effectively with power supply voltage variations, as we saw in the testing section, at the cost of more circuitry and the consequent increased power dissipation. As we derived in Chapter 2, Equation (2.22), if we want to keep the estimated capacitance error created by the counter within 2%, the \( C_{\text{TEST}} \) cannot be less than 10% of the \( C_{\text{REF}} \). If we want to increase the range of the capacitances that can be tested using the same reference, we have to use a counter with more bits.

6.6 POWER DISSIPATION

We have seen in the power dissipation sections that the power dissipation of our circuit depends on the value of the capacitor to be tested \( (C_{\text{TEST}}) \). This is because \( C_{\text{TEST}} \) can be “translated” to an equivalent resistance that depends on the clock frequency and its capacitance. Knowing that the sensing circuit will match the equivalent resistance of \( C_{\text{TEST}} \) \( (R_{\text{TEST}}) \) with the equivalent resistance of \( C_{\text{REF}} \) \( (R_{\text{REF}}) \), as can be seen in Figure 11 and the associated discussion,
the total resistance between VDD and ground in the sensing circuit (excluding the comparator) will be $2 \times R_{TEST}$. Hence, the power dissipation in the “heart” of our sensing circuit will be

$$P = \frac{VDD^2}{2 \times R_{TEST}} = \frac{VDD^2}{2} \times f \times C_{TEST}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

If we keep $f$ constant, it is clear that the power dissipation increases linearly with increasing $C_{TEST}$. This is what we have already seen in Figures 46 and 47. If we want to decrease the power dissipation in this part of the circuit, we could either decrease the power supply voltage VDD or the clock frequency $f$. Both solutions have limitations.

If we decrease the power supply voltage, the CMOS devices will “open” less (smaller source-to-gate voltages) and this will result, after a point, in not fully charging and discharging capacitors. The problem with the reduced frequency is reduced sensing speed. Therefore, as always, we have to balance speed and power dissipation based on the specific needs of the application.

Except for the power dissipation in the switched-capacitors, there is also power dissipation in the voltage divider. In this work, it was designed to be using 100k resistor, so its power dissipation is $125\mu W$. This can be reduced if we use bigger resistances, at the cost of more layout area.

Clock-generator, comparator and peripheral circuitry also draw some current. This can be reduced by increasing the length of the devices. We know that the current in CMOS decreases for less wide or longer devices [4].

$$I_D \propto \frac{W}{L}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.3)
If we want to reduce the power dissipation, we can either decrease the width (W) or increase the length (L). Since we can’t decrease the width more than the minimum allowed from the process, the safest way to reduce the current in devices is by increasing the length.

Finally, we could reduce power dissipation even further if we did not include the counter, the D-FFs and the DAC in our design. However, if we omit this part of the circuit, we must ensure that the power supply voltage is constant and at a known value during operation. Also, we must use an RC filter to average the output of the comparator. The large time constant of this filter can make our circuit impractically slow for large capacitors, where we need slower clocks.
CHAPTER 7: APPLICATIONS

For the applications of the capacitive sensing circuit presented in the previous chapters, we used a capacitive sensor made on printed circuit board (PCB). It contains a number of co-planar plate capacitors in parallel. Capacitance sums in parallel, so this will lead to a larger capacity of the entire assembly and therefore a larger capacity swing when the dielectric changes. The reason we used a co-planar plate capacitor, instead of the standard parallel plate capacitor is that we do not have to get the soil/moisture to occupy the gap in the middle. The electric field lines extend outward from the plates into the dielectric on both sides. The PCB was designed using Eagle software and it was ordered through DorkbotPDX PCB Order [11].

![Diagram of co-planar and parallel plate capacitors]

Figure 55 – Two different types of capacitor configurations

![Image of capacitive sensor]

Figure 56 – The capacitive sensor
7.1 CAPACITIVE WATER LEVEL SENSOR

The first application of the sensing circuit is to measure water level in a container in relation to capacitance. For this application, we use the capacitive sensor presented above in the test setup shown in Figure 58. In this case, water is the dielectric. We expect that the higher the water level, the larger the capacitance since water has a bigger dielectric constant than air.
The capacitive sensor is mounted on the container wall. We gradually add water in the container and measure the capacitance of the sensor using a handheld multimeter (EXTECH MiniTec 26). Then we put the leads of the sensor on the breadboard and take the circuit’s measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water level (in cm)</th>
<th>Multimeter (in pF)</th>
<th>Sensing Circuit (Outi) (in pF)</th>
<th>DAC (Output_DAC) (in pF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25.58</td>
<td>20.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68.67</td>
<td>77.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>226.81</td>
<td>240.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>289.82</td>
<td>307.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>388.63</td>
<td>411.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>546.38</td>
<td>570.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>655.29</td>
<td>688.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>769.97</td>
<td>809.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>892.16</td>
<td>906.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10 – Test results for capacitive water level sensing application

![Figure 59](image.png) – Test results for capacitive water level sensing application
Table 10 summarizes the test results. Again, the formulas used to translate the output voltages into capacitor values are Equations (5.5) and (5.6). From Figure 59, it is clear that capacitance increases linearly with increasing water level. Hence, it is easy to “translate” the measured capacitance to a specific water level value by using the following formula (blue line indicates the ideal linear ratio between water level and capacitance):

$$Water\ Level\ (cm) = \frac{C}{112.25p}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7.1)

7.2 CAPACITIVE SOIL MOISTURE SENSOR

The second application of the sensing circuit is to measure the moisture content of soil in relation to capacitance. For this application, we use the capacitive sensor presented previously in the test setup shown in Figure 60.
In this case, soil is the dielectric. The capacitive sensor is inserted into the soil. When the water content of the soil increases, the dielectric will increase and this will result in a higher capacitance. If the soil is dry the capacitance will be lower. The glass jar contains 350cm³ of soil. We gradually add water in the container (20ml at a time) and measure the capacitance of the sensor using a handheld multimeter (EXTECH MiniTec 26). The moisture content of the soil is calculated by the ratio of the water we add to the volume of the soil. Then we put the leads of the sensor on the breadboard and take the circuit’s measurements. Table 11 shows the test results. Again, the formulas used to translate the output voltages into capacitor values are Equations (5.5) and (5.6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moisture content (in %)</th>
<th>Multimeter (in pF)</th>
<th>Sensing Circuit (Outi) (in pF)</th>
<th>DAC (Output_DAC) (in pF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>23.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>321.39</td>
<td>340.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>413.23</td>
<td>417.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>458.63</td>
<td>480.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>552.94</td>
<td>567.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>552.88</td>
<td>590.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>590.93</td>
<td>645.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>639.16</td>
<td>683.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>735.12</td>
<td>752.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>778.71</td>
<td>792.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>798.87</td>
<td>838.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.9%</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>941.99</td>
<td>965.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11 – Test results for capacitive soil moisture sensing application
Figure 61 – Test results for capacitive soil moisture sensing application

From Figure 61, it is clear that capacitance increases linearly with increasing moisture content. Hence, it is easy to “translate” the measured capacitance to a specific moisture content percentage by using the following formula (blue line indicates the ideal linear ratio between moisture content and capacitance):

$$Moisture\ Content\ (\%) = \frac{C - 306p}{1170.75p} + 5.7\%$$

(7.2)

7.3 APPLICATIONS DISCUSSION

In this Chapter, we used our design to measure water level and moisture content. As we saw, the sensor we used has a capacitance that is linearly related to water level and moisture content. Hence, it is easy to “translate” the measured capacitance (C) to the desired value by
using Equations (7.1) and (7.2). In the water level formula, 112.25p is the slope, and in the moisture content formula, 306p is the capacitance measured for 5.7% moisture content and 1170.75 is the slope as shown in Figures 59 and 61.

The designed circuit and sensor could be used to measure the water level of liquids other than water and moisture content for different types of soil. To do so, the system should be calibrated first. For the first application, we should find the slope for the used liquid by taking two capacitance values for two different water levels. For the second application, we can also find the slope by taking two capacitance values for two different moisture contents, that we could find using a moisture meter.

The PCB sensor is designed to measure liquid level up to 9 cm and average moisture content of soil around this length. If we want to measure liquid level more than 9 cm the sensor could be designed to be longer. It could also be designed to be less wide, so that its capacitance per liquid cm would be less. If we would like to measure the moisture content of soil at a specific depth, e.g. at the roots of a plant, sensor should be designed to be shorter. In general, the sensor can be designed to fit the needs of the specific application.

The tested Integrated Circuit could be soldered on a battery powered PCB. The “reference” and “bucket” capacitors would be soldered on the PCB, with capacitance values based on the application. The sensor PCB could be connected to the chip’s PCB using wires soldered on the respective pads. The outputs of the counter could be fed to a programmable microcontroller which would be mounted on the same PCB and be programmed to give as output the measured capacitance, or even “translate” this capacitance to water level or moisture content. The output of the microcontroller could be seen on a LED display.
CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSION

This thesis set out to investigate how Delta-Sigma Modulation can be applied to capacitive sensing. In this final chapter, we will review the contributions of this work and discuss opportunities for future research.

The following are the main research contributions of this thesis:

- **Design** of a circuit that links linearly the ratio of a “test” to a “reference” capacitance to the output of an analog-to-digital converter, using Delta-Sigma techniques (Chapter 2). The equations governing the operation of this circuit were derived, the sub-circuits were explained and their schematics and layouts were given.

- **Fabrication** of a chip using ON Semiconductor’s C5 process (Chapter 3). Capacitors and clocks were not designed on-chip, so that the proposed circuit can be tested for a big range of capacitors.

- **Simulation** of the designed circuit’s operation using Cadence Spectre Circuit Simulator and prove its accuracy and linearity when testing capacitors in both pico-Farad and nano-Farad range (Chapter 4). The immunity to power supply variations was simulated and the linear relation between tested capacitance $C_{TEST}$ and power dissipation was shown.

- **Test** in the lab of the operation of the circuit for different capacitances and power supply voltages and verification of the simulation results (Chapter 5). We also explained how possible design mistakes can be addressed and new formulas were derived.

- **Discuss** of possible design variations that could make the proposed circuit more accurate, faster, immune to design errors, more inclusive, take less layout area or dissipate less power (Chapter 6). The trade-offs between possible implementations were discussed.
• Showcase of two possible **Applications** of the designed circuit: liquid level and moisture content measurement (Chapter 7). The linear nature of the proposed design in combination with the linear increase in sensor’s capacitance with liquid level or moisture content made the “translation” between measured capacitance to the desired unit an easy process.

The proposed design in this thesis has achieved its goal of sensing capacitances accurately using Delta-Sigma Modulation and could be basis for future research. A circuit that is insusceptible to process variations and allows the reference voltage to be at VDD/2 could be designed, simulated and tested. Alternatively, methods for compensating the actual voltage reference deviation from this desired value could be investigated. Furthermore, a more complete design which will include a programmable microcontroller and will give output in the desired unit for each specific application (i.e. cm for liquid level testing and percentage for moisture content) could be tested. Finally, it could be researched the possibility of using the proposed circuit or a modification of it for testing capacitances in the femto-Farad scale.
APPENDIX – SCHEMATIC AND LAYOUTS

- 2-input NAND
• 8-input NAND
• Inverter
• 2-input NOR
- 100k Resistor (elec with high-res mask)

- 200k Resistor (elec with high-res mask)
- 1pF Decoupling Capacitor (poly-poly) between VDD and GND
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