

Faint, illegible text at the top of the page, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side.

# Appendix D

Large block of faint, illegible text in the middle section of the page.

Second large block of faint, illegible text in the lower middle section of the page.

Third large block of faint, illegible text in the lower section of the page.

Final large block of faint, illegible text at the bottom of the page.

Hope Link Program Assessment

Cross Tabulation Percent Difference for High Chi-Square Values at 10% Level of Statistical Significance

Successful Outcome

| 1                    | 2                                | 3     | 4                          | 5          | 6     | 7                           | 8                          | 9                             | 10                               | 11                    | 12          | 13              | 14               | 15                        | 16                              | 17                         |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|------------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Currently Employed** | Arrested or Convict for a Crime* | TANF* | Social Security Insurance* | Section 8* | WSAP* | Lack of Educational Skills* | Lack of Employment Skills* | Lack of Skills to Find a Job* | Caring of Elderly Family Member* | TANF and Food Stamps* | Low Income* | Transportation* | Substance Abuse* | Status Leaving Program*** | Referred to Educ Service & GED* | Client Met Minimum 1 Goal* |
| 34%                  | -29%                             | -28%  | 49%                        | 47%        | -43%  | -51%                        | -39%                       | -38%                          | -63%                             | -30%                  | -50%        | 33%             | -40%             | -89%                      | 30%                             | 53%                        |

Ranking in Absolute Value

|    |    |    |   |   |   |   |   |    |   |    |   |    |   |   |    |   |
|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|---|----|---|
| 11 | 15 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 12 | 8 | - | 14 | 2 |
|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|---|----|---|

Note\*: Positive Value indicates "Yes"

Note\*\*: Positive Value indicates "Currently Employed"

Note\*\*\*: Positive Value indicates "Exited Program in Non-compliance"

| Ranking Highest Impact on Success | Findings   | Observation      |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Caring of Elderly Family Member   | 1<br>Recipients that did not care for an elder family member showed a higher success rate in the program.<br>NOTE: only two out of 29 recipients cared for family members. | Intuitive        |
| Client Met Minimum 1 Goal*        | 2<br>Recipients that met at least one of their goals in the program showed a higher success rate in the program.   | Intuitive        |
| Lack of Educational Skills*       | 3<br>Recipients that lacked educational skills showed a lower success rate in the program.   | Intuitive        |
| Low Income*                       | 4<br>Low income recipients show a lower success rate than unemployed recipients in the program.  | Intuitive        |
| Social Security Insurance*        | 5<br>Recipients that did receive Social Security Insurance (SSI) showed a higher success rate in the program.  | Intuitive        |
| Section 8                         | 6<br>Recipients that did receive Section 8 showed a higher success rate in the program.  | Intuitive        |
| WSAP                              | 7<br>Recipients that did not receive Welfare Set Aside Funding (WSAP) showed a higher success rate in the program.   | counterintuitive |
| Substance Abuse                   | 8<br>Recipients that were not substance abusers showed a higher success rate in the program.   | Intuitive        |
| Lack of Employment Skills*        | 9<br>Recipients that lacked employment skills showed a lower success rate in the program.  | Intuitive        |
| Lack of Skills to Find a Job*     | 10<br>Recipients that lacked skills to find a job showed a lower success rate in the program.  | Intuitive        |
| Currently Employed**              | 11<br>Recipients with employment during the program show a higher success rate than unemployed recipients in the program.  | Intuitive        |
| Transportation                    | 12<br>Recipients without transportation problems showed a higher success rate in the program.  | Intuitive        |
| TANF and Food Stamps              | 13<br>Recipients that did not receive Temporary assistance for Needy Families (TANF) and Food-Stamps showed a higher success rate in the program.                          | counterintuitive |
| Referred to Educ Service & GED    | 14<br>Recipients that were referred to educational services showed a higher success rate.  | Intuitive        |
| Arrested or Convict for a Crime   | 15<br>Recipients that were not arrested or convicted of a crime showed a higher success rate in the program.   | Intuitive        |
| TANF                              | 16<br>Recipients that did not receive Temporary assistance for Needy Families (TANF) showed a higher success rate in the   | counterintuitive |