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DOE History of Tribal Interaction—Yucca Mt.

- Yucca Mountain: Extensive history of social-cultural studies
- No interaction on transportation
- Unsuccessful effort began in 1993 and ended in 1995
- DOE tribal policy was a very good start
- Restarted again in 2004—DOE invites tribes to TEC meeting
- Shape future interaction between DOE and other Tribes
- Tribes identify themselves as affected by DOE differently than DOE defines affected tribes
DOE History of Tribal Interaction: Transportation

- Bannock-Shoshone tribe explored efforts to regulate shipments of nuclear material originating at the Idaho National Laboratory
- Mirrored contemporaneous State of Idaho efforts to regulate the same shipments using air-quality laws
- Never pressed in court—but had significant impact
DOE History of Tribal Interaction: Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

- DOE concluded that the best way to avoid trouble was to avoid tribes.

Won’t work for Yucca Mt.
Current Yucca Mt Interactions with Tribes

- Transportation External Coordination Working Group (TEC)
- Appropriate format for most tribes because most tribes will be most affected by transportation, but....
- “We’ve invited tribes that may or may not be affected. It will be interesting for them to learn about the program.” (Jay Jones, DOE)
Potentially Affected Nevada Tribes Identified by DOE

- Wells Band Te-Moak
- Pahrump Paiute Tribe
- Timbisha-Shoshone
- Las Vegas Paiute Tribe
- Moapa Band of Paiutes
- Elko Band
- Pyramid Lake Tribe
Institutional Issues for Tribes

- Which tribes are affected, how severely they will be affected, and when they will be affected cannot be completely known until routes are identified.

- Tribes (as a group) should consider how they wish to interact with DOE:
  - Representative group? How often? Reports?
  - Different meetings for Tribes-Tribes as stakeholders or Tribes as Regulators

- What steps to take?
A Possible Action Plan

- Tribes should press Bureau of the Interior (BOI) for a protocol that permits rapid designation of affected status
- DOE should be urged to create a method to ensure funds are available following designation
- Impacted tribes should receive “affected” designation
- Tribes should determine their legal authority over shipments
- Craft a plan to build tribal capacity, influence
Tips for Building Capacity

- Develop a process for inter-tribal collaboration
- Focus on issues that tribes can influence
- Understand what authority tribes may be able to exert
- Earn a place at the table:
  - Comments
  - Research
  - Useful insight
Urgent Business

- The DOE’s request for Cooperating Status means that the Caliente rail corridor is not guaranteed.
- The STB has a history of meaningful tribal interaction:
  - Tongue River
  - DM&E
- If tribes want to participate, they must inform the Surface Transportation Board (STB) in writing—10 copies to both STB and DOE—that they wish to do so by April 21st.
Conclusion

- Affected status is the key for tribes
- Tribes must channel their resources to focus on the critical issues
- A key issue will be to decide what parts of the DOE program to watch