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INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to examine the results of using recommended best practices and collaborative partnerships when working with communities as an outsider. The researcher worked at the University of Michigan Health System (UMHS) – Program for Multicultural Health for 8 weeks to design, develop, and implement health and leadership education programs. The researcher worked with four community partners in Southeast Michigan.

LITERATURE

To determine the results, the researcher looked at literature that suggests the best practices approach in health promotion and health education, the public health practitioners, and suggested strategies to engage communities. The following serve as guidelines commonly used by public health practitioners today.

PROJECT OVERVIEW

The researcher worked with 4 diverse community partners in Southeast Michigan. The qualitative data collected was based on these community groups:

1. Girls Group, Inc. – Ann Arbor, MI
2. Parkridge Community Center – Ypsilanti, MI
3. Parkway Meadows Senior Housing Center – Ann Arbor, MI
4. Peace Neighborhood Center – Ann Arbor, MI

Over the course of 8 weeks, the researcher worked with the same members from each community, which are primarily the youth. Below are some of the health and leadership topics covered during the programming days, based on each community’s unique needs:

- Transition from High School to College: How to Navigate Self-Care
- The Impact of Race, Ethnicity, and Culture on Health
- Physical Fitness
- The Basics of Effective Communication

RESULTS

With the application of the trainings, the researcher observed the effects of the recommended best practices to the interactions she had with the communities. Major themes were identified through daily journal logs of every interaction, meeting, and programming day with the community organizers and members.

IMPLICATIONS

As the researcher was fully engaged with the program implementation, some unanticipated situations emerged:

- Revision in the program curriculum
  - After the researcher familiarized herself with the communities, there was a great need to revise the curriculums as the weeks progressed. Although a needs assessment was utilized prior to implementation, the researcher found greater needs in other areas of that community.
  - Last minute change in time allocation of the program
  - In several cases, the programming day was cut short because of shortage in time. This posed some implications because the lesson plan needed to be shortened.

Limitations & Further Study

This particular study was done during an 8-week period. For further study, longer periods of time with the communities may be beneficial in observing interactions and engagement.

Implementing evaluation tools for the programs could give a more comprehensive data set. Since the researcher did not use an evaluation tool to determine the effectiveness of the programs, she focused more on the interactions and implementation phases of the programs.

Because of time restraints, the researcher was unable to interview and seek feedback from her preceptors at UMHS. Those insights may be very helpful, since the preceptors worked with the researcher during the 8 weeks.

CONCLUSION

There are evidence-based practices, best practices approaches, theories, and strategies in the literature that guide public health practitioners in working with communities. This study explored these methods and compared it to observations made by the researcher. The results illustrate that despite all the preparation done to engage communities, practitioners and researchers learn best how to engage the communities by directly engaging with them.
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METHODOLOGY

The researcher observed the results of using best practices and partnerships when working with communities as an outsider by collecting qualitative data through detailed journals of daily interactions with the communities, key informant interviews, and focus groups. She was trained on the steps of program development as used by UMHS.