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Executive Summary

Southern Nevada Strong (SNS) is a collaborative regional planning project between local public agencies and NGOs throughout the Las Vegas Valley. This project is headed by City of Henderson on behalf of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Committee. SNS received a $3.5 million Sustainable Community (SC) grant from HUD which is set to expire in 2015. SNS member agencies receive preference for future HUD grants by participating in successful SC grant, which could be considered a motivating factor for participation for outside agencies.

Spatial Health Indicator Analysis

A portion of grant funding has been allocated for the creation of a Spatial Health Indicator Analysis (SHIA). The purpose of the Spatial Health Indicator Analysis is to identify information about social, economical, and demographical trends of Southern Nevada communities and residence and then build a user friendly website in which to upload this information. The end state is to have a website that can be used to continually track and reference spatial health information. This information could then be used as a tool to better Southern Nevada Communities.

The website will include information in the form of online maps that allow users to apply filters to visually access data. This website relies heavily on the production of data from various member agencies. Organizations in which data will be required from are as follows: SNRPC, City of Henderson, City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, Clark County, RTC, UNLV, CCSD, Southern Nevada Water,
Assessed Challenges with the Spatial Health Indicator Analysis

Given the high number of agencies, gaining the right information in a uniformed manner can be difficult without specified parameters, and a clear definition of what type of information is needed and in what format. Without precise informational standards as guidelines the information could come in a muddled fashion which requires secondary work to cipher the validity, use, and manner in which the data will be used on the website.

The data collection process relies on in-kind donation of man-hours from each agency. We have identified the possibility of a lack of direct incentives for organizations to fully participate in terms of dedicating man-hours or research personnel. One existing incentive provided to participating organization is HUD preferential Status. Preferential status can be jeopardized in the event that SNS provides negative feedback to HUD, based on poor performance, about individual agencies. We believe that this option could be too acrimonious, and could cause wider negative ripples than intended; This option could ultimately do long term damage to SNS.

SNS and SHIA could have a lack of continuity once funding expires. The appropriate course of action will be to identify other possible grants, or organizations that could take of the SHIA once the monies are no longer available.

Synergy’s Research Questions
How can data collection be improved, without providing strictly fiscal incentives? How can SHIA be maintained after SC grant expiration?

**Synergy’s Research Methods**

We conducted interviews of stakeholders to gather further understanding of data collection challenges, as well as contacted other successful SC grantees to develop a “best practices” solution to common data collection/grant product longevity challenges.

**Survey Results**

Stakeholders reported that there was a challenge in finding time to commit to the project, specific to scheduling. There was also a fiscal burden in assigning personnel to conduct the research and data gathering: “Not enough time or money.” We found this to be the same problem faced by public sector employee working on a big project. We found that there are potential limitations on funds explicitly set aside for SHIA.

**“Best Practices” Interview Results**

We selected 2010/2011 HUD SC grantees similar to SNS. We aimed to find 3 organizations that were awarded $2 million in grants. The Organizations we chose were: East West Gateway Consortium (MO), Mid-American Regional Council (MO), Metropolitan Area Planning Council of Boston, Land-of-Sky Regional Council (NC). When we addressed the challenges SNS is or will be facing with organizations who had been granted similar monies we received feedback that could be beneficial to SNS. If unable to provide fiscal incentives, point agencies began to “provide help” to
agencies with more labor intensive data collection. This was done in the form of internships, and collecting data from alternative agencies.

Similar organizations expressed that long term viability and maintenance often required leveraging local partnerships in the form of: allowing local university to host/maintain online web analysis tools (i.e. SHIA), and/or allowing both university and parent agency to utilize web tool for future grants. This method could be a “beacon for future grant money.”

**Synergy’s Recommendations**

Based largely of interviews of the organizations that had participated in similar programs we recommend a Cooperative Internship Program. This could be in the form of interns hired by City of Henderson, who are then “loaned out” to various agencies in order to generate/deliver requisite data. Benefits of this option are that the additional man power multiplies effectiveness of each agency, and the additional work can be done for little to no cost to parent agencies. This also decreases the impact on the organizations participating in SNS.

Another option is to establish a partnership with UNLV or other local educational institutions for long-term SHIA maintenance. This option would be mutually beneficial for both SNS and the academic organization. This would be extremely effective for long term continuity.
Background

The Organization and the Grant

The organization, Southern Nevada Strong is a newer collaborative regional planning effort, which was created through funding by a $3.5 million dollar grant from the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The HUD grant was designed to provide the resources to conduct in-depth research and community engagement efforts in order to look at issues facing Southern Nevada’s community and proposes collaborative solutions ("Southern Nevada scrpg," 2011).

The Need

The Southern Nevada region has been particularly hard-hit by the recession and slow economic recovery. This community faces challenges related to employment, education, health, property values and traffic congestion.

The organization, Southern Nevada Strong sought to utilize this grant to identify and build on their strengths and create opportunities for improvement so that this region can successfully recovers from the economic downturn and becomes more economically competitive in the future. The thought process for this was, by connecting good jobs with housing, quality schools and transportation options; they can create the foundation for a strong and stable regional economy.

The Southern Nevada Strong group, with this grant, seeks to build a foundation for long-term economic success and community livelihood by better integrating: reliable transportation, housing, and job opportunities throughout
Southern Nevada.

The Grant Process

The grant process is divided into three scopes for each year of the grant. Southern Nevada Strong began with in-depth research and analysis of the southern Nevada region first. This process called for data collection from local government community, nonprofit, and business agencies.

The second focus is to engage residents from across the valley to weigh in on these ideas and offer suggestions for how to make the communities stronger. This process includes a small number of demonstration projects that will model what this might look like in our local communities.

The final process is the approval and will result in an end product, that will be a regional plan that highlights implementation strategies and priority projects (Appendix A and D).

The Structure

The City of Henderson is staffing this project on behalf of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC). The created a project team that they felt represents the interests of the entire region, and will be working with representatives from local governments and agencies throughout the valley. The SNRPC will oversee the process, and the plan will be subject to adoption by the
Figure 1: This shows the project workflow proposed for the Southern Nevada Strong SNRPC and all member agencies involved in implementation. The final plan is scheduled to be completed by February of 2015 (Appendix C).

The project is leveraged by resources and in-kind matching funds from 13 regional partners. Consortium Partners include:

- SNRPC;
- City of Henderson;
- City of Las Vegas;
- City of North Las Vegas;
- City of Boulder City;
- Clark County;
- Regional Transportation Commission (RTC);
- University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV);
- Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority (SNRHA);
• Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA);
• Clark County School District (CCSD);
• Southern Nevada Health District (SNHD); and
• Conservation District of Southern Nevada (CDSN).

A Consortium Committee oversee the development of the Southern Nevada Strong plan and supporting activities. They designed this to be supported by six Task Groups which will focus on particular areas (such as housing or transportation) and will incorporate public input into the process ("Southern Nevada scrpg," 2011)

**The Benefit**

This grant brings a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to conduct research and public outreach to address complex regional issues, like traffic congestion and economic competitiveness, which require multiple stakeholders to address. Regional planning can also bring a variety of benefits to our neighborhoods by identifying local solutions to regional problems. We can improve the quality of life in our communities by working together to provide cleaner air, safer streets, and homes closer to transit, jobs and services. Along with improving local conditions, these factors are essential to attracting and retaining quality jobs that provide opportunities at all employment levels.

This is also an opportunity to think about how we want our region to grow and evolve over the next several years in a way that meets our needs and matches our values. Completing the regional plan makes us eligible to compete for state and federal funding, as it becomes available, to make these plans and projects a reality.
Southern Nevada residents spend about 25% of their household income on transportation. We can lower these costs and save government resources by creating communities where businesses, housing, schools and stores are located closer together and are served by transit. This type of development supports the economy by making it cheaper and easier for people to get to work, increasing foot traffic for small businesses, and attracting employers and workers who value community quality of life.

Through land use and public infrastructure investments, public agencies influence private sector decisions about where to invest in real estate and grow businesses. Public agencies regularly create and update land use and transportation plans, but these are not always done in coordination. Southern Nevada Strong will create a regional plan that coordinates housing, transportation and the economy together, by:

- Encouraging land uses (jobs, stores, schools and homes) to be located closer together so people can drive less to meet their day-to-day needs;

- Improving and expanding existing transit networks near these amenities and community centers so people have more transportation options; and

- Prioritizing public investments in transportation and infrastructure that save public money and resources.
Building a strong economy means allowing people to travel efficiently and creating healthy neighborhoods where people want to live and employers want to invest.

**Research Methodology**

Team Synergy employed a two-tiered qualitative research approach when looking at the problems with SHIA’s data collection streams and long term viability. The first tier was aimed at assessing current difficulties in data collection from the member agencies. The second tier established a best practices solution to common data collection by collecting interviews with other Sustainable Communities grantees. These two methods sought to establish a “cause-solution” plan of action for the Spatial Health Indicator Analysis.

**Assessing Current Difficulties**

Team Synergy initially designed a survey that was distributed via email to SNS member agencies. This survey was qualitative in nature, and included a mixture of binary questions and short answer, open ended questions, all geared toward identifying data collection challenges. However, the survey was met with a lack of participation from the member agencies: Team Synergy received zero survey responses.

The nonresponse generated by the survey caused Team Synergy to re-evaluate our research methodology. Instead of an email-administered survey, the team instead relied on in-person and over-the-phone administration of basic survey questions. This yielded slightly better results: the team spoke with five member
agencies. The results gleaned from the interviews were broad enough to inform Synergy of the overarching problems being faced by member agencies.

**Identifying Best Practices Solutions**

The second methodology employed by Team Synergy was to contact outside jurisdictions (via telephone) that had received the same HUD grant and record the solutions to common data collection problems encountered by these outside jurisdictions. Team Synergy also studied solutions for the long-term maintenance and upkeep of SHIA once the program is established; interview questions also sought to gather information on long-term solutions of web-based projects that arose out of the HUD funding.

Team Synergy established parameters for the other agency consortiums that were to be contacted, in order to interview agencies that were most similar to Southern Nevada Strong. The parameters were: consortiums that had a) received HUD Sustainable Community Regional Planning Grants, b) had 3 or more member agencies, and c) received $1.5 million or more in grant funding. There were 22 agencies that were within these parameters. Interview questions were geared toward findings solutions that did not involve financial incentives or acrimonious dispute resolution (Appendix B).

**Findings: Current Issues**

Team Synergy established parameters for the other agency consortiums that were to be contacted, in order to interview agencies that were most similar to Southern Nevada Strong. The parameters were: consortiums that had a) received HUD
Sustainable Community Regional Planning Grants, b) had 3 or more member agencies, and c) received $1.5 million or more in grant funding. There were 22 agencies that were within these parameters. Interview questions were geared toward findings solutions that did not involve financial incentives or acrimonious dispute resolution (Appendix B).

**Findings: Best Practices Solutions**

The interviews with the other SC grantees yielded positive results; nearly every other grant-receiving agency in our matrix reported some level of data collection problem, and many utilized similar results to expedite the data collection. During the interview process, twelve agencies were able to offer cost-free (or, at a minimum, negligible-cost) solutions to data collection problems encountered by their grant consortium.

The most common cost-free solution was the creation or expansion of intern programs by one or more agencies in each consortium. Problems with funding and personnel were common for the grant projects that Team Synergy surveyed; this called for agencies to maximize man-hours and minimize overhead cost. Interns did much of the “grunt work” when it came to collecting and formatting data. However, many reported that this did not end the “siloh mentality” that was present in the cooperative grant endeavors; rather, it decentralized the data collection operations, which was not always preferable/effective.
Six agencies\(^1\) reported the expansion of intern programs from the host agency to co-op interns to other agencies with the sole responsibility of collecting and formatting of data to expedite the work of the host agency. The cost of these programs was minimal; the host agency financed the background check and drug tests for potential interns, and invested the man-hours of a full time employee to train the interns. However, despite an upfront fiscal investment, the return on host agency investment began to grow exponentially when multiple interns began to collect data at multiple agencies, multiple times a week. The six agencies all rated the programs as successful.

Team Synergy also interviewed the agencies about the potential long-term maintenance options for any public-oriented web-based applications that were created under the auspices of the Sustainable Community grant. Most agencies continued to host the applications themselves. Because many of the multi-agency consortia have staff and webhosting capabilities, the longevity of the web applications was placed on the consortia themselves after the grant expiration.

However, five agencies\(^2\) found new ways to leverage local resources in order to maintain the web application: the agencies enlisted the help of local universities that could host the website in an effort to attract grant funding for the university and the member agencies. The surveyed agencies also reported that they were exploring funding the web analysis tool with Federal grant opportunities, namely

\(^1\) Shelby County Government (Tennessee), Salt Lake County (UT), Greater Portland Council of Governments (ME), Capital Regional Council of Governments (CT), Southeast Michigan Council of Government, Land-of-Sky Regional Council (NC)

\(^2\) East-West Gateway Council of Government (MO), Metropolitan Council (MN), Tri-County Regional Planning Commission (MI), Omaha - Council Bluffs Metropolitan Area Planning Agency (NE), East Central Regional Planning Council (FL)
with the National Institutes of Health and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention – most of the reporting agencies were in very early stages of locating and identifying Federal grant opportunities.

**Recommendations: Internship Program**

It is Synergy’s recommendation to employ interns, who could be hired by City of Henderson, and then “loaned out” to various agencies in order to generate and deliver the required requisite data. This recommendation is effective because it multiplies effectiveness of each agency. This concept would allow organizations to dedicate specific efforts through the use of interns without placing major deficits on the said organizations time and main focuses.

When looking for fulltime work, the top talent often goes for big-name businesses. But when seeking internships, *the academic end-state* is the leading draw. Many candidates feel they'll get more hands-on training, real experience, and mentoring opportunities which is mutually beneficial to both Southern Nevada Strong and interns.

Another benefit of employing interns is that the labor can be done for little to no cost to parent agencies, which could stimulate future participation from outside agencies. Interns are an inexpensive resource. Their salaries are significantly lower than staff employees, *and* there isn’t an obligation to pay unemployment or a severance package. Moreover, while their wage requirements are modest, they’re among the most highly motivated members of the workforce.
“Loaned out interns” could also help alleviate the “silo” mentality, and help with future cooperative grants. Setting up an internship program would allow Southern Nevada Strong to take advantage of short-term support. The additional personnel would help participating entities to be more productive, and prevent them from becoming overwhelmed by the additional task loads.

An internship program could also enhance project perspective. With outside interns could come individuals who bring with them novel perspectives, fresh ideas, and specialized strengths and skill-sets. Interns have the capacity to augment the abilities of the workforce.

An intern program doubles as a recruitment method for all organizations involved in the Southern Nevada Strong Process. SNS would get a steady stream of University students studying in the industry on a trial basis. Examining how the interns perform could present an accurate view of hire ability. The internship serves as a hands-on training period. If a position is extended to an intern, he or she already knows the basics of the job requirements and can quickly acclimate to the position.

An internship program offers advantages to the Southern Nevada community. Through an internship program SNS supporting local college programs, giving the students an opportunity to learn beyond the classroom. The interns may realize through the experience they want to stay in the community rather than move away after graduation. This helps the community retain talented college graduates.
Recommendations: Establish a Co-Op Partnership with UNLV

Co-op programs provide a great opportunity to apply academic concepts in a real-world setting. They can also help demonstrate student potential, give the needed experience of work environment and culture, as well as build a network of professional contacts. Establishing a Co-Op with UNLV would be mutually beneficial for both SNS and UNLV.

UNLV and students benefit because college Co-Op programs are the best way for today's college students to earn a college degree, gain valuable work experience. Given a paid Co-op students have the ability to make money to pay for college and position them for getting a job upon graduation. If SNS were to do a paid Co-Op students would benefit fiscally considering many Co-Op programs pay students an average of $11,000 – $18,000 over their six months of Co-Op employment, and those earnings do not decrease the student's eligibility for need-based financial aid. In addition, there may be some tax strategies that students can implement to reduce the federal tax on their Co-Op income and potentially, even their investment income.

Co-Ops offer a logical structure for success. They give college students the benefit of book knowledge in combination with real-world experience. Students get to learn on the job and in the real world what a particular profession is all about. Students get a chance to put their education into action for approximately 3-6 months in a real job, and then invest the next 3-6 months back in the classroom mastering what they need to know next, the breakdown of the Co-Op structure could be created to produce the most benefit to both UNLV and SNS. It's a building
process of learning, working, discovering and earning. The Cooperative Education model works because the education is right, the experience is real, the money or volunteer experience is rewarding and job offers could be produced as a residual effect.

Major benefits exist for to SNS and participating organizations in many forms. A Co-op with UNLV could create the opportunity for all those involved to recruit future employees. In one year, Hewlett Packard recruited 70% of its new hires from its pool of interns. By using interns organizations have the opportunity to evaluate prospective employees virtually risk free. This can be done on a completely volunteer or low pay option depending on the layout of the Co-op, which Saves money since an intern receives less pay and fewer benefits than a full-time employee. A Co-op program comes with the benefit of a flexible, cost-effective work force without long-term commitments.

The ultimate goal for any participating organization is to free up the professional staff to pursue their full time work and projects, with a Co-op program the full time staff could not only mentor the interns but focus on the regularly performed duties without great burden, by employing a year-round source of highly motivated interns.

A Co-op with UNLV presents an excellent way to find new, energetic, and skilled employees who bring the latest industry knowledge fresh from lectures and other campus resources. This would strengthen the bond with the university and
projects a favorable image in the community, and would allow SNS and involved organizations the opportunity to have an impact on molding the lives of students.
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Appendix A  Southern Nevada SCRPG application: Sustainable communities regional planning grant program
ABSTRACT:

Project Information

1. Project Name: Las Vegas Metropolitan Area Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Initiative

2. Lead Applicant: City of Henderson, Nevada – Department of Community Development

3. Point of Contact: Sean Robertson, AICP; Principal Planner – Ph: (702) 267-1537 E-Mail: sean.robertson@cityofhenderson.com

4. Population Level: Large

5. Application Category: Category I – Regional Plans for Sustainable Development

6. Total Budget: HUD Requested Amount: $3,887,867 Applicant Amount: $1,796,150

7. Participating Locations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location 1</th>
<th>Location 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clark County</td>
<td>City of Las Vegas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of North Las Vegas</td>
<td>City of Henderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Boulder City</td>
<td>Clark County School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Transportation Commission</td>
<td>Conservation District of So. Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Nevada – Las Vegas</td>
<td>Brookings Mountain West - UNLV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Congressional Districts: 1, 2, & 3

ABSTRACT SUMMARY:

The City of Henderson (COH), Department of Community Development, on behalf of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC), in Clark County, Nevada, is applying for U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development funds to conduct the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Initiative. The Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition serves as the Strategic Planning Authority for local governments in the greater Las Vegas Metropolitan Area region. SNRPC is organized to promote regional collaboration and planning for quality of life issues transcending governmental jurisdictional boundaries. A ten member governing Board, guided by a Technical Committee, focuses on planning for balanced economic, social, physical, environmental and fiscal development and orderly management of the region’s growth. COH is an active member of the SNRPC and is a HUD recognized “Preferred Sustainability Status Community” eligible to apply. Representing a “large metropolitan region” serving a total population of well over 500,000, the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Initiative is pursuing a Category I – Regional Plans for Sustainable Development application. Five metropolitan jurisdictions and seven key community institutions form the core membership of the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Consortium which will coordinate and carry out project activities.

Over twenty years of rapid and dynamic population growth, followed by a sharp economic decline coinciding with, but far exceeding, the nationwide downturn, has severely impacted Southern Nevada residents, businesses and local governments. Significant trend changes leading up to and following the region’s major growth spurt, now combined with the dramatic effects of the prolonged economic decline, call for a reevaluation of local policy and prioritization of investment to support long term stability and prosperity. Rethinking strategies to strengthen and sustain the economic and social fabric of Southern Nevada communities is more critical now than ever.

Thus, our project has two main goals: First we will reassess, refine and coordinate the integration of a wide range of existing environmental organizational plans into a single, consolidated Southern Nevada Regional Plan for Sustainable Development (SNvRPSD). This integrated regional plan will promote use of the six national “Livability Principles,” addressing at least nine critical areas of community living: housing, transportation, community/neighborhood development, economic development, public health,
education and human development, environment, water infrastructure, and energy, within the context of the conditions discussed above. Second, this project will provide an integrated platform from which to work with local jurisdictions, citizens’ groups, and policy experts in the region to garner the political will and resources needed to fully implement plan recommendations.

To achieve our goals, we will access significant expertise from University of Nevada Las Vegas (UNLV) resources, to include Brookings Mountain West (a partnership between UNLV and the Brookings Institution); the Lincy Foundation; the School of Community Health Sciences; and, the Office of Sustainability. A Regional Sustainable Development Conference planned for Year 1 will assess needs, access local and national sustainability experts, secure broad community input and buy-in, and develop recommendations for long range sustainable, regional planning. Key community leaders and policy-makers will receive training in the benefits of sustainability planning. Four geographically distinct communities within the region will serve as pilot communities/neighborhoods with grassroots “community liaisons” who will facilitate community engagement and promote citizen buy-in at the local level. In Year 2, a series of consensus documents from conference proceedings and scenario planning exercises will be assembled and organized into an actionable consensus plan, approved by the authorities of each participating organization. Activities in Year 3 will use conference proceedings, community input, scenario findings, and other tools to inform a feasibility study and cost analysis of plan recommendations, with the specific intent of positioning the Consortium to pursue the necessary funding to assist with implementing the full plan.

Project Objectives - Key objectives for Year 1 include: 1) finalize Consortium agreements across participating agencies; 2) employ project personnel positions to complete project staffing and ensure a locus of responsibility for sustainable planning in each jurisdiction; 3) convene a Southern Nevada Regional Sustainable Communities Conference to promote citizen engagement in regional planning; 4) use conference proceedings and planning recommendations to develop consensus documents for Year 2 community neighborhood/town hall meetings. In Year 2 objectives include: 1) identify five distinct communities with sustainability deficits to serve as pilot communities for local engagement; 2) conduct neighborhood scenario exercises to incorporate feedback and neighborhood priorities into the planning process; develop and dissemination consensus documents approved by the authorities of each participating organization not later than December, 2013. Objectives for Year 3 include: complete a cost analysis and feasibility study of major recommendations from the planning process; author a draft plan to be circulated throughout SNRPC members for review; By December, 2014, the final plan will be reviewed and adopted by SNRPC members.

Expected Results – Regional planning for sustainable development is expected to result in a single, integrated and consolidated plan, adopted by Consortium members, that will promote and guide sustainable regional development in Southern Nevada over the next 20 years. Access to outstanding sustainable development planning expertise and leveraged resources from the University of Nevada Las Vegas will significantly contribute to our ability to sustain a regional focus and expertise in urban planning. Planning recommendations are expected to address improved access to transit routes, increased walkability, a redesigning of the built environment to reduce use of private vehicles, and land use practices that encourage greater social integration and engagement. Other benefits of this project include significant participation and buy-in by community citizens, businesses, civic groups, and others not traditionally involved in urban planning, which is anticipated to result in broad-based community support to implement the full plan.
RATING FACTOR I: CAPACITY OF THE APPLICANT AND RELEVANT ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE

The City of Henderson (COH) is pleased to serve as the applicant agency, on behalf of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC), located in Clark County, Nevada, in submitting this application for U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development funds to conduct the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Initiative. The Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) serves as the Strategic Planning Authority for local governments in the greater Las Vegas Metropolitan Area region.

SNRPC is organized to promote regional collaboration and planning for quality of life issues transcending governmental jurisdictional boundaries. A ten member governing Board, guided by a Technical Committee, focuses on planning for balanced economic, social, physical, environmental and fiscal development and orderly management of the region’s growth. COH is an active member of the SNRPC and is a HUD recognized “Preferred Sustainability Status Point of Contact” eligible to serve as the applicant agency.

Representing a “large metropolitan region” with a total population of well over 500,000, the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Initiative is pursuing a Category I-Regional Plans for Sustainable Development-application. Five metropolitan jurisdictions and seven key community institutions form the core membership of the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Consortium which will coordinate and carry out project activities.

Significant population growth over the past 20 years has brought major challenges to local planning organizations and municipal authorities in Southern Nevada. During this period, area growth was extremely rapid and dynamic, but has more recently experienced a sharp economic decline coinciding with, but far exceeding, the nationwide downturn. This downturn has severely impacted Southern Nevada residents, businesses and local governments. Significant trend changes leading up to and following the region’s major growth spurt, now combined with the dramatic effects of the extended economic decline, call for a reevaluation of local policy and prioritization of investment to support long term stability and prosperity. Rethinking strategies to strengthen and sustain the economic and social fabric of Southern Nevada communities is more critical now than ever.

Thus, the overarching goals of this project are two-fold: First we will reassess, refine and coordinate the integration of a wide range of existing environmental organizational plans into a single, consolidated Southern Nevada Regional Plan for Sustainable Development (SNvRPSD). This integrated regional plan will utilize the six national “Livability Principles,” addressing at least nine critical areas of community living: housing, transportation, community/neighborhood development, economic development, public health, education and human development, environment, water infrastructure, and energy, within the context of the conditions discussed above. Second, this project will provide an integrated platform from which to work with local jurisdictions, citizens’ groups, and policy experts in the region to garner the political will and resources needed to fully implement plan recommendations.

To facilitate these goals, in Year 1, the Consortium will secure broad community input and buy-in through a public participation plan, hold a Regional Sustainable Development Conference to assess needs, access local and national sustainability experts, and develop recommendations for sustainable, long range regional planning. We will provide training for Consortium members, key community leaders, elected officials, and other decision-makers to gain a greater understanding of the benefits and opportunities created through regional sustainable development planning. We will reach into four geographically distinct communities within the region to identify “community liaisons” from grassroots communities who will facilitate community engagement and promote citizen buy-in at the local level.

In Year 2, planning recommendations will be developed from conference proceedings and a series of consensus documents will be assembled and organized into an actionable plan, approved by the
authorities of each participating organization. A key resource for this activity will be expertise from Brookings Mountain West which will provide guidance on policy development and on implementing approved plans and policies, and the Lincy Institute which will assist in conducting outreach and data collection to support information in the plans. A series of “town hall” meetings, facilitated by the “Community Liaisons” will solicit input from which to prioritize pilot neighborhoods in which implementation of the six Livability Principles could be modeled most easily and effectively. Also in Year 2, the Consortium will use scenario exercises to develop appropriate planning alternatives and gain community input regarding their prioritization. In Year 3, a Regional Sustainable Development Summit, community input, scenario findings, and other tools will be used to inform a feasibility study and cost analysis of plan recommendations to position the Consortium to pursue the necessary funding for full implementation.

a. Organizational Capacity and Qualifications

The Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Consortium serves Clark County in the southernmost region of Nevada. In addition to the SNRPC previously described, core members, listed below, bring extensive environmental and sustainable development planning experience as seen in Appendix A: SNRPSD Partner Planning Experience. Sample plans listed in Appendix A focus on our experience with land use, housing, transportation, sustainability, and socio-economic studies.

City of Henderson (COH) - As the applicant agency, COH will be responsible for overall project coordination, financial and grants management and will contract with all partners receiving project funds. COH project officials have the necessary planning experience and fiscal management expertise to manage a project of the size and scope of the proposed project.

Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC) - RTC serves as both the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and the regional transit agency. RTC is responsible for overseeing transportation planning, including the conduct of numerous transportation planning activities. The RTC also directs expenditures generated from various local taxes for transportation purposes, as well as funds allocated by the U.S. DOT (e.g., FHWA and FTA). The RTC supports bicycle, pedestrian, and transit initiatives through studies, funding, and implementation.

Conservation District of Southern Nevada (CDSN) - CDSN has been working since 1974 to promote resource conservation, preservation and sustainability through education, facilitation and public and private partnerships for the citizens of Clark County, Nevada.

Boulder City – The Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan (BVCP) and other planning activities reflect the community’s vision for the future. This vision is implemented through a variety of tools including land use regulations and development review, zoning, annexation, sub-community and area plans, historic preservation, department master plans, and the city’s capital improvement program.

Southern Nevada Housing Authority (SNRHA) - SNRHA was organized in 2009 to consolidate the management of federally assisted multifamily housing in Las Vegas and Clark County. SNRHA administered housing programs include: Low Rent Public Family Housing, Section 8 Voucher Programs, Low Rent Public Senior Housing, Resident Services, and Non-Federally Senior Housing.

Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA) – SNWA is a cooperative agency formed to address
Southern Nevada’s unique water needs on a regional basis. SNWA manages the region’s water resources that serve 2 million residents and nearly 40 million annual visitors.

**City of Las Vegas (CLV)** - CLV is experienced in administering HUD programs including CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, ESG and ARRA funds. The City has adopted numerous plans, initiatives and ordinances supporting HUD-funded sustainability, economic development, transportation and housing.

**Clark County** - Clark County, Nevada, is a full-service county and local government. It provides regional government services for over 2 million residents and 37 million annual visitors, and local government services for a population of over 800,000. The Community Resources Management Division (CRM) is the lead agency for the HUD Consolidated Plan Consortium. Since 1978, CRM has worked with HUD to provide almost $400 million for self-sustaining communities, providing affordable, safe housing and creating a strong economic and social base for healthy neighborhoods in Clark County.

**Clark County School District (CCSD)** – CCSD’s Planning and Design Department actively maintains, supplements, and implements a Master Plan. By integrating information, policies, and statistical data to facilitate an organized approach in planning, educational facilities meet the changing needs of the community and resources are equitably allocated to achieve CCSD goals and objectives.

**City of North Las Vegas (NLV)** - NLV has many years of experience administering HUD programs, including CDBG, HOME, and NSB, and is a partner in the Clark County Consolidated Plan Consortium. In recent years, the City has adopted several plans and policies supporting the goal of a more sustainable future. NLV participates on several regional planning and sustainability initiatives. North Las Vegas is committed to providing staff resources to the development and implementation of the proposed project.

**University of Nevada Las Vegas (UNLV)** – Three resource-rich entities within the university community will provide critical technical and policy expertise and support for project activities:

- **Brookings Mountain West (BMW)** - Brookings Mountain West is a partnership between UNLV and the Brookings Institution, the world’s leading public policy think tank. Funded by the Lincy Foundation, this collaboration combines the renowned public policy research of Brookings scholars with the expertise and insight of UNLV faculty to make real improvements in infrastructure, policy, and quality of life challenges confronting Southern Nevada. BMW generates high-quality, independent, and impactful research on regional issues such as: urban growth and development, infrastructure, transportation, innovation, water use, energy, immigration, and sustainability. BMW will contribute content area experts in economic development, transportation, and housing. Technical assistance in scenario development, assessment, and implementation will include access to local and national experts in these fields, particularly from the Brookings Metropolitan Policy Program.

- **Lincy Institute** - The Lincy Institute is a gift/grant funded research institution whose mission is to “conduct and support research focused on improving Nevada’s health, education, and social services.” Led by Dr. Robert Lang and four Senior Resident Scholars in the areas of health, education, social services and information technology, we work directly with the community to build the capacity of public and private non-profit organizations to provide effective, evidence-based services and to maximize state, federal, and private resources serving as social equity, economic development, and housing subject matter experts. We will be conducting a comprehensive community needs assessment during the project period, to ensure inclusion of variables pertinent to regional planning. Lincy will also work to identify and engage community service providers and consumers in the planning process.
• **School of Community Health Sciences** – The Department of Environmental and Occupational Health (DEOH) within the School of Community Health Sciences, will contribute expertise from its Nevada Healthy Homes Partnership, a HUD funded planning initiative to remediate housing health hazards from high risk communities in Clark County.

• **Office of Urban Sustainability** - The Office of Urban Sustainability and Multidisciplinary Research at UNLV works to facilitate networking among faculty and students with complementary interests and to encourage pursuit of collaborative projects. Our goal is for UNLV to play a major role in achieving a sustainable Las Vegas community with all its interrelated dimensions, including environmental, economic, and social/cultural sustainability. Graduate assistants will provide support for the regional conference planned for the project.

In preparing for the **Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Initiative**, the Consortium is also collaborating with a wide variety of other regional stakeholders including: Clark County Regional Flood Control District, Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority; Council for a Better Nevada; Nevada Development Authority; Nevada Department of Transportation; Local office of HUD; Nellis Air Force Base; and, the Southern Nevada Health District. To inform specific technical issues or population groups in the community, we will also work closely with organizations such as local chapters of the American Institute of Architects, and the U.S. Green Building Council.

Collectively, these municipal jurisdictions and community institutions have come together to foster a more unified and consensus-based planning process to result in a comprehensive plan that can guide integrated regional planning and foster sustainable development over the next 20 years.

**Organizational Capacity**

The chart below depicts our proposed organizational structure ensuring local entities, stakeholders, and individuals are valued and have a role in this effort.

![Organizational Structure Diagram](image-url)
Our organizational structure seeks to ensure the plan will result in implementable strategies by engaging groups and individuals at different levels so that it gains buy-in from the public, elected officials, governments, subject matter experts and diverse geographies.

The Consortium will guide the work of the Task Groups; assist in implementing the Regional Conference; ensure wide community engagement in the planning process; present plan elements and recommendations to the SNRPC Planning Directors’ Technical Committee and full Board for vetting and feedback on the feasibility of implementation strategies; and monitor the progress of plan development and implementation.

Focusing on sustainability related to the national Livability Principles, six Task Groups are organized to address social equity, economic development, transportation, environment, housing, and neighborhood/community engagement. Task Groups will evaluate current plans/systems, identify barriers and challenges to sustainability, and develop specific metrics for assessment of goals. Members will include individuals with subject-matter expertise, consultants from Brookings and other UNLV resources and representatives from groups such as businesses and education systems, to ensure a diverse balance of regional constituents. A chair will be elected for each Task Group to provide a focal point of accountability for activities. Groups will meet independently and collectively to coordinate efforts, share ideas and review recommendation and will play key roles in the regional conference and town hall meetings planned for Year 1. Continued public engagement will be solicited throughout this process.

The final decision-making authority resides with the SNRPC Planning Directors, Technical Committee and Board. The SNRPC will solicit their respective governments to gain approval, adoption and support coordinated implementation of the Regional Plan for Sustainable Development.

This planning process calls for communication, consensus building, compromising, and transparency throughout the process to ensure that the sustainable strategies are economically competitive, environmentally accountable and equitable. Disputes among committee members will be resolved by a 3/5 majority. Consortium members, made up of a diverse group of entities, will be the guiding force to ensure all aspects of the plan are well-balanced.

Leadership Responsibilities

Initial leadership is provided by the City of Henderson (COH) as the applicant agency and active member of the Consortium. COH will execute a formal agreement with all Consortium members not later than 120 days after the effective date of the cooperative agreement. The City of Henderson will contract with partners designated to receive funding from the proposed project and will actively participate in the planning and community engagement process. COH will serve as the primary contact for coordination with the HUD Project Office, and will assume day-to-day administrative duties for grant budgeting and reporting. COH has the necessary planning and administrative experience to effectively manage the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Planning Initiative.

Member Responsibilities

This project builds upon an established regional planning framework, yet provides a forum to accommodate additional partners and community input. Expert consultants will be contracted and/or will contribute leveraged resources, to perform leadership training, conduct research and/or facilitate public outreach efforts. Brookings Mountain West will facilitate policy development; the Lincy Institute will facilitate research and data collection; the Nevada Healthy Homes Partnership will assist with consensus building; and the Office of Urban Sustainability will help in planning for the regional conference and engaging the public in the planning process. Each Consortium member will contribute a representative to conduct project activities and to assist in compiling data, conducting public outreach,
formulating recommendations, reviewing draft documents, handling interdepartmental coordination within their organization, keeping elected officials apprised of the progress, and seeing the final plan through the ratification process within their own agency. Planning will strive to build consensus to ensure that all member concerns are integrated into the Plan.

Consortium Members and Planning Experience
As shown in Appendix B: Consortium Members and Planning Experience, Consortium members have extensive experience in implementing regional, multijurisdictional, or other large-scale planning projects, particularly in housing, transportation, and related infrastructure to support their respective communities. Planning skills include development of needs assessments, conducting community focus groups, managing secondary analysis for interpreting land use data and evaluating planning alternatives, and other tools used in modern urban planning.

b. Capability and Qualification of Key Personnel
Planning staff from the COH Department of Economic Development will provide overall leadership and accountability for grant funded activities. We have garnered leveraged resources and expertise from key institutions at the University of Nevada Las Vegas (UNLV). As most of the Consortium entities are part of the SNRPC, a well-established forum for regional collaboration, personnel selected are those experienced in working in similar projects. COH staff listed below will serve as project leads.

Key Personnel
**Stephanie García-Vause, AICP**, Director of Community Development for the City of Henderson will serve as **Project Director**. Ms. Vause has a bachelor’s degree in Political Science from the University of California Berkeley, and a master’s degree in Public Administration from the University of Nevada Las Vegas. Since 1994, she has served in a variety of positions within the Community Development Department. She has participated in regional planning efforts in the Las Vegas Valley since 1997, under the Southern Nevada Strategic Planning Authority, the pre-cursor to today’s Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition. She served as a Legislative Advocate representing the City during the 2001, 2003, and 2005 legislative sessions, and has assisted in the City’s requests for Park, Trail & Natural Area funding under the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act. Ms. Vause is an active member of the American Planning Association and the American Institute of Certified Planners. Ms. Vause will direct 25 percent of her time to provide overall project direction and coordination with SNRPC and partner organizations. She will also chair the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Consortium.

**Sean Robertson, AICP**, Principal Planner for the Henderson Community Development Department will serve in the role of **Project Manager**. Mr. Robertson has worked over 15 years in planning at the Cities of Las Vegas and Henderson, and holds a Masters Degree in Planning from the University of Virginia. He has served as project manager on a variety of large and complex planning initiatives including implementation of the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act overseeing the disposal of federal land; several Master Plans; HUD Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing; implementation of state statutes for workforce housing; and various comprehensive plan amendments, all of which included extensive community and public outreach. Regional planning experience includes staffing the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition, participation on the RTC Master Planning Subcommittee, and serving on working groups for Regional Employment and Housing Projections and the Regional Land Use, Transportation and Air Quality Modeling. Mr. Robertson will be responsible for overall project management at 25 percent of his time for this project.
Richard Rojas, AICP will serve as the Project Coordinator responsible for accomplishing day-to-day project activities. As a Planner at the City of Henderson for the past three years, he has performed a variety of project management duties including the Development Code Update, Citywide Sustainability Program, Municipal and Community Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory, Enhanced Residential Recycling Pilot Program, which required stakeholder outreach, multi-departmental and multi-agency collaboration and strategic planning. He has a Master’s Degree in City and Regional Planning from Cal Poly San Luis Obispo and a Bachelor’s Degree in Environmental Studies from the University of California at Santa Barbara. Mr. Rojas will serve in this role at 50 percent of his time.

A full-time Project Planner will be employed for the project. Qualifications for this position require municipal and multi-jurisdictional planning experience, good communication skills, knowledge of computer data and information resources, and other planning skills (APPENDIX C: Job Descriptions).

Additional project personnel to be employed includes: a full-time Technology Coordinator for data and GIS coordination; a full-time Outreach Liaison to ensure community engagement in regional planning; a half-time Budget Analyst; and, a full-time Administrative Assistant to work with project management staff. Three Sustainability Planners will enable us to support paid representatives to work with the municipal governments to work on the project. These positions are critical as local government staffs have been decimated by the poor economy. Four Community Liaisons will be paid a stipend to organize community meetings in selected neighborhoods throughout Clark County to ensure citizen input and investment in regional planning. Community Liaisons will be diverse in age, gender, race/ethnicity, and length of time in the region.

Graduate Assistants (GAs)
From UNLV, six full-time graduate assistants will support the Consortium, to conduct data gathering, community outreach, and other tasks associated with the planning process at a leveraged value of $25,000 each per year.

Biographical sketches of all key personnel are provided with this application.

APPENDIX B: Consortium Members and Planning Experience, lists staff from Consortium members with significant urban and regional planning experience. These individuals will contribute their time and expertise as project leveraged resources from their respective jurisdictions/agencies.

Project Consultants
Project consultants will come primarily from UNLV partners who will facilitate access to high-level national and resident scholars with planning expertise for the project (i.e. Brookings Mountain West, the Brookings Institution, the Liney Institute, and the Department of Environmental and Occupational Health – Nevada Healthy Homes Partnership).

c. Capacity to Address Economic and Social Disparities
Municipal jurisdictions that comprise the regional planning Consortium represent communities that essentially encompass the majority of urban Las Vegas/Clark County residents. In 2010, Clark County’s population was over 1.9 million reflecting considerable ethnic/racial, economic, educational, housing and health diversity. Health, social, and economic research indicates that this diversity of population and living conditions presents a number of factors that can exploit regional economic and social disparity
conditions. Each of these factors, briefly discussed below, will be a central element and will be carefully considered in developing the RPSD.

**Racial/Ethnic Composition** - Growth in Clark County has been spurred by steady increases in minority residents, including Asians and Pacific Islanders, African Americans, and Hispanic/Latinos. In Clark County, 2010 overall population was 60.9 percent White, leaving 39.1 percent as a racial/ethnic minority. African Americans represent nearly 11 percent (10.5%) and Asians represent nearly 9 percent (8.7%) of total population. Almost 14 percent of residents represent “some other race”. Just over 29 percent of the population is of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity. Over 22.5 percent of Clark County residents are foreign born, a 69.2 percent increase from the 2000 Census, compared with a 28.2 percent decrease in the native-born population. Over 31% of persons above the age of 5 speak a language other than English.\(^5\)

**Economic Conditions**: The economic downturn has severely affected the dominant sectors of the Las Vegas metropolitan area economy—tourism and construction. As discussed in the 2010-2014 HUD Consolidated Plan for Clark County, “The “Great Recession” is continuing to impact Southern Nevada more than other areas because the two primary economic engines—construction and tourism—were disproportionately impacted by the US recession. In 2009, 8 percent of families and 11 percent of individuals lived below poverty, Blacks and Hispanics demonstrate consistently higher poverty rates compared to other ethnic groups in Clark County. In 2009, 21% of children in Clark County under age 18 lived in poverty.\(^5\)

**Employment** - The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimated a 14.0% overall unemployment rate in the LVMA for the month of July 2011, higher than the national rate of 9.3%. Employment in the region’s main industry—tourism (leisure/hospitality) was down 9.6% (10,100 jobs) from August 2007 to August 2011. The construction industry, the second largest employment sector in Southern Nevada in 2007, comprised 11.8% of all employment.\(^6\) Over 63,700 (39%) of the area’s construction industry jobs have been lost since August 2007. In the second quarter of 2011, Las Vegas’ economy remained 12.8 percent smaller than before the recession.\(^7\)

**Housing** - The foreclosure crisis has been the most significant change in recent economic conditions in Clark County, with over 58,000 foreclosures recorded since 2007. The housing bubble burst and the resultant economic recession and widespread job losses make it difficult for all households to remain in and maintain their housing, particularly low income households. “The run-up in house price was much larger in Southern Nevada in 2003-2006 than in much of the US; consequently, the subsequent decline in prices was large as well. Moreover, Southern Nevada had a disproportionately high number of high-risk loans, resulting in exceedingly high foreclosure rates. Excess residential and commercial real-estate capacity gives little incentives for new developments, and caused a virtual construction sector collapse.\(^8\)

**Education** – The Clark County School District is the 5\(^{th}\) largest school district in the nation. 2010-2011 enrollment was over 309,000 students attending 357 schools. The School District covers 7,910 square miles and includes the metropolitan Las Vegas area, all outlying communities and rural areas.\(^7\) Academic challenges abound with fewer than six in ten students graduating from high school.\(^8\)

**Health** - Rapid and extended population growth over the past 20 years has stretched state and county resources to the limit, making it difficult to keep pace with spiraling demands for health and social services. Resources to provide high quality, culturally appropriate primary health care for low income
and/or uninsured/underinsured populations are extremely limited. Clark County has both Medically Underserved Areas/Medically Underserved Populations and significant sections of the county are formally designated as Health Professions Shortage Areas. As a result, access to healthcare is a chronic problem for residents. Data from UNLV identifies nine zip codes in metropolitan Clark County that are at critically high risk for housing-related health hazards.

These factors document economic and social disparities existing in the region. The SNvRPSD planning process will enable us to expand upon past efforts and develop wider integrated strategies to reduce disparities in such areas as housing, transportation, health, and economic development across the region.

2. Rating Factor 2: Needs/Extent of the Problem

Severe economic consequences of the “Great Recession” have significantly impacted the need for Southern Nevada communities to conduct regional planning. These economic conditions call for a reassessment of long range community development plans and support the need for a fully effective and integrated regional plan for sustainability to guide development over the extended period of time needed for the recession to abate. Consolidated planning will also help in preparing for the anticipated changes in growth following the recession, and is needed to foster consensus in maximizing expenditures of limited local and state tax revenues and pending declines in federal funds.

a. Rating Factor Form 2 – See Factor Form 2.

b. Narrative Statement of Need

Planning needs become quickly obvious in analyzing statistical information provided in Rating Factor 2 Form 2 and in considering other critical needs assessment data as discussed below.

Housing: Form 2 reflects a 13 percent increase in the ratio of median housing cost to income between 2000 and 2008, with over 70 percent of households spending 45 percent of their income on combined housing and transportation costs. The nationwide economic downturn has been particularly acute in Southern Nevada. According to the Brookings Institution, Las Vegas is among the weakest metropolitan areas in the nation for housing prices. Home prices in the LVMA dropped 64.5 percent from the peak in 2006 to the second quarter of 2011, compared with a national average of 26.7 percent. This has forced many homeowners to walk away from homes they can no longer afford.

Environmental Quality: As of 2000, urbanized land per capita in the region was 14. The Las Vegas Valley urban area is surrounded by publically owned land managed by federal agencies including the Bureau of Land Management, Bureau of Reclamation, Department of Defense, and Fish and Wildlife Service. These public lands represent an urban growth boundary. Additionally, inside the urban area are over 50,000 acres of vacant land — about 22 percent of the total urbanized area. This presents a meaningful opportunity to conduct planning to address density and location of land uses through densification, redevelopment and economic policies in the SNvRPSD.

Especially critical in determining environmental quality in the region is access to water and the distribution of water infrastructure to population. “Recent economic analyses indicate that if investors and the financial markets lose confidence in the long-term viability of Southern Nevada’s water supply, we could see a 10 percent decline in economic activity — representing 80,000 lost jobs. Investors ask continually how we intend to serve the community if Lake Mead (the principal water source) goes into distress. Planning to maintain confidence in future water availability, even in the most severe drought circumstances, is crucial to the community, particularly in recovering from the recession.”
Multi-modal Transportation: Clark County is currently designated in nonattainment by the EPA for three air pollutants, carbon monoxide, particulate matter (PM_{10}), and ozone. Improving air quality is a primary goal of the Regional Transportation Commission’s focus on improving regional transportation capacity. Although the LVMA performs relatively well for vehicle miles traveled within the region, as shown in Figure 2, with a total much lower than the nationwide average, the vehicular share of the modal split is still sizeable at 92.2 percent for 2010. The RTC has been making great strides in implementing a valley-wide system of bus rapid transit service. Completing these facilities and redesigning local land use plans can help with quality of life issues such as air quality and traffic congestion.

Socioeconomic Inequity: Dissimilarity Indexes in the region reflect high rates for racial/ethnic groups, and over 42 percent of Clark County school children qualify for the free and reduced lunch program, indicative of low income and poverty.

Economic Opportunity: As shown on Form 2, the largest employers in the region include five large hotel/casino resorts located in one concentrated geographic area along the famous Las Vegas strip. The Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority manages 24 public housing developments. These units, are dispersed throughout Clark County, but are not necessarily located near large employment centers. Only two employers show 50 percent or more of subsidized housing near an employment center.

Fresh Food Access: Over 3 percent of residents in the region live more than one mile to a grocery store. In 2009, fruit and vegetable consumption among adults 18 and older was less than 24 percent for Clark County making planning for access to fresh food an essential element of regional planning.

Healthy Communities: In addition to Form 2 data, information below documents health issues for the Southern Nevada region.

* Asthma - In Southern Nevada school-aged youth have asthma prevalence rates averaging 12.5, ranging from as low as 9.1 to as high as 16.0.
* Childhood Obesity - In 2010, 13 percent of Clark County kindergarten students were considered overweight and 21 percent were considered obese.
* Diabetes - Diabetes prevalence among adults aged 18 and over in 2009 was 8.1 percent, just under the national rate of 8.3 percent.
* Heart – Prevalence of diseases of the heart in area adults over 18 in 2009 was 204.6, higher than the national rate of 109.9.
* Lead Poisoning – Based on research done by UNLV, over 22,000 low income homes in Clark County are at potential high risk of lead based paint.
* Low Birth Weight - Between 2003 and 2007, the percentage of low birth weight babies in Clark County increased from 7.6 percent to 8.3 percent.

c. Area of Severe Economic Distress – N/A

3. Rating Factor 3: Soundness of Approach

The information provided herein outlines our proposed Work Plan for the three years of the Category 1 Application. Our Work Plan includes specific, measurable, and time-phased objectives to
achieve our goals of a single, consolidated Southern Nevada Regional Plan for Sustainable Development (SNRPSD) endorsed and supported by all jurisdictions and community partners; and, an integrated platform from which to work with metropolitan jurisdictions, citizen groups, governments, and policy experts within the region to garner the necessary political will and resources to successfully and fully implement plan recommendations.

Planning Context

Our approach to the development of a consolidated regional plan for sustainable communities factors in a number of unique characteristics that affect the economic and social fabric of the region. These include:

- Las Vegas is a densely built metropolitan area with a population the size of Nashville, occupying less than one-fourth of that city’s footprint.
- It is a region that produces little greenhouse gas and generates low vehicle miles traveled (VMT) because of compact urban form.
- It also has switched landscaping practices to encourage low water use foliage and has applied the latest technology to recycle and conserve water, especially on the Las Vegas Strip.
- Finally, the region is a leader in green building technology and features the largest LEED certified project in the United States, the City Center complex.

Las Vegas has been surprisingly sustainable. In comparisons with the 50 largest metropolitan areas in the United States, Las Vegas had some of the highest built urban densities, ranking 14 out of 50.20 To put this in perspective, Las Vegas is denser than Portland, OR, which ranked 30, and Nashville, TN, which ranked 50. The city’s high densities are due to federally imposed urban growth boundaries (in the form of BLM land) which prevent it from developing Atlanta-like sprawl. However, with no built form that promotes walkability and transit use, Las Vegas is dense but without urban purpose. Part of this is due to the master planned community dominance which segregates retail from residential development by gates, and the scale of boulevards which are high-speed multi-lane surface streets that discourage walkability.20 Despite reliance on personal automobiles, the region is ranked in the bottom 15 metropolitan areas (out of 100 metropolitan areas measured) in VMT per capita because of its compact urban form.21 High employment concentration and centrality of businesses along the Strip is greater than the Loop in Chicago, which is that region’s area of concentrated employment.

The Southern Nevada region has also made a concerted effort to lower its water usage and become a leader in green building technology. The Pacific Institute cites a one-third reduction in the region’s water usage during a time when the population nearly tripled. In 1990, an average resident used 347 gallons per day. By 2008 that figure had dropped to 248 gallons per day. According to the Southern Nevada Water Authority, Las Vegas’ bustling tourism economy, which attracts roughly 37 million visitors annually, is consuming only 3 percent of the region’s water supply. Businesses on the Strip were the first to embrace the latest water recycling and conservation technologies. The U.S. Green Building Council ranked Nevada 20th in LEED-certified projects per capita in 2010 (USGBC, 2011) and Las Vegas features the largest LEED-certified project in the US, City Center as previously mentioned.22

However, the biggest challenges to be addressed in terms of sustainability are the scale of its main surface streets and a practice of hyper-segregation between residential and commercial areas at the neighborhood level. This, coupled with the community-wide economic downturn, call for innovative interventions to secure livability and sustainability goals that include: 1) Greater access to transit so that households unable to afford automobiles can fully participate in the region’s economy and life; 2) Walkability that will promote greater health among residents and less use of private transportation; 3)
Improve environmental quality by redesigning the built environment to reduce private vehicle trip generation; and, 4) Land use practices that promote social integration and social engagement at the neighborhood scale.

Given these sustainability issues, our integrated regional plan will promote implementation of the six HUD “Livability Principles,” and will address the areas of housing, transportation, community development, economic development, public health, education, and human development, environment, water infrastructure, and energy, in a comprehensive and coordinated fashion. It will rely heavily on citizen input, with a particular focus on building capacity of under-represented groups. Our planning process will also closely align with four other regional planning initiatives occurring in a parallel process, and briefly described below:

- **Promise Neighborhood Planning Grant - Beating the Odds.** The Las Vegas Promise Neighborhood grant will utilize a comprehensive community approach to improving student successes by enhancing the capacity of families and communities to provide the necessary social, developmental and economic supports necessary for success.

- **Healthy Communities Initiative:** The Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation are collaborating with local entities (The Liney Institute, the Nevada Bankers Collaborative, United Way of Southern Nevada, Southern Nevada Health District, and the local HUD office), to convene stakeholders and national experts to discuss current trends and national models for building a Healthy Communities framework in Southern Nevada.

- **Enhancing Community Development:** Local partners, (Liney Institute, Brookings Mountain West and others), are collaborating to improve the capacity of Southern Nevada to attract and sustain broader financial support for community development, infrastructure, social services, and economic development in the region. National intermediaries will mobilize corporate, government and philanthropic support for comprehensive community development aimed at transforming distressed neighborhoods into healthy and sustainable communities of choice and opportunity.

- **Community Needs Assessment:** In partnership with such groups as the United Way, Southern Nevada Health District, Nevada Community Foundation and others, the Liney Institute will conduct a region-wide assessment in 2012 to update needs information from the community.

These regional planning and community development efforts will enhance and inform the work of the Consortium and will enable us to leverage financial resources, technical expertise, public participation, and policy recommendations from these complementary initiatives.

1) **General Description of Proposed Regional Plan for Sustainable Development**

The contents and organization of our proposed regional plan will articulate our long range vision for sustainable development. Anchored in the Livability Principles, our plan will include chapters addressing the integration of recommended sustainable development strategies as they affect the nine critical areas of community living identified earlier, to include: housing, transportation, community/neighborhood development, economic development, public health, education and human development, environment, water infrastructure, and energy.

**Sustainable Development**

Organized around chapters that address each of Livability Principles and integrate the community living topics, the Consortium will advance the concept of sustainable development by creating a
mechanism for ongoing dialogue and exchange of ideas and recommendations between regional entities, local stakeholders and the public. This dialogue will include extensive opportunities for public participation to allow for sufficient consideration of both neighborhood level concerns such as housing availability and housing mix, as well as cross-cutting issues such as transportation, economic development, or workforce development. The Consortium will facilitate a collaborative process, using a regional conference to raise the visibility of the need for sustainable communities, supported by local community town hall meetings, to foster the exchange of ideas from communities, individuals, and/or organizations to inform this regional plan. Tools will include use of scenarios, visioning exercises, development of appropriate baseline data and measurement metrics to evaluate progress.

Our objective in pursuing sustainable regional development planning is to facilitate consensus on the adoption and implementation of practical planning recommendations that can be incorporated into day-to-day business and government operations in the region. Over the course of the project, the Consortium will work with its local government members, its subject-matter/technical experts, and the SNRPC, to incorporate recommendations presented in the plan into rules, regulations, codes, capital improvement plans, and/or revitalizations programs of local governments. Local government implementation of sustainability strategies will be tracked to highlight successes and model initiatives. The Consortium will also track progress toward the sustainability benchmarks and distribute the results to the public through annual reports and more detailed three and five year reports.

Scenario Planning

Our objective in utilizing scenario planning is to effectively engage local stakeholders in building the consensus around a framework for long-term regional planning infrastructure investment decisions and local land use decisions in Southern Nevada. To accomplish this objective, a participatory systems approach will be used as a framework for scenario planning. This approach takes baseline trends and existing conditions assessment as a starting point for engaging the public and stakeholders. Participant responses to these trends help shape discussions about vision and goals for the future. Scenario modeling will be one of the primary tools included in an education process designed to solicit preferences and input from the public. A minimum of three regional growth scenarios will be presented to community residents during Year 2. The Consortium will use the public and stakeholder input to construct a preferred scenario for the region upon which to build the implementation strategies and incorporate livability principles. SNRPC members have received training in scenario planning previously.

Six Livability Principles

The plan will be anchored in the six Livability Principles, providing the foundation for the regional definition, vision and goals for sustainable development. The existing conditions assessment will utilize data to create a baseline assessment of where the region is today with respect to the six principles. This data will be used as a basis of comparison for the scenario modeling and evaluation process. Task Groups will focus on social equity, economic development, transportation, environment, housing, and neighborhood engagement and will use the principles as the basis for establishing goals and metrics. The principles will also drive the creation of implementation strategies with the objective of incorporating the six principles in long term local jurisdiction growth and urban planning requirements.

Rating Factor 2 Needs

The plan will use needs data provided in Rating Factor 2 as the starting point for meaningful dialogue between the Consortium, stakeholders and the public, with the intent of establishing a baseline for the development of the regional vision and goals. The needs data for each planning domain will
provide information for the public engagement strategy, highlighting communities and populations that may need non-traditional outreach and involvement methods. Task Groups, guided by public input, will address specific needs including identifying existing barriers, with the objective of developing concrete actions to overcome them, supported by the use of metrics to assess the effectiveness of implementation efforts. Needs data will also form the basis for metrics and data inputs used in scenario planning.

Regional Economic Assets

The plan will examine strategies for how to best leverage and maintain involvement with the region’s economic assets including the international airport, gaming, hospitality, defense, green architecture, and renewable energy industries. The expertise of these stakeholders will be used to explore strategies for balancing growth, transportation needs, economic development, and air quality. The plan will consider methods for attracting and maintaining a skilled labor pool as the region continues to grow, including evaluating challenges such as affordable workforce housing and training in specialized fields or trades. The overall objective is to have the plan provide a framework for increasing the economic competitiveness of the region over time.

Inclusive Communities

The plan will promote inclusive communities and reduce discrimination by advancing strategies to improve access to economic opportunity for all segments of the population through committed partnerships with neighborhoods, agencies, businesses, and community groups that represent all segments of the population. These partnerships will ensure that the interests of underrepresented communities are included in the SNvAPSD planning process. To assure that this outreach is meaningful, the Consortium will work with regional agencies and groups with an expertise in social equity and design community outreach methods that will motivate and activate underrepresented members.

2) Process to Develop a Regional Plan

To achieve our overall goals and supporting objectives, we have outlined a regional planning strategy that builds on existing environmental development plans, promotes broad community engagement, uses objective data as both planning and outcome evaluation tools, is practical in solutions, leverages financial resources and subject matter expertise, and provides a strong base for implementation of consensus recommendations identified in the plan. Outlined below are the planning steps to be taken over the life of the project.

Engagement Plans

In Year 1 of the project, we will lay the foundation for planning to achieve our overarching goal of fostering the development of sustainable communities throughout Southern Nevada that are consistent with HUD’s Livability Principles. The Consortium is committed to fostering meaningful participation of all stakeholder groups throughout the duration of the project. Our participation plan will account for the fact that the region is diverse with distinct communities based on identity, geography, interests and language. The success of the finished regional plan will depend on the Consortium’s ability to engage and sustain the interest of all these communities, with special attention to those traditionally underrepresented in past planning processes. To ensure such communities will have an effective role, the planning process will focus on three major strategies: 1) building the capacity of local communities to actively participate in and endorse the sustainable development plan; 2) using methods/techniques for participation to maximize two-way communication; 3) providing stakeholders with a variety of participation options.
To raise the visibility of the need for sustainable communities and access high level expertise in urban planning, we will begin by holding a Regional Conference. The conference will be organized to address each of the six Livability Principles with the intent of developing recommendations for a multijurisdictional plan that integrates the community living issues of housing, transportation, community/neighborhood development, economic development, public health, education and human development, environment, water infrastructure, and energy. The conference will bring together key players in overall community planning as well as create an opportunity for input from groups or organizations (e.g. public health officials, homeowner associations, public safety/law enforcement officials, elected officials, civic groups, state officials, etc.) that may not routinely participate in urban planning activities. The Consortium will focus on engaging and sustaining interest among communities of color, non-English speakers residents, new residents, immigrants, low-income communities, economically isolated communities (i.e. urban neighborhoods) and vulnerable populations (i.e. seniors and people with disabilities). The Consortium will develop and promote a project web-site and will develop web-based tools to reach the under-engaged and those with location accessibility challenges.

In addition to plenary sessions on key overarching topics, breakout workshops (or conference tracts) will examine each of the Livability Principles, providing speakers and/or panels of topic experts, organized to solicit wide community input. Examples of key issues for each Principle include:

- **Provide more transportation choices** – As previously stated, greater access to transit resources are a need for the region. According to the Brookings Institution, “Transportation leaders should make access to jobs an explicit priority in their spending and service decisions, especially given the budget pressures they face. Metro leaders should coordinate strategies regarding land use, economic development, and housing with transit decisions in order to ensure that transit reaches more people and more jobs efficiently.” Workshops addressing this issue will present existing transportation resources and plans for eight rapid transit corridors across Southern Nevada. Discussions will explore how best to achieve sustainable development through connected centers and transit corridors. Key goals will be to provide safe, reliable, accessible and affordable transportation options that can facilitate community needs to improved air quality, reduce smog emissions, and foster easier access to community health and social services resources.

- **Promote equitable, affordable housing** – Housing and the location of future housing developments are essential planning issues for Southern Nevada. Low housing values, high foreclosure rates, distressed housing areas, and other concerns need to be addressed in practical ways that can foster increased responsible home ownership as a means of economic security, while planning to ensuring access to safe, affordable and healthy housing for all economic sectors in the region.

- **Enhance Economic Competitiveness** – Residents in the region need access to a range of resources to enhance economic competitiveness. Key needs are to diversify the business base of the region; increase access to workforce development; ensure access to quality training for persons needing to pursue new skills, and improving educational resources to support the future workforce in the region.

- **Support Existing Communities** – Planning workshops will need to explore strategies like transit-oriented, mixed-use development, and land recycling in considering planning for jurisdictions wanting to redevelop downtown/commercial areas (e.g. Las Vegas/North Las Vegas);

- **Coordinate Policies and Leverage Investment** – Consortium members will look to assistance from the Lincy Foundation in leveraging other planning initiatives targeting coordinated federal investments; examples include the Healthy Communities and the Enhancing Communities initiatives described
earlier. These initiatives will look to remove barriers to collaboration, leverage funding and increase accountability for government and all Consortium member organizations.

- **Value Communities and Neighborhoods** – Planning will explore options for current and future investment in healthy, safe, and walkable neighborhoods for urban and suburban areas in the region. Although current master planned communities support walkability, a rethinking of the design and location of these communities may be needed to increase access to employment and education opportunities.

Experts from Brookings Mountain West, the Brookings Institution, the Lincy Institute, the School of Community Health Sciences, and other regional or national resources will serve as Conference speakers, and/or panel members to bring current and accurate data and technical information to inform planning. The conference will receive widespread public promotion to engage as many sectors of the community as possible. Each workshop will have a recorder and will develop a list of recommendations specific to that particular principle. Recommendations will be used to guide the development of the overall plan. The Lincy Institute will also assist in conducting outreach and data collection to inform conference presentations and workshops and time spent will contribute to leveraged resources for the project.

Assistance with conference convening and registration logistics will come from UNLV’s Office of Sustainability which will lend its considerable expertise in conference design. Department staff and graduate assistants will work with Henderson lead staff and with Consortium members to design and organize the Conference. Department activities are credited as leveraged resources for the project.

Objectives for Year 1 include:
1. Within 60 days of award, Consortium agreements will be fully executed and participants from Consortium members will be identified.
2. Within 120 days of award, project personnel positions will be employed to complete project staffing and ensure a locus of responsibility for sustainable planning in each jurisdiction.
3. By August of 2012, a Southern Nevada Regional Sustainable Communities Conference will be convened to promote broad citizen engagement in regional urban planning.
4. By December of 2012, documented conference proceedings and planning recommendations will be available as consensus documents for conducting Year 2 community neighborhood/town hall meetings.

These objectives are consistent with the HUD Program Goals of 1) promoting the six Livability Principles; 2) promoting multijurisdictional traditional and non-traditional partnerships; and, 3) facilitating long term alliances of residents and regional interest groups to develop a long term vision for the region.

**Year 2**

During Year 2, Consortium members, community partners, and expert consultants, working from conference proceedings and recommendations will develop a series of consensus documents, focusing on the six Livability Principles that will be organized into an actionable plan to be reviewed with neighborhood partners and ultimately approved by the authorities of each participating organization. A key resource for this activity will be Brookings Mountain West which will provide analysis of planning recommendations as well as guidance on policy development and on implementation strategies for where and how planning recommendations need to be integrated into existing and future municipal
budgets and development policies. Analysis of existing development plans and of conference recommendations will consider each of the six Livability Principles.

The Consortium will invest in developing long term relationships with selected neighborhood partners located throughout the region to share consensus documents (neighborhood plans), garner grassroots level input and support for the SNvRPSD, and conduct scenario planning exercises. Using the four Community Liaisons we will go into pilot neighborhoods selected on the basis of specific livability deficits to conduct town hall meetings and educate community members about the initial results and recommendations from the planning conference. We will work with residents to solicit more detailed community feedback and to gain their perspective on prioritizing needed interventions.

As a part of this process, we will conduct scenarios exercises in these model or pilot communities. With stakeholder input, the Consortium will develop GIS and systems simulation models to help participants gain an understanding of how alternative futures would perform against sustainability metrics. Scenarios will be identified through GIS and system dynamics modeling, and qualitative review by stakeholders and the public. Engaging stakeholders in model development and analysis broadens the group of people who can learn from the modeling exercise. Including the public in the development of both the model and model scenarios will lead to greater social learning, social capital development, and a foundation for long-term stakeholder engagement in sustainable development policy. A baseline scenario reflecting current growth trends and planned public investments will be compared with alternative futures, derived from the citizen visioning process. Participants will use the models to evaluate the performance of each scenario against defined regional sustainability metrics, considering where and how established goals may conflict. The evaluation will also include a visualization of the impacts of different policy choices on communities. Recommendations will be prioritized based on resident input from in the model communities.

Objectives for this activity include:
1. By March of 2013, consensus documents addressing Livability Principles and based on needs data, conference proceedings and recommendations from the conference workshops will be available.
2. By August, 2013 complete initial neighborhood liaison activities/scenario exercises to incorporate feedback and neighborhood priorities into draft Actions Plans.
3. By September, 2013 develop and disseminate an Action Plan to be approved by the authorities of each participating organization not later than December, 2013.

These activities align with are the HUD Program Goals of: 1) Facilitate strong alliances of residents and regional interest groups that are able to maintain a long-term vision for a region over time and simultaneously support progress through incremental sustainable development practices; and, 2) Build greater transparency and accountability into planning and implementation efforts.

**Year 3**

The objective of Year 3 activities is to develop a strong, practically-based consolidated regional sustainability plan which will be circulated among SNRPC members for final adoption. To achieve this objective, the Consortium, again working with Brookings Mountain West and other expert consultants, will conduct a feasibility study and cost analysis for each of the major sustainability recommendations made. Working from all of the key information resources developed for the project (e.g. conference proceedings, baseline data, sustainability audits, consensus documents, scenario exercises, and model neighborhood priorities), consultants and environmental experts will assess the overall feasibility of the planning recommendations, focusing particularly on those that address Rating Factor 2 needs conditions.
and those identified in our discussion of the planning context (interventions to secure livability and sustainability goals that include: greater access to transit options; improved walkability; improved environmental quality; and land use practices that promote neighborhood social integration and social engagement). We will assess issues such as: planning recommendation cost considerations; potentially required changes in rules, regulations, laws, policies, statutes, etc.; level of public support; level of support from elected officials and municipal jurisdictions; and, other factors that determine the likelihood of broad-based regional support for sustainable development planning. We will also host a Regional Sustainable Development Summit to share the results of the planning process with the community. Based on cost determinations and feasibility of the recommendations we will develop and disseminate a draft plan and then a final plan for approval by SNRPC members.

Given the care taken in fashioning a very collaborative and community focused planning process, our intent is to complete the project with a strong, plan for sustainable development, fully endorsed by SNRPC members with specific actions to pursue long term implementation funding.

Objectives for Year 3
1. By June, 2014, the Consortium will have completed a cost analysis and feasibility study of major recommendations from the planning process.
2. By September, 2014, the Consortium will host a Regional Sustainable Development Summit.
3. By October, 2014, a final draft plan will be circulated throughout SNRPC members for review.
4. By December, 2014, the final plan will be reviewed and adopted by SNRPC members.

These objectives align with HUD Program Goals by 1) Aligning local, state capital improvement programs with the Livability Principles; and 2) Expediting implementation of the Livability Principles through changes in local zoning and land use laws and regulations that remove barriers to sustainable development for housing, economic development, transportation, and related water, sewer, and other environmental quality issues.

3) Governance and Management

Formal Structure of the Consortium
The formal structure of the Consortium can be viewed graphically in the organizational diagram, (Page 5). Overall support for regional planning comes from the municipalities that form the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC). Within the SNRPC, Technical Committees are comprised of city managers, and SNRPC Planning Directors are comprised of the director of each planning entity.

To facilitate sustainability planning for the region, the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Consortium will engage a wide range of government, education, non-profit, and business partners, along with specific initiatives to continually engage community residents throughout the process. The public serves at the base of the structure that the Consortium is built upon.

A series of Task Groups, based on the six Livability Principles and addressing social equity, economic development, transportation, environment, housing, and community neighborhoods, are comprised of stakeholders and experts. Task Group members selected by the Consortium will represent a diverse group of government & non-government entities with experience in planning, service delivery, advocacy and public outreach. Task Group chairs will hold meeting at least quarterly to discuss ideas and findings and are expected to share expertise from their various disciplines with each other through a collaborative process. The Consortium will guide the work of the Task Groups; assist in implementing the Regional Conference to ensure wide community engagement in the planning process; present plan...
elements and recommendations to the SNRPC Planning Directors’ Technical Committee and full Board for vetting and feedback on the feasibility of implementation strategies; and monitor the progress of plan development and implementation. The final decision-making authority resides with the SNRPC Planning Directors, Technical Committee and Board. The SNRPC will solicit their respective governments to gain approval, adoption and support coordinated implementation of the Southern Nevada Regional Plan for Sustainable Development.

Member Roles
The Consortium will review the plans and products of stakeholders from the Task Groups. The Consortium will act as one unified body to support and steer the visioning, public engagement and planning processes. The expertise of each Consortium member is detailed in Rating Factor 1 (page 2).

Partnership Commitments
The Consortium will continue to seek partners that can contribute to sustainability in the region as the grant process develops. More than 20 partners, including representatives from private industry, and public health have committed to joining with the consortium as stakeholders for the planning process. The consortium will actively seek public feedback, which will likely lead to additional partners.

Budget Resources
Budget planning has dedicated sufficient resources to ensure that a wide range of individuals and organizations will participate in the planning process. Examples include a public outreach program and dedicated Project Liaisons to engage communities and residents from across the socioeconomic spectrum. The use of Community Liaisons from selected communities representing all major sectors of the region will also enable the project to have a county-wide visibility and diverse community engagement.

Data Management Plan
To ensure that planning information is fully shared with partners and the general public, project staff will prepare meeting summaries for distribution to participants and stakeholders. Project staff will create and maintain a project website, where meeting dates, times, locations, agendas, summaries and relevant materials will be posted for review and download. COH will maintain email distribution lists for general communication among jurisdictions and stakeholders, and notification of meetings, events, or availability of data or other products for review. Project information and communications will be available for distribution in Consortium member publications and regular distribution channels. Informational presentations will be made to SNRPC’s standing boards and committees, and to partner boards, committees, and/or events. Regular updates and work summaries will be published in quarterly updates and email newsletters. We will create and maintain discrete data directories for project files on partner computer networks. Staff will also explore the use of social media, such as Facebook.

Implementation of the SNvRPSD
The members of the SNRPC Planning Directors and the Technical Committee will bring the implementation strategies from the regional plan to their respective bodies of government for review, adoption and implementation. Because the members of the Planning Directors and the Technical Committee will be involved in the Consortium throughout the planning and visioning processes, they will understand the plan and will be able to keep the livability principles in focus. Governmental bodies will use the plan to inform and guide spending decisions for their capital improvement plans, entitlement funding and other future funding initiatives. For example, outreach to
financial institutions may result in opportunities to fund TOD development/pilot projects, by allowing banks to see greater value in lending for these projects. To keep the plan in the public eye, SNRPC will produce an annual report highlighting success stories and promoting sustainability planning. This report will be complemented by a “report card” measuring planning performance metrics at three and five year intervals. SNRPC will evaluate and distribute the report card on behalf of the Consortium.

4) Project Completion Schedule

The chart below depicts the proposed timeline for the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities Initiative:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>PROCESS (Quarters)</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision &amp; Goal Setting</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of Existing Conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric Development</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario Development</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot Project Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify &amp; Prioritize Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of RPSD Report</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Adoption of RPSD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Planning will focus on addressing community needs identified in Rating Factor 2. Throughout the project, planning will be directed towards identifying viable strategies and practical solutions to address issues surrounding housing, walkability, improving environmental quality, and land use practices.

Mechanisms to advance economic opportunity include engaging a variety of employment, housing, public health, and business partners in the planning process. By working within specific neighborhoods, we will be able to incorporate strategies that affirm fair housing, and promote education and employment development in the regional plan.

Project Milestones

The chart on Pages 21 identifies milestones for the Southern Nevada Sustainable Communities initiative.
### Project Activities, Milestones, Metrics & Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT</th>
<th>Metrics &amp; Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Milestones</strong></td>
<td><strong>Metrics &amp; Results</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizen Participation Plan</td>
<td>Citizen Participation Plan adopted by Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional conference/summit</td>
<td>Regional announcement and kick-off of project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership training</td>
<td>Community leaders trained and agree to facilitate the citizen participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision &amp; goal-setting sessions</td>
<td>Residents/stakeholders have participated in developing the vision and goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Issues/ opportunity identification</td>
<td>Input from residents incorporated into existing conditions assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Performance Measures</td>
<td>Stakeholder issues provided to Task Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public participation in scenario development</td>
<td>A high percentage of residents attended scenario development evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public identifies priority strategies</td>
<td>Priorities have been documented and presented to Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public reviews and comments on draft RPSD</td>
<td>Comments incorporated into final SNvRPSD document.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VISION &amp; GOAL SETTING</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional definition of sustainability</td>
<td>Majority of public comments received after visioning demonstrate consensus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Vision and Goals Statement</td>
<td>Regional vision and goals incorporate livability principles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING CONDITIONS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data collection</td>
<td>Baseline demographic and land use data and trends documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory of existing plans in the region</td>
<td>Gap Analysis document analyzes sustainability gaps in existing plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify barriers and challenges to sustainability</td>
<td>Documentation of barriers to reaching vision and goals for region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Conditions Report</td>
<td>Distribution of existing conditions report and public meetings to get feedback.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METRIC DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify metrics based on vision &amp; goals</td>
<td>Consensus from Task Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop consistent performance measures</td>
<td>Agreement that measures meet federal sustainability partnership objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop methodology for metrics analysis</td>
<td>Methodology for metrics has been peer reviewed by Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate existing conditions based on metrics</td>
<td>Sustainability baseline assessment has been documented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model a minimum of 3 regional growth scenarios</td>
<td>Scenarios presented to the public and received and incorporated feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of scenarios based on metrics</td>
<td>Analysis and presentation of how the scenarios perform against the metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection of preferred scenario</td>
<td>Consortium confirms preferred scenario by minimum 3/5ths majority vote.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEMONSTRATION PROJECT STUDIES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish evaluation criteria for project areas</td>
<td>Publish evaluation criteria and solicit study partners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select demonstration project studies</td>
<td>Regional announcement and kick-off of demonstration project studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of implementation strategies</td>
<td>Pilot project study reports are published and adopted by local governments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify best practices for achieving regional goals</td>
<td>Distribution of best practices summary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop implementation strategies</td>
<td>Presentation and vetting by Consortium for implementation feasibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify immediate and long-term policy changes</td>
<td>Workshops present policy recommendations to regional agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop links to local planning processes</td>
<td>Guidelines for local/regional implementation ratified by Consortium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IDENTIFY &amp; PRIORITIZE PROJECTS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create project evaluation criteria</td>
<td>Publish evaluation criteria and solicit projects for sustainable development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct evaluation of implementation projects</td>
<td>Identification of priority implementation projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify entities and potential funding sources</td>
<td>Publish guidance for region's funding and infrastructure investments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPMENT OF RPSD REPORT</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public involvement for feedback about plan</td>
<td>Distribution of draft plan through partners, media, and web-based strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations/workshops/public comment collection for draft plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. Budget Proposal
The total cost of the project is $5,679,017. The total HUD portion of the grant is $3,882,867, with total eligible leverage and match of $1,796,150 (46%). The budget table below provides additional detail on specific cost amounts. Funding estimates are based on considerable partner experience conducting projects involving committees and working groups, developing technical reports and project delivery through technical consultants. Consortium members believe the amounts requested are sufficient to accomplish the tasks in this proposal. See HUD CBW Detailed Budget Worksheet and accompanying Budget Narrative for the overall project. Also, see Rating Factor Form 4 for information about leveraged resources and representative partner commitments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis of Total Estimated Costs</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Personnel (Direct Labor)</td>
<td>$1,787,697.60</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$643,571.14</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Travel</td>
<td>$13,298.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Equipment</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Supplies and Materials</td>
<td>$63,400.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Consultants</td>
<td>$1,205,000.00</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Contracts and Sub-Grants</td>
<td>$1,791,150.00</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Construction</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Other Direct Costs</td>
<td>$174,900.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Indirect Costs</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>$5,679,016.74</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUD Share:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,882,866.74</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Match:</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,796,150</strong></td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d. HUD’s Policy Priorities

*Capacity Building*

The Consortium’s work is designed to increase the capacity and expertise of both member organizations and the general public. Members will become more familiar with best practices for various components of the work, as well as with the different priorities and techniques of other disciplines. Specific training in planning for sustainable communities will be provided for Consortium members as well as key community leaders and decision-makers. A variety of non-traditional partners will gain experience with regional policies, and also with regional planning and policy development processes through in-service training, webinars and structured observation of best practices. As described on page 13, the project will employ an array of data management and information sharing and dissemination techniques to educate partners, participants, and the general public about the project and its outcomes. Outreach methods will include regular partner dialogues, cross-regional briefings, and structured peer exchange. The Consortium anticipates conducting numerous open public meetings during the project timeframe, with between two and five large public events with a targeted audience of more than 5,000 people that will become educated, trained and engaged in the process. The direct participation of all of the region’s local governments and many community organizations is anticipated.
Expand Cross-Cutting Policy Knowledge

The Consortium will use the resources of partners from the UNLV and the Brookings Mountain West to assist in the analysis of data in order to measure policy impact and clarify the extent of data that will be made available through a data-sharing agreement. The Consortium will collect outcome-oriented data for the region that will include commute times, VMT changes, socioeconomic disparity data, share of development on infill sites, changes in health indicators, and trends in transit ridership among others. All data will be made available on-line to permit access from all stakeholders including policymakers and interested community leaders. As the lead agency, COH will host the project GIS database.

Rating Factor 4: Match, Leveraging Resources, and Program Integration

The SNvRPSD project total budget is $5,679,017. The Consortium has identified $1,796,150 as local commitments to dedicate resources for this proposal from a variety of community sources, which, in combination with HUD program resources, will ensure the project’s success. Documentation in Rating Factor Form 4 demonstrates that the Consortium has committed significant local and other resources equivalent to 46% of the funds requested from HUD to implement this proposal.

In addition, substantial resources from other federal initiatives will be integrated with the proposed planning of this project. As referenced in APPENDIX D: Integration of Federal Planning Activities, nearly $5 million dollars in revenue by Southern Nevada communities through HUD entitlement funding. For future year allocations, this represents a substantial amount that can be utilized to implement the SNvRPSD and reinforce the Federal Partnership for Sustainable Communities’ Livability Principles. Also, over the FY 11-14 grant period, $738,850 in FHWA and FTA funds will be utilized to evaluate opportunities to integrate complete streets, alternative modes of transportation, pedestrian amenities, transit supportive redevelopment, and neighborhood walkability/connectivity in existing neighborhoods (APPENDIX D: Integration of Federal Planning Activities).

Rating Factor 5: Achieving Results and Program Evaluation

The Rating Factor 5 Form included in the appendix outlines regional planning issues to be addressed by the project and provides an overview of proposed outcomes and measures of progress. The following is a summary of the Consortium’s expectations for meeting HUD’s mandatory outcomes:

1. Creation of regional transportation, housing, water and air quality plans that are deeply aligned and tied to local comprehensive land use and capital investment plans

   The SNvRPSD will build upon an existing inventory of significant local and regional environmental, transportation, and housing plans. Consortium partners with experience in data and policy analysis (i.e., Brookings Mountain West, Lincy Institute, and UNLV’s DEOH) will lead efforts to assess local, regional, and federal plans and data sources pertaining to land use, transportation, housing, economic development, environment, and health. The goal is to identify gaps in content or alignment and recommend strategies for integrating local, regional, state, and federal plans and resources to address challenges identified in Rating Factor 2. A key component will be the development of a regional transit-oriented development plan that synthesizes the best strategies from all existing plans into one unified vision and strategy for future sustainable development across the region.

2. Aligned federal planning and investment resources that mirror the local and regional strategies for achieving sustainable communities
The SNvRPSD will include implementation recommendations for all aspects of local, state, and regional agency planning, monitoring, and decision-making processes. Consortium partners including the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition, the Southern Nevada Regional Transportation Commission, the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority, other regional agencies and local governments will endorse the SNvRPSD recommendations and work to incorporate the Livability Principles into the region’s long-range transportation activities, consolidated housing plans, and economic development strategies. State and regional partnerships will continue to actively pursue federal funding opportunities for a full range of sustainability initiatives.

3. Increased participation and decision-making in developing and implementing a long-range vision for the region by populations traditionally marginalized in public planning processes.

The process for creating the SNvRPSD includes a robust public participation plan, providing a forum for two-way communication and specifying mechanisms for involving traditionally marginalized stakeholders. Consortium partners will work collaboratively to identify a range of stakeholders and engagement strategies appropriate for different groups (i.e. focus groups, surveys, meetings, workshops). Strategies to overcome challenges and opportunities for participation (e.g. language, scheduling or access issues) will also be identified. This process will build public support and organizational capacity for addressing issues at the regional level.

4. Reduced social and economic disparities for the low-income, minority communities, and other disadvantaged populations within the region.

Increasing mobility and access to jobs across the region will be a primary focus of the SNvRPSD. Integrated land use planning and investment in transportation and housing is an important first step toward reducing economic disparities created by the relative isolation of some low-income, minority communities and other disadvantaged groups. The SNvRPSD will also create incentives for locating affordable housing and new jobs in close proximity to one another and to transit. Eliminating barriers by affirmatively furthering fair housing will also be a powerful tool for reducing disparities.

5. Decreased per capita VMT and transportation-related emissions for the region.

Federal lands surrounding the urbanized areas of Southern Nevada form a de facto growth boundary that has resulted in higher densities of development here than in most other areas of the country. In addition, employment opportunities in the region are highly concentrated in the downtown area and along the 4.2-mile Resort Corridor. Although per capita VMT is relatively low in the region, a fragmented development pattern and limited access to high quality transit means that transportation is highly dependent on automobiles. A key component of the SNvRPSD will be a regional strategy for transit-oriented development (TOD) along planned and existing transit corridors. This TOD plan will build upon recent public investments in regional transportation corridors valued at more than $60 million in capital improvements since 2009. Specific attention will be given to formulating urban design strategies for overcoming connectivity challenges. A coordinated, multi-sector approach will achieve further reductions in auto trips, trip lengths, and vehicle emissions over a defined time period.

6. Decreased overall combined housing and transportation costs per household.

As noted above, a key component of the RPSD will be the creation of a regional strategy for transit-oriented development (TOD) along planned and existing transit corridors. This strategy will identify enhanced opportunities for mixed-use development to reduce transportation costs so that residents can be less auto-dependent and have access to a variety of healthy and affordable options such as walking,
bicycling, and using transit. In addition, appropriate redevelopment of older housing stock in the
downtown centers and other mature areas of the region will also help accomplish this goal, especially
since those areas are currently served by existing transit systems.

7. Increased share of residential and commercial construction on underutilized infill development
sites that encourage revitalization, while minimizing displacement in neighborhoods with significant
disadvantaged populations.

The Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan and all local Master Plans include policies to encourage
infill development and the revitalization of existing neighborhoods. The SNvRPSD will build upon
these efforts and examine additional opportunities to facilitate infill development through incentives and
regulatory changes. The success of this approach will be measured by the increased share of residential
and commercial construction on underutilized infill development sites that encourage revitalization and
minimize displacement over a defined period of time.

8. Increased proportion of low and very low-income households within a 30-minute transit
commute of major employment centers.

Given the higher density development and concentrated employment patterns of southern Nevada,
virtually all major employment centers are located within a 30-minute transit commute from anywhere in
the Las Vegas valley. The SNvRPSD will include analysis and outreach to determine the appropriate
housing supply, both overall and in particular for households with low and moderate incomes, along
planned and existing transit corridors. Accordingly, the plan’s development will address whether
increases in density, designed to suit the local context, would be appropriate. The SNvRPSD will also
seek to identify and fill missing transit links for disadvantaged communities, as well as plan for job sites to
be located closer to affordable housing options.
### Surveyed Sustainable Community HUD Grant Recipients

FY2010/2011, 4+ agencies, >$1.5 million grant award

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consortium Name</th>
<th># of Agencies</th>
<th>Grant Award</th>
<th>Grant Year</th>
<th>Main focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Arkansas Planning and Development District</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$2,600,000</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Commission (CA)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$4,991,336</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Small business/job creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Regional Council of Governments</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Transit/rail development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Central Regional Planning Council (FL)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$3,400,000</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Metropolitan Council</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$3,903,677</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-County Regional Planning Commission (MI)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omaha - Council Bluffs Metropolitan Area Planning Agency</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$2,045,000</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Long range planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The State University of New Jersey’s Edward J. Bloustein School for Policy and Planning at Rutgers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Transit/rail development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehigh Valley Economic Development Corporation</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$3,400,000</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelby County Government (TN)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$2,639,999</td>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td>Transit/rail development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Regional Council of Governments (CT)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$4,200,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Transit/rail development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Plan Association Inc. of New York City</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Transit/rail development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$4,250,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Long range planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Portland Council of Governments (ME)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Area Planning Council of Boston</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Michigan Council of Government</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$2,850,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Long range planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Council (MN)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Transit/rail development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East-West Gateway Council of Government (MO)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$4,687,750</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Long range planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-America Regional Council (MO)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$4,250,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land-of-Sky Regional Council (NC)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$1,600,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Small business/job creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Knoxville, Tennessee</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$4,327,500</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Long range planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake County (UT)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>FY2010</td>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C  SNV Work Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long Term Outcomes</th>
<th>Activity 1 GOVERNANCE: Create a governance structure, the Regional Consortium, made up of key decision makers to guide grant activities and monitor/evaluate accomplishments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1.1</td>
<td>Solicit nominations for members of the consortium through outreach efforts to elected officials, local government professionals and other stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1.2</td>
<td>SNRPC Board appoint members of the Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1.3</td>
<td>Convene initial meeting with orientation of grant outcomes and roles and responsibilities of Committee and subcommittee agenda for year grant period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1.4</td>
<td>Convene Quarterly meetings to further the agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 1.5</td>
<td>Meet periodically to discuss sustainability principles, policy development, future goals, outreach efforts, equity, affordable housing, wandered development, transit and sustainable redevelopmen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SNV Work Plan Matrix 05.30.12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long Term Outcomes</th>
<th>Activity 2 ADMINISTRATION AND PROJECT MANAGEMENT: Build an internal team to administer grant activities and manage project deliverables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Task</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.1</td>
<td>Secure project staff to complete project activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.2</td>
<td>Work with HLD representatives to execute cooperative agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.3</td>
<td>Work with HLD representatives to complete work plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.4</td>
<td>Work with HLD representatives to execute consortium agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.5</td>
<td>Agreed upon a project management strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.6</td>
<td>Assign project leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.7</td>
<td>Complete HLD semi-annual progress reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.8</td>
<td>Complete bi-weekly progress meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.9</td>
<td>Complete annual progress reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2.10</td>
<td>Discuss and make modifications where needed in to fully integrate staff group to achieve impact goals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Spatial Health Indicator Analysis Data Collection UNLV Study**

### Activity 3 COMMUNICATIONS AND ENGAGEMENT: Implement a comprehensive, broad-reaching communications and outreach strategy that promotes sustainable development.

**Long Term Outcomes:**
Successful outreach efforts that aid in realization of what is meant by sustainable development in urban and suburban settings. Support for investments that result in revitalization while minimizing the displacement of disadvantaged populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITY</th>
<th>DUE</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.1</td>
<td>Issue RFP for consulting services to assist in the development of a public engagement plan</td>
<td>RFP contract for public engagement plan approved</td>
<td>COH Project Staff, Committee, and grant partners</td>
<td>2012 Q2 – Q3</td>
<td>Successful completion of RFP process</td>
<td>Collected feedback and make modifications to publicize key performance indicators and outcomes; measure effectiveness in improved work plan and logo model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.2</td>
<td>Develop a public engagement plan that targets neighborhood and community stakeholders to promote sustainable development</td>
<td>A comprehensive communications and outreach strategy developed and deployed to seek broad community stakeholder input</td>
<td>COH Project Staff, Committee, and grant partners</td>
<td>2012 Q2 – Q3</td>
<td>Adoption of comprehensive communications plan by the Consortium Coordinating Committee</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.3</td>
<td>Organize communications activities with neighborhood stakeholders across the region, including those in the targeted areas</td>
<td>Communications implementation plan</td>
<td>COH Project Staff, Committee, and grant partners</td>
<td>2013 Q2 – 2014 Q4</td>
<td>Communications plan elements successfully used in neighborhood engagements in each planning process</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.4</td>
<td>Encourage implementation of regional and local government policies that facilitate robust public outreach efforts that target underrepresented populations and promote mixed-income living in sustainable communities</td>
<td>Involvement of impacted stakeholders in the planning process and groups</td>
<td>COH Project Staff, Committee, and grant partners</td>
<td>2012 Q4 – 2014 Q4</td>
<td>Stakeholders and citizens involved in development of regional plan that identifies land-use strategies, investment opportunities, and implementation steps</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.5</td>
<td>Include neighborhood input as part of the process to develop demonstration projects</td>
<td>Communications implementation plan progress report</td>
<td>COH Project Staff and regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q1 – Q4</td>
<td>Communications plan elements successfully used to engage all stakeholders in demonstration projects</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.6</td>
<td>Complete year-end half of online video programs on sustainable development projects</td>
<td>Online video program episodes</td>
<td>COH Project Staff</td>
<td>2014 Q2 – Q3</td>
<td>Successful case study and video presentation</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3.7</td>
<td>Include neighborhood input as part of the process to approve the RPS/1 Report and Action Plan</td>
<td>Community input included in RPS/1 Report and Action Plan</td>
<td>COH Project Staff</td>
<td>2014 Q2 – Q4</td>
<td>Number of comments received</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Activity 4 CAPACITY BUILDING: Increase the capacity and knowledge of stakeholders and partners about sustainable development practices and livability principles.

**Long Term Outcomes:**
Increased capacity for elected and appointed officials, local government staff, developers, builders, economic development officials, and other stakeholders that result in successful sustainable projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITY</th>
<th>DUE</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.1</td>
<td>Finance and manage all of HUD grant and staff training strategies</td>
<td>HUD training strategy developed</td>
<td>COH Project Staff</td>
<td>2012 Q2</td>
<td>Training strategy aligned with HUD grant outcomes</td>
<td>Collected participant feedback, attendance records and prepare summaries of all activities, attendance records, and materials; measures identified on approved work plan and strategic plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.2</td>
<td>Update Capacity Building Intermediaries list through HUD</td>
<td>Interim Capacity Building Intermediaries list</td>
<td>COH Project Staff</td>
<td>2012 Q2 – 2014 Q4</td>
<td>Number of stakeholders, training workshops attended, participant satisfaction</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.3</td>
<td>Work with neighborhood stakeholders to develop a training agenda</td>
<td>Final HUD training calendar</td>
<td>COH Project Staff</td>
<td>2012 Q3 – Q4</td>
<td>Training agenda implemented, HUD grant goals met</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.4</td>
<td>Host a Regional Conference to engage local communities and stakeholders to identify principles, objectives and indicators</td>
<td>Final HUD training agenda and documentation includes training sessions, learning objectives, handout materials, PowerPoint presentations</td>
<td>COH Project Staff, members of Capacity Building Intermediaries</td>
<td>2013 Q1</td>
<td>Number of attendees, participation satisfaction and indicators</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.5</td>
<td>Complete two workshop series on neighborhood development</td>
<td>Technical training workshops led by experts</td>
<td>COH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q2 – 2014 Q4</td>
<td>Learning objectives, workshop evaluations, attendance records, and outcomes</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.6</td>
<td>Final capacity building programs throughout the year</td>
<td>Final capacity building programs throughout the year</td>
<td>COH Project Staff</td>
<td>2013 Q1 – 2014 Q4</td>
<td>Number of workshops, attended, participant satisfaction and indicators</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4.7</td>
<td>Promote regional best practices by highlighting successes</td>
<td>Final capacity building programs throughout the year</td>
<td>COH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q1 – 2014 Q4</td>
<td>Online database of best practices</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Spatial Health Indicator Analysis Data Collection UNLV Study

#### Activity 5: MEASUREMENTS: Ensure that the region is progressing toward a sustainable future and that individual projects are succeeding by developing and using a system of benchmarks, outcomes and indicators.

Social, economic and environmental principles of sustainability are being applied routinely in the development of communities in the region and an accepted set of regional indicators are used to measure progress and adjust sustainable policies and strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITY</th>
<th>DUE</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 5.1</td>
<td>Complete a regional baseline assessment report</td>
<td>Existing conditions report published on project website</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, members of Committee and task groups</td>
<td>2012 Q4</td>
<td>Existing conditions report available on project website</td>
<td>Collected feedback, issue period reports and prepare summaries of all requirements and outcomes measures identified in approved work plan and logic model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5.2</td>
<td>Develop consensus on regional indicators</td>
<td>Set of regional indicators established and published on the project website</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, members of Committee and task group</td>
<td>2012 Q4</td>
<td>Regional indicators available on project website</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5.3</td>
<td>Develop consensus on neighborhood level indicators</td>
<td>Set of neighborhood level indicators established and published on the project website</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, members of Committee and task group</td>
<td>2014 Q1</td>
<td>Neighborhood level indicators available on project website for use in corridors and activity centers</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5.4</td>
<td>Establish systems to monitor, report and assess regional indicators</td>
<td>Monitoring and reporting of regional indicators</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, members of Committee and task group</td>
<td>2014 Q5</td>
<td>Adoption by Consensus Coordinating Committee of a system to monitor, report, and use regional indicators</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5.5</td>
<td>Establish benchmarks and indicators for demonstration projects</td>
<td>Demonstration project area work teams and demonstration projects use neighborhood indicators to support projects</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, members of Committee and task group</td>
<td>2014 Q4</td>
<td>Adoption by Consensus Coordinating Committee of system to monitor, report, and use neighborhood benchmarks and indicators</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### Activity 6: REGIONAL SCENARIO PLANNING TOOL: Provide the region’s local governments and stakeholders with innovative visualization tools and GIS enhancements to promote sustainable design and encourage implementation.

Highly engaged stakeholder group that advocates for sustainable development and equity throughout the region at all levels of public and private decision making.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITY</th>
<th>DUE</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.1</td>
<td>Scenic scenarios planning tool options and form a technical work group to support business planning tool selection and development</td>
<td>Identification of local scenarios planning tool for planning process, formation of scenario planning tool committee</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2012 Q2 - Q3</td>
<td>Identification of local scenarios planning tool for planning process, formation of scenario planning tool committee</td>
<td>CCH partners, twice period reports and prepare summaries of all activities, adherence to RFP requirements and outcomes measures identified in approved work plan and logic model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.2</td>
<td>Issue RFP for consulting services to assist in the development of the regional land use based scenario planning tool</td>
<td>Final product for scenario modeling tool completed</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2012 Q3</td>
<td>Successful completion of RFP process</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.3</td>
<td>Identify barriers and challenges to walkability</td>
<td>Inventory of existing plans, sustainability gaps analyses, barriers and challenges report</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2012 Q3</td>
<td>Identification of barriers and challenges to walkability development in local and regional plans</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.4</td>
<td>Test, evaluate and calibrate scenario planning tool to incorporate and evaluate regional indicators</td>
<td>Completed evaluation and calibration of scenarios planning tool</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q4</td>
<td>Assessment and calibration on prototypes completed</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.5</td>
<td>Create scenario planning tool in public outreach process</td>
<td>Development of baseline regional scenarios, development of 3-4 alternative regional scenarios, inclusion of impacted stakeholders in selection of preferences</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q1 - Q2</td>
<td>Alternative future scenarios evaluated</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.6</td>
<td>Identify preferred regional scenarios</td>
<td>Using stakeholder input, the Consensus Coordinating team will develop a preferred future scenario reflecting most desired attributes of alternatives</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q2</td>
<td>Selection of preferred future direction for sustainable development</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.7</td>
<td>Present preferred regional scenarios to the public</td>
<td>Preferred scenarios presented in public meetings</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q3-4</td>
<td>Adoption of preferred future direction for sustainable development</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6.8</td>
<td>Monitor and evaluate regional scenario planning tool project</td>
<td>Evaluation summaries</td>
<td>CCH Project Staff, regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q1</td>
<td>Number of comments received</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Activity 7: Demonstrate Sustainable Development

Provide three communities with technical assistance to help advance their visions consistent with regional plan goals of targeted investments that create economic opportunities, greater housing choices and density of development consistent with the region’s sustainable development principles.

Local projects are implemented, which are consistent with the RPSD to concentrate investment along priority transportation corridors and vibrant, sustainable places. There are projects demonstrating sustainable development feasibility within the principles set out in the RPSD.

### Long Term Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITY</th>
<th>DUE</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 7.1</td>
<td>Develop criteria for a Council to use in selecting and agreeing to three demonstration projects</td>
<td>Criteria for the selection of demonstration projects, which includes design process to select each project</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q2</td>
<td>Criteria consistent with RPSD, providing ability for local govt to implement the project</td>
<td>Collect feedback and provide summary of all activities, adherence to HCD requirements and outcomes measured identified in approved work plan and logic model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7.2</td>
<td>Issue RFPs for consultants to assist in the development of the regional demonstration projects</td>
<td>RFP process regional demonstration projects completed</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q3</td>
<td>Successful completion of RFP process</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7.3</td>
<td>Issue a call for applications to local communities for projects that are consistent with regional plans and could move forward for implementation</td>
<td>Call document which is consistent with RPSD and local plans</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q4</td>
<td>Call for demonstration project successfully completed</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7.4</td>
<td>Committee selects demonstration projects under the agreement with project sponsors</td>
<td>Three demonstration projects; agreements with project sponsors</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q4</td>
<td>Completion of three demonstration project studies</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7.5</td>
<td>Monitor and evaluate each demonstration project</td>
<td>Evaluation summaries</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q1 - Q3</td>
<td>Local governments implement projects based on results of planning work funded by HCD Sustainable Communities grant</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7.6</td>
<td>Demonstration project plans complete</td>
<td>Three completed demonstration project plans</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q4</td>
<td>Three demonstration project completed and approved by project sponsors</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Activity 8: Regional Plan Development and Implementation

Advance region’s sustainable development planning and implementation process through the development and adoption of an integrated spatial regional plan that incorporates land use, housing, transportation, economic development, infrastructure and the environment.

Development of integrated local and regional land use policy aimed at fostering and advancing sustainable development practices. Adoption of formal and regional policies centered on plan implementation (land use, transportation, funding, and infrastructure) based on local, county, regional, and federal policies.

#### Long Term Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITY</th>
<th>DUE</th>
<th>MEASURES</th>
<th>EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.1</td>
<td>Develop RPSD process framework and gather technical back-up information</td>
<td>Formalized RPSD process framework and presentation on Coordinating Committee</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2012 Q2 - Q3</td>
<td>RPSD process framework is completed</td>
<td>Collection feedback and make changes to RPSD process; adherence to HCD requirements and outcomes measured identified in approved work plan and logic model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.2</td>
<td>Complete a Regional Fair Housing and Equity Assessment</td>
<td>Regional fair housing and equity assessment report including analysis on impact of transportation proposals on housing and other regional needs</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q4</td>
<td>Regional Plan approved by WRHPC Board</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.3</td>
<td>Develop regional vision for sustainable development</td>
<td>Using stakeholder input, the Council will develop a regional vision that describes the region and aspirations of citizens for the region’s future</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2013 Q4</td>
<td>RPSD Vision approved by Committee and WRHPC Board</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.4</td>
<td>Draft RPSD plan components</td>
<td>Using stakeholder input, the Council will draft RPSD plan components, including regional needs and actions, market and affordable housing strategies and regional transportation strategies</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q1 - Q2</td>
<td>RPSD Plan Components approved by Task Group and Committee</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.5</td>
<td>Complete RPSD plan framework</td>
<td>Using stakeholder input, the Council will complete a RPSD plan framework, including housing, market and affordable strategies and policies and strategies for each of the plan components</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q1</td>
<td>RPSD Plan Framework approved by Task Group and Committee</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.6</td>
<td>Develop implementation strategies</td>
<td>Using stakeholder input, the Council will develop strategies and long term policy recommendations</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q2</td>
<td>RPSD Implementation Strategies approved by Task Group and Committee</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.7</td>
<td>Complete draft RPSD report</td>
<td>Using stakeholder input, the Council will complete a draft RPSD report</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q1 - Q2</td>
<td>RPSD Plan Framework approved by Task Group and Committee</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.8</td>
<td>Complete final RPSD report</td>
<td>Using stakeholder input, the Council will complete a final RPSD report</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q3</td>
<td>Final RPSD Report approved by WRHPC Board</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.9</td>
<td>Complete RPSD action plan</td>
<td>Using stakeholder input, the Council will complete an RPSD action plan with approaches for implementation including regional changes, social dynamics and other recommendations</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2014 Q3 - Q4</td>
<td>Final RPSD action Plan approved by WRHPC Board</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8.10</td>
<td>Work with local governments to adopt regional plan and related implementation policies</td>
<td>Local government adoption of regional plan and related implementation policies</td>
<td>Council Project Staff, Regional partners</td>
<td>2015 Q1</td>
<td>Local governments adopt regional plan and related implementation policies</td>
<td>Same as above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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